PINELLAS COUNTY GOVERNMENT IS COMMITTED TO PROGRESSIVE PUBLIC POLICY, SUPERIOR PUBLIC SERVICE, COURTEOUS PUBLIC CONTACT, JUDICIOUS EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY AND SOUND MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC RESOURCES, TO MEET THE NEEDS AND CONCERNS OF OUR CITIZENS TODAY AND TOMORROW.



NON-CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

RFP TITLE: South Creek Watershed Management Plan - Professional Engineering Services

RFP CONTRACT NO. 178-0465-NC (SS)

COUNTY PID NO. 003870A

NON-CONTINUING FIRM: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING, BIOLOGICAL, PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES NON-CONTINUING SERVICES SAMPLE AGREEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 INTENT OF AGREEMENT	
SECTION 2 SCOPE OF PROJECT	4
2.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROFESSIONAL REQUIREMENTS	
2.2 PROJECT PHASES	4 1
2.4 GENERAL DESIGN CONDITIONS	
2.5 GOVERNING SPECIFICATIONS REGULATIONS AND PERTINENT DOCUMENTS	5
SECTION 3 SERVICES TO BE FURNISHED BY THE CONSULTANT	
3.1 SEE EXHIBIT A – SCOPE OF SERVICES	
3.2 BIDDING PHASE - Not applicable	
3.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE – Not Applicable	6
3.5 PERMIT APPLICATIONS AND APPROVALS - Not Applicable	6
3.6 COORDINATION WITH UTILITY SERVICES AND AFFECTED PUBLIC AGENCIES - 1	
Applicable	
SECTION 4 SERVICES TO BE FURNISHED BY THE COUNTY	
SECTION 5 PRESENTATIONS, PUBLIC MEETINGS AND TECHNICAL LIAISON	
SECTION 6 PAYMENT GUIDELINES AND CATEGORY OF SERVICES	
6.2 OPTIONAL SERVICES	
6.3 CONTINGENCY SERVICES	
6.4 ADDITIONAL SERVICES	8
6.5 INVOICING	
SECTION 7 COMPENSATION TO THE CONSULTANT	
SECTION 8 PERFORMANCE SCHEDULE	
SECTION 9 AUTHORIZATION FOR CONTINGENT OR ADDITIONAL SERVICES	
SECTION 10 FIRMS AND INDIVIDUALS PROVIDING SUBCONSULTING SERVICES	
SECTION 11 SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE	
SECTION 12 RESOLUTION OF DISAGREEMENTS	
SECTION 13 CONSULTANT'S ACCOUNTING RECORDS	
SECTION 14 OWNERSHIP OF PROJECT DOCUMENTS	
SECTION 15 INSURANCE COVERAGE AND INDEMNIFICATION	
SECTION 16 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE FOR CONTRACTS NOT SUBJECT EXECUTIVE ORDER 11246	
SECTION 17 INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR STATUS AND COMPLIANCE WITH THE IMMIGRATION REFORM AND CONTROL ACT OF 1986	ϽN . 12
SECTION 18 PROHIBITION AGAINST CONTINGENT FEE	. 13
SECTION 19 TRUTH IN NEGOTIATIONS	
SECTION 20 SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS	. 13
SECTION 21 INTEREST ON JUDGMENTS	. 13
SECTION 22 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT	. 13
SECTION 23 AGREEMENT TERM	
SECTION 24 CONFLICT OF INTEREST	. 14
SECTION 25 ENTIRE AGREEMENT	. 14
SECTION 26 PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES	. 14
SECTION 27 PUBLIC RECORDS	. 14
SECTION 28 GOVERNING LAW AND AGREEMENT EXECUTION	. 15
ATTACHMENT A – SWFWMD GRANT REQUIREMENTS	

SECTION 1 INTENT OF AGREEMENT

AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING, BIOLOGICAL, PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FOR

South Creek Watershed Management Plan

THIS AGREEMENT, entered into on the _____ day of _____, 2019, between PINELLAS COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter referred to as the COUNTY, represented by its Board of County Commissioners, and, Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. with offices in Clearwater, Florida hereinafter referred to as the CONSULTANT.

WITNESSETH, That:

WHEREAS, Pinellas County, herein referred to as the COUNTY and the Southwest Florida Water Management District, herein referred to as the District, requires PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING, BIOLOGICAL, PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES associated with support to develop a Watershed Management Plan (WMP) and perform all other professional services as may be required for the South Creek watershed in accordance with the County and The Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) requirements. The South Creek watershed has not had a formal WMP completed to date. As a result, the County lacks data in the watershed and the consultant must undertake substantial initial watershed evaluation and data collection.

Once developed by the Consultant, this WMP will be used as a tool in the planning, regulation, and management of watersheds for future development and for determining and prioritizing capital improvement projects. The WMPs must identify and address localized flooding situations, erosion, sedimentation and Sea Level Rise (SLR). The WMP must also include the evaluation of existing 2.33- Year, 5-Year 10-year, 25-year, 50-year and 100-year flood elevations, and the development of an appropriate hydraulic and hydrologic model that can be approved by the National Flood Insurance Program, the County and SWFWMD. The County's preference is to model the watershed using the ICPR4 software package. Modeling efforts must include future scenarios considering SLR as well as changes in rainfall patterns.

WHEREAS, the COUNTY desires the CONSULTANT provide PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING BIOLOGICAL, PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES requisite to the development of the PROJECT; and

WHEREAS, the CONSULTANT has expressed the willingness and ability to provide the aforementioned Services; and

NOW THEREFORE, the COUNTY and the CONSULTANT, in consideration of the mutual covenants hereinafter set forth, agree as follows:

SECTION 2 SCOPE OF PROJECT

2.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROFESSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

For the purposes of this Agreement the term PROJECT shall include all areas of proposed watershed management plan, all areas that may reasonably be judged to have an impact on the PROJECT, and all PROJECT development phases and the services and activities attendant thereto. It is not the intent of this Agreement to identify the exact limits or details involved in providing satisfactorily completed PROJECT management plan documents. The CONSULTANT shall provide the following professional services to prepare a watershed management plan of the PROJECT. The PROJECT design shall be based on the following data:

The PROJECT will be used as a tool in the planning, regulation, and management of the Watershed for future development and as a basis for determining and prioritizing capital improvements. These objectives will be met, in part, by conducting an analysis of the watershed in order to characterize the existing watershed conditions and recommend improvements for flood protection, natural systems, habitat, water quality, erosion control, public awareness and involvement, regulatory control, and capital improvements.

Exhibit A, Scope of Services is attached.

a) Required Deliverables

- All deliverables listed in the Tasks in the Scope of Services in Exhibit A
- A complete watershed management plan including model input and output data and associated geodatabases.

2.2 PROJECT PHASES

All project phases shall be completed on or before the milestone dates provided in the COUNTY approved PROJECT design schedule referenced in 2.3 E.

2.3 CONSULTING RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. It is the intention of the COUNTY that the CONSULTANT is held accountable for its work, including checking and review each task deliverable, and that submittals are complete.
- B. The CONSULTANT shall be responsible for the accuracy of the work and shall promptly correct its errors and omissions without additional compensation. Acceptance of the work by the COUNTY will not relieve the CONSULTANT of the responsibility for subsequent correction of any errors and the clarification of any ambiguities.

- C. The CONSULTANT represents that it has secured or will secure, at its own expense, all personnel necessary to complete this Agreement; none of whom shall be employees of or have any contractual relationship with the COUNTY. Primary liaison with the COUNTY will be through the CONSULTANT'S Project Manager. All of the services required hereunder will be performed by the CONSULTANT or under the CONSULTANT'S supervision, and all personnel engaged in the work shall be fully qualified and shall be authorized or permitted under law to perform such services.
- D. The CONSULTANT shall endorse all reports, calculations, and survey data. Services shall be prepared under the direction of an engineer registered in the State of Florida and qualified in the required discipline. Products or services performed or checked shall be signed and sealed by the CONSULTANT'S Florida registered engineer.
- E. The CONSULTANT shall be responsible for the preparation of a PROJECT design schedule, prepared in Microsoft Project 2013 or later, which shows a breakdown of all tasks to be performed, and their relationship in achieving the completion of each phase of work. A bar chart schedule showing overall PROJECT time frames should also be prepared. These schedules must be submitted for COUNTY approval within ten (10) days of the initial PROJECT Notice to Proceed. These schedules will be used to verify CONSULTANT performance in relationship to Fees claimed and to allow the COUNTY'S Project Manager to monitor the CONSULTANT'S efforts. The CONSULTANT shall be responsible for any updates to these schedules and for documenting in writing to the COUNTY any major deviations in the actual versus estimated PROJECT time frames. The CONSULTANT shall be responsible to adhere to the performance schedule in Exhibit A. The COUNTY may approve deviations from this performance schedule upon written justification from the CONSULTANT.
- F. The CONSULTANT shall respond, in writing, to all review comments made by the COUNTY, and shall incorporate appropriate design adjustments into the PROJECT, in a timely manner, resulting from the review exchange.
- G. CONSULTANT is responsible for complying with the grant requirements listed in Sample Agreement Attachment A SWFWMD Grant Requirements, and incorporated herein.

2.4 GENERAL DESIGN CONDITIONS

- 2.4.1 The CONSULTANT shall coordinate and solicit appropriate input, with the knowledge of the COUNTY.
- 2.4.2 All deliverables shall be delivered electronically and or on an external hard drive as well as providing reproducible hard copies of the reports. All reports and other documents shall be delivered electronically and or on a CD ROM, Microsoft Word & Excel format as required, as well as the reproducible hard copies.
- 2.4.3 One (1) original and nine (9) copies of all deliverables are required unless specific submittal requirements are specified elsewhere in this Agreement.
- 2.4.4 The CONSULTANT shall develop acceptable alternates to any and all design recommendations that may be declared unacceptable.

2.5 GOVERNING SPECIFICATIONS REGULATIONS AND PERTINENT DOCUMENTS

The PROJECT shall be designed by the CONSULTANT in accordance with applicable industry standards. The CONSULTANT shall be responsible for utilizing and maintaining current knowledge of any laws, ordinances, codes, rules, regulations, standards, guidelines, special conditions, specifications, or other mandates relevant to the PROJECT or the services to be performed. The CONSULTANT will perform the required professional services in accordance with the guidelines and standards listed below as applicable:

- Flood Hazard Mapping Partners (available at https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13948),
- The nine elements listed in United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 319(h) Guidance Manual (http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/cwact.cfm),
- SWFWMD Recommended Projection of Sea Level Rise in the Tampa Bay Region (http://www.tbrpc.org/council_members/councilagendas/2015/101215/8c.pdf),
- SWFWMD standards (ftp://ftp.swfwmd.state.fl.us/pub/GWIS/)

Username: Anonymous

Password: (your email address)

Pinellas County Standards (http://www.pinellascounty.org/plan/comprehensive_plan.htm)

SERVICES TO BE FURNISHED BY THE CONSULTANT

- 3.1 SEE EXHIBIT A SCOPE OF SERVICES.
- 3.2 BIDDING PHASE Not applicable
- 3.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE Not Applicable
- 3.4 PROVISIONS RELATED TO ALL PHASES
 - 3.4.1 Not Applicable
 - 3.4.2 The CONSULTANT will coordinate work designed by various disciplines.
 - 3.4.3 Not Applicable
 - 3.4.4 Not Applicable
- 3.4.5 The CONSULTANT shall make such reviews, visits, attend such meetings and conferences and make such contacts as are necessary for the proper preparation of the watershed management plan for the PROJECT.
- 3.4.6 The COUNTY in no way obligates itself to check the CONSULTANT'S work and further is not responsible for maintaining project schedules.
 - 3.4.7 Not Applicable
 - 3.4.8 Not Applicable
- 3.4.9 All work prepared and/or submitted shall be reviewed and checked by a CONSULTANT (Engineer) registered in Florida. All reports shall be signed and sealed by the Professional CONSULTANT in responsible charge.
- 3.5 PERMIT APPLICATIONS AND APPROVALS Not Applicable
- 3.6 COORDINATION WITH UTILITY SERVICES AND AFFECTED PUBLIC AGENCIES Not Applicable

SECTION 4 SERVICES TO BE FURNISHED BY THE COUNTY

- 4.1 The COUNTY shall provide the following for the CONSULTANT'S use and guidance:
 - A. Copies of existing maps, existing aerial photographs, as-built construction plans and data pertinent to the PROJECT design, existing stormwater inventory, previous watershed management plans which the COUNTY may have in its possession.

SECTION 5 PRESENTATIONS, PUBLIC MEETINGS AND TECHNICAL LIAISON

The following services shall be provided at no additional cost to the COUNTY:

- 5.1 Prior to the commencement of design activities, the COUNTY will conduct with the CONSULTANT a pre-design conference for the purpose of discussing issues relative to the PROJECT, report preparation and submittal procedures and to convey to the CONSULTANT such items provided for under Section 4 as may be required and available at that time.
- 5.2 The CONSULTANT shall make presentations to the COUNTY'S Director of Public Works or designee as often as reasonably requested and at any point in the PROJECT development should issues arise which make additional presentations other than those listed elsewhere in this Agreement, in the COUNTY'S best interest.
- 5.3 The CONSULTANT shall participate in Monthly PROJECT Conferences with COUNTY staff personnel. The meetings will be scheduled by the COUNTY at a location provided by the COUNTY.
- 5.4 Not Applicable
- 5.5 The CONSULTANT shall keep accurate minutes of all meetings and distribute copies to all attending. These meetings shall be set up through the COUNTY and appropriate COUNTY staff shall attend.

SECTION 6 PAYMENT GUIDELINES AND CATEGORY OF SERVICES

6.1 BASIC SERVICES

The services described and provided for under Sections 2, 3 and Exhibit A shall constitute the Basic Services to be performed by the CONSULTANT under this Agreement.

6.2 OPTIONAL SERVICES

Services noted in Exhibit A of this Agreement as "Optional" shall constitute the Optional Services to be performed by the CONSULTANT under this Agreement. Optional Services shall be rendered by the CONSULTANT only upon written authorization by the COUNTY's Director of Public Works, or designee.

6.3 CONTINGENCY SERVICES

When authorized in writing by the COUNTY'S Director of Public Works or designee, the CONSULTANT shall furnish services resulting from unforeseen circumstances not anticipated under Basic Services due to minor changes in the PROJECT scope.

Compensation for any Contingency Services assignments shall be negotiated between the COUNTY and the CONSULTANT at the time the need for services becomes known.

6.4 ADDITIONAL SERVICES

When executed by the County Administrator or Board of County Commissioners as an amendment to this Agreement, the CONSULTANT shall provide such additional services as may become necessary because of changes in the Scope of PROJECT. Additional Services shall be classified as any change beyond the Contingency Services upset limit for compensation.

6.5 INVOICING

The CONSULTANT may submit invoices for fees earned upon completion, and acceptance by the County, of individual tasks. Such invoicing shall be supported by a Progress Report showing the actual tasks performed and their relationship to the fee claimed for each phase. The COUNTY shall make payments to the CONSULTANT for work performed in accordance with the Local Government Prompt Payment Act, Section 218.70 et. seq., F.S.

The CONSULTANT shall provide copies of supporting receipts/invoices/billing documentation. Self-performed reimbursable work shall be reimbursed at the firm's standard hourly rates for all related services. A breakdown of man hours and billing rates shall be provided with each invoice. An hourly rate sheet is attached (Exhibit B).

Should an invoiced amount for fees earned appear to exceed the work effort believed to be completed, the COUNTY may, prior to processing of the invoice for payment, require the CONSULTANT to submit satisfactory evidence to support the invoice.

All progress reports shall be mailed to the attention of the designated Project Manager, Public Works Department, 22211 US Highway 19 North, Clearwater, FL 33765.

SUPPLIER shall submit invoices for payment due as provided herein with such documentation as required by Pinellas County and all payments shall be made in accordance with the requirements of Section 218.70 et. seq, Florida Statutes, "The Local Government Prompt Payment Act." Invoices shall be submitted to the address below unless instructed otherwise on the purchase order, or if no purchase order, by the ordering department:

Finance Division Accounts Payable Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners P. O. Box 2438 Clearwater, FL 33757

Each invoice shall include, at a minimum, the Supplier's name, contact information and the standard purchase order number. The County may dispute any payments invoiced by SUPPLIER in accordance with the County's Dispute Resolution Process for Invoiced Payments, established in accordance with Section 218.76, Florida Statutes, and any such disputes shall be resolved in accordance with the County's Dispute Resolution Process.

Fees for contingent or additional services authorized shall be invoiced separately, and shall be due and payable in full upon the presentation of satisfactory evidence that the corresponding services have been performed.

SECTION 7 COMPENSATION TO THE CONSULTANT

7.1 For the BASIC SERVICES provided for in this Agreement, as defined in Section 3.10, the COUNTY agrees to pay the CONSULTANT as follows:

A Lump Sum Fee of: Nine Thousand Four Hundred Ten and 00/100 Dollars (\$9,410.00) for the Task 2.1

- Project Development Phase of the PROJECT.

A Lump Sum Fee of: One Hundred Sixty-Two Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Two and 00/100 Dollars

(\$162,672.00) for the Task 2.2 – Watershed Evaluation Phase of the PROJECT.

A Lump Sum Fee of: One Hundred Fifty-Three Thousand Three Hundred Twelve and 00/100 Dollars

(\$153,312.00) for the Task 2.3 WMP - Floodplain Analysis Phase of the

PROJECT.

A Lump Sum Fee of: Eighty-Nine Thousand Three Hundred Fifty and 00/100 Dollars (\$89,350.00) for

the Task 2.4 –WMP – Floodplain Level of Service (FPLOS), Surface Water Resource Assessment (SWRA), Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and

Recommendations Phase of the PROJECT.

The above fees shall constitute the total not to exceed amount of Four Hundred Fourteen Thousand Seven Hundred Forty-Four and 00/100 Dollars (\$414,744.00) to the CONSULTANT for the performance of Basic Services. All man hours are billed per the established and agreed hourly rates. The hourly rates are fully loaded and include all labor, overhead, expenses and profit of any nature including travel within the Tampa Bay Metropolitan Statistical area. Travel outside of that area will be reimbursed in accordance with Section 112.061 F.S.

7.2 For the OPTIONAL SERVICES provided for in the Agreement, as defined in Exhibit A, the COUNTY agrees to pay the CONSULTANT as follows:

A Lump Sum Fee of: (\$N/A) for the Task 8a of the PROJECT

- 7.3 For any CONTINGENCY SERVICES performed, the COUNTY agrees to pay the CONSULTANT, a negotiated fee based on the assignment, up to a maximum amount not to exceed Forty-One Thousand Four Hundred Seventy-Four and 40/100 Dollars (\$41,474.40) for all assignments performed.
- 7.4 Total agreement amount is Four Hundred Fifty-Six Thousand Two Hundred Eighteen and 40/100 Dollars (\$456,218.40).
- 7.5 For any ADDITIONAL SERVICES, the COUNTY agrees to pay the CONSULTANT a negotiated total fee based on the work to be performed as detailed by a written amendment to this Agreement.
- 7.6 In the event that this Agreement is terminated under the provisions of this contract the total and complete compensation due the CONSULTANT shall be as established by the COUNTY based on the COUNTY'S determination of the percentage of work effort completed to date of termination.

SECTION 8 PERFORMANCE SCHEDULE

Time is of the essence in this Agreement. The CONSULTANT shall plan and execute the performance of all services provided for in this Agreement in such manner as to ensure their proper and timely completion in accordance with the following schedule:

- 8.1 The services to be rendered by the CONSULTANT shall be commenced upon receipt from the COUNTY of written "NOTICE TO PROCEED."
 - 8.2 All project phases shall be completed on or before the milestone dates provided in the COUNTY approved PROJECT design schedule referenced in 2.3 E.
- 8.3 The CONSULTANT shall not be held responsible for delays in the completion of the PROJECT design when the COUNTY causes such delays. The COUNTY reviews related to the above submittals shall not exceed twenty-one (21) days.

SECTION 9 AUTHORIZATION FOR CONTINGENT OR ADDITIONAL SERVICES

- 9.1 The CONTINGENCY services provided for under this Agreement shall be performed only upon prior written authorization from the Director of Public Works or designee.
- 9.2 The ADDITIONAL services provided for under this Agreement shall be performed only upon approval of the County Administrator or Board of County Commissioners.
- 9.3 The CONSULTANT shall perform no services contemplated to merit compensation beyond that provided for in this Agreement unless such services, and compensation therefore, shall be provided for by appropriate written authorization or amendment(s) to this Agreement.

SECTION 10 FIRMS AND INDIVIDUALS PROVIDING SUBCONSULTING SERVICES

The COUNTY reserves the right to review the qualifications of any and all subconsultants, and to reject any subconsultant in a proper and timely manner, deemed not qualified to perform the services for which it shall have been engaged. Any subconsultant not listed as part of the prime consultants team at time of award must be approved by the Director of Purchasing prior to performing any service.

SECTION 11 SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

All services to be provided by the CONSULTANT under the provisions of this Agreement, including services to be provided by subcontractors, shall be performed to the reasonable satisfaction of the COUNTY'S Director of Public Works or designee.

SECTION 12 RESOLUTION OF DISAGREEMENTS

- 12.1 The COUNTY shall reasonably decide all questions and disputes, of any nature whatsoever, that may arise in the execution and fulfillment of the services provided for under this Agreement.
- 12.2 The decision of the COUNTY upon all claims, questions, disputes and conflicts shall be final and conclusive, and shall be binding upon all parties to this Agreement, subject to judicial review.

SECTION 13 CONSULTANT'S ACCOUNTING RECORDS

- 13.1 Records of expenses pertaining to all services performed shall be kept in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and procedures.
- The CONSULTANT'S records shall be open to inspection and subject to examination, audit, and/or reproduction during normal working hours by the COUNTY'S agent or authorized representative to the extent necessary to adequately permit evaluation and verification of any invoices, payments or claims submitted by the CONSULTANT or any of his payees pursuant to the execution of the Agreement. These records shall include, but not be limited to, accounting records, written policies and procedures, subcontractor files (including proposals of successful and unsuccessful bidders), original estimates, estimating worksheets, correspondence, change order files (including documentation covering negotiated settlements), and any other supporting evidence necessary to substantiate charges related to this Agreement. They shall also include, but not be limited to, those records necessary to evaluate and verify direct and indirect costs (including overhead allocations) as they may apply to costs associated with this Agreement. The COUNTY shall not audit payroll and expense records on task assignments paid by lump sum fee.
- 13.3 For the purpose of such audits, inspections, examinations and evaluations, the COUNTY'S agent or authorized representative shall have access to said records from the effective date of the Agreement, for the duration of work, and until three (3) years after the date of final payment by the COUNTY to the CONSULTANT pursuant to this Agreement.
- 13.4 The COUNTY'S agent or authorized representative shall have access to the CONSULTANT'S facilities and all necessary records in order to conduct audits in compliance with this Section. The COUNTY'S agent or authorized representative shall give the CONSULTANT reasonable advance notice of intended inspections, examinations, and/or audits.

SECTION 14 OWNERSHIP OF PROJECT DOCUMENTS

Upon completion or termination of this Agreement, all records, documents, tracings, plans, specifications, maps, evaluations, reports and other technical data, other than working papers, prepared or developed by the CONSULTANT under this Agreement shall be delivered to and become the property of the COUNTY. The CONSULTANT, at its own expense, may retain copies for its files and internal use. The COUNTY shall not reuse any design plans or specifications to construct another project at the same or a different location without the CONSULTANT'S specific written verification, adaptation or approval.

SECTION 15 INSURANCE COVERAGE AND INDEMNIFICATION

- 15.1 The Contractor must maintain insurance in at least the amounts required in the Request for Proposal throughout the term of this contract. The contractor must provide a Certificate of Insurance in accordance with Insurance Requirements of the Request for Proposal, evidencing such coverage prior to issuance of a purchase order or commencement of any work under this Contract. See Section C Insurance Requirements Attached and incorporated herein.
- 15.2 If the CONSULTANT is an individual or entity licensed by the state of Florida who holds a current certificate of registration under Chapter 481, Florida Statutes, to practice architecture or landscape architecture, under Chapter 472, Florida Statutes, to practice land surveying and mapping, or under Chapter 471, Florida Statutes, to practice engineering, and who enters into a written agreement with the COUNTY relating to the planning, design, construction, administration, study, evaluation, consulting, or other professional and technical support services furnished in connection with any actual or proposed construction, improvement, alteration, repair, maintenance, operation, management, relocation, demolition, excavation, or other facility, land, air, water, or utility development or improvement, the CONSULTANT will indemnify and hold harmless the COUNTY, and its officers and employees, from liabilities, damages, losses, and costs, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, to the extent caused by the negligence, recklessness, or intentionally wrongful conduct of the CONSULTANT and other persons employed or utilized by the CONSULTANT in the performance of the Agreement.

SECTION 16 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE FOR CONTRACTS NOT SUBJECT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER 11246

In carrying out the contract, the CONSULTANT shall not discriminate against employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, religion, sex or national origin.

SECTION 17 INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR STATUS AND COMPLIANCE WITH THE IMMIGRATION REFORM AND CONTROL ACT OF 1986

CONSULTANT acknowledges that it is functioning as an independent contractor in performing under the terms of this Agreement, and it is not acting as an employee of COUNTY. CONSULTANT acknowledges that it is responsible for complying with the provisions of the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, located at 8 U.S.C. Section 1324, et seq., and regulations relating thereto. Failure to comply with the above provisions of this contract shall be considered a material breach and shall be grounds for immediate termination of the contract.

SECTION 18 PROHIBITION AGAINST CONTINGENT FEE

The CONSULTANT warrants that he has not employed or retained any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the CONSULTANT to solicit or secure this Agreement, and that he has not paid or agreed to pay any person, company, corporation, individual, or firm other than a bona fide employee working solely for the CONSULTANT, any fee, commission, percentage, gift or any other consideration, contingent upon or resulting from the award or making of this Agreement.

SECTION 19 TRUTH IN NEGOTIATIONS

By execution of this Agreement, the CONSULTANT certifies to truth-in-negotiations and that wage rates and other factual unit costs supporting the compensation are accurate, complete and current at the time of contracting. Further, the original contract amount and any additions thereto shall be adjusted to exclude any significant sums where the COUNTY determines the contract price was increased due to inaccurate, incomplete or non-current wage rates and other factual unit costs. Such adjustments must be made within one (1) year following the end of the contract.

SECTION 20 SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

The CONSULTANT shall not assign, sublet, or transfer his interest in this Agreement without the written consent of the COUNTY.

SECTION 21 INTEREST ON JUDGMENTS

In the event of any disputes between the parties to this Agreement, including without limitation thereto, their assignees and/or assigns, arising out of or relating in any way to this Agreement, which results in litigation and a subsequent judgment, award or decree against either party, it is agreed that any entitlement to post judgment interest, to either party and/or their attorneys, shall be fixed by the proper court at the rate of five percent (5%), per annum, simple interest. Under no circumstances shall either party be entitled to pre-judgment interest. The parties expressly acknowledge and, to the extent allowed by law, hereby opt out of any provision of federal or state statute not in agreement with this paragraph.

SECTION 22 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

- 22.1 The COUNTY reserves the right to cancel this Agreement, without cause, by giving thirty (30) days prior written notice to the CONSULTANT of the intention to cancel. Failure of the CONSULTANT to fulfill or abide by any of the terms or conditions specified shall be considered a material breach of contract and shall be cause for immediate termination of the contract at the discretion of COUNTY. Alternatively, at the COUNTY'S discretion, the COUNTY may provide to CONSULTANT thirty (30) days to cure the breach. Where notice of breach and opportunity to cure is given, and CONSULTANT fails to cure the breach within the time provided for cure, COUNTY reserves the right to treat the notice of breach as notice of intent to cancel the Agreement for convenience.
- 22.2 If COUNTY terminates the Agreement for convenience, other than where the CONSULTANT breaches the Agreement, the CONSULTANT'S recovery against the COUNTY shall be limited to that portion of the CONSULTANT'S compensation earned through date of termination, together with any costs reasonably incurred by the CONSULTANT that are directly attributable to the termination. The CONSULTANT shall not be entitled to any further recovery against the COUNTY, including but not limited to anticipated fees or profit on work not required to be performed.
- 22.3 Upon termination, the CONSULTANT shall deliver to the COUNTY all original papers, records, documents, drawings, models, and other material set forth and described in this Agreement.
- 22.4 In the event that conditions arise, such as lack of available funds, which in the COUNTY'S opinion make it advisable and in the public interest to terminate this Agreement, it may do so upon written notice.

SECTION 23 AGREEMENT TERM

This Agreement will become effective on the date of execution first written above and shall remain in effect for forty - eight (48) consecutive calendar months from the commencement date on the Notice to Proceed unless terminated at an earlier date under other provisions of this Agreement, or unless extended for a longer term by amendment.

SECTION 24 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- 24.1 By accepting award of this Contract, the CONSULTANT, which shall include its directors, officers and employees, represents that it presently has no interest in and shall acquire no interest in any business or activity which would conflict in any manner with the performance of services required hereunder, including as described in the CONSULTANT'S own professional ethical requirements. An interest in a business or activity which shall be deemed a conflict includes but is not limited to direct financial interest in any of the material and equipment manufacturers suppliers, distributors, or contractors who will be eligible to supply material and equipment for the PROJECT for which the CONSULTANT is furnishing its services required hereunder.
- 24.2 If, in the sole discretion of the County Administrator or designee, a conflict of interest is deemed to exist or arise during the term of the contract, the County Administrator or designee may cancel this contract, effective upon the date so stated in the Written Notice of Cancellation, without penalty to the COUNTY.

SECTION 25 ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement represents, together with all Exhibits, Appendices, and Attachments the entire written Agreement between the COUNTY and the CONSULTANT and may be amended only by written instrument signed by both the COUNTY and the CONSULTANT.

SECTION 26 PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES

CONSULTANT is directed to the Florida Public Entity Crime Act, Fla. Stat. 287.133, and Fla. Stat. 287.135 regarding Scrutinized Companies, and CONSULTANT agrees that its bid and, if awarded, its performance of the agreement will comply with all applicable laws including those referenced herein. CONSULTANT represents and certifies that CONSULTANT is and will at all times remain eligible to bid for and perform the services subject to the requirements of these, and other applicable, laws. CONSULTANT agrees that any contract awarded to CONSULTANT will be subject to termination by the County if CONSULTANT fails to comply or to maintain such compliance.

SECTION 27 PUBLIC RECORDS

Contractor acknowledges that information and data it manages as part of the services may be public records in accordance with Chapter 119, Florida Statutes and Pinellas County public records policies. Contractor agrees that prior to providing services it will implement policies and procedures to maintain, produce, secure, and retain public records in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and County policies, including but not limited to the Section 119.0701, Florida Statutes. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement relating to compensation, the Contractor agrees to charge the County, and/or any third parties requesting public records only such fees allowed by Section 119.07, Florida Statutes, and County policy for locating and producing public records during the term of this Agreement.

SECTION 28 GOVERNING LAW AND AGREEMENT EXECUTION

This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Florida.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties herein have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first written above.

Firm Name: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.	PINELLAS COUNTY, by and through its Board of County Commissioners							
By: Print Name: Jow Dickinson Title: CF.O. Date: 8/14/19	By: Name Date: Chairman							
By: Marfa Senauer Title: V.P. Date: 8/14/19	ATTEST: Ken Burke, clerk of the Circuit Court By: Deputy Clerk Date:							
(CORPORATE SEAL)	APPROVED AS TO FORM By: ### The County Attorney Office of the County Attorney							



Southwest Florida Water Management District

2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Florida 34604-6899 (352) 796-7211 or 1-800-423-1476 (FL only) WaterMatters.org

An Equal Opportunity Employer Bartow Office 170 Century Boulevard Bartow, Florida 33830-7700 (863) 534-1448 or 1-800-492-7862 (FL only)

Sarasota Office 6750 Fruitville Road Sarasota, Florida 34240-9711 (941) 377-3722 or 1-800-320-3503 (FL only) Tampa Office 7601 U.S. 301 North (Fort King Highway) Tampa, Florida 33637-6759 (813) 985-7481 or 1-800-836-0797 (FL only)

Jeffrey M. Adams Chair, Pinellas

Ed Armstrong Vice Chair, Pinellas

Bryan K. Beswick Secretary, DeSoto, Hardee, Highlands

> **Michelle Williamson** Treasurer, Hillsborough

> > H. Paul Senft, Jr. Former Chair, Polk

Randall S. Maggard Former Chair, Pasco

> John Henslick Manatee

James G. Murphy

Kelly S. Rice Citrus, Lake, Levy, Sumter

> Joel Schleicher Charlotte, Sarasota

Rebecca Smith Hillsborough, Pinellas Mark Taylor

Hernando, Marion Scott Wiggins

Hillsborough

Brian J. Armstrong, P.G. Executive Director December 19, 2018

Nabil Bawany Pinellas County 22211 US Highway 19 North Clearwater, Florida 33765

Subject: South Creek Watershed Management Plan

Project No. N970

Agreement No. 19CF0001823

Dear Nabil Bawany:

Enclosed is one fully executed originals of the agreement between the Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) and Pinellas County, for the subject project.

Please accept this letter as your written Notice to Proceed.

If you have any questions, please contact Yuan Li, at the Brooksville office, extension 4283.

Sincerely,

Rachelle Jones

Senior Procurement Specialist

Procurement Section

Finance Bureau

Enclosures (1)

cc: Yuan Li, BKV-4-WRB

S. Tarokh, PAB

Records (Contract File)

COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT (Type 3) BETWEEN THE SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT AND PINELLAS COUNTY FOR SOUTH CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN (N970)

This COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT (Agreement) is made and entered into by and between the SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, a public corporation of the State of Florida, whose address is 2379 Broad Street, Brooksville, Florida 34604-6899, hereinafter referred to as the "DISTRICT," and PINELLAS COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, whose address is 315 Court Street, Clearwater, Florida 33756, hereinafter referred to as the "COOPERATOR."

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the COOPERATOR proposed a project to the DISTRICT for funding consideration under the DISTRICT'S cooperative funding program; and

WHEREAS, the project consists of completing a Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the South Creek Watershed in Pinellas County, hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT"; and

WHEREAS, the DISTRICT considers the resource benefits to be achieved by the PROJECT worthwhile and desires to assist the COOPERATOR in funding the PROJECT.

NOW THEREFORE, the DISTRICT and the COOPERATOR, in consideration of the mutual terms, covenants and conditions set forth herein, agree as follows:

1. PROJECT CONTACTS AND NOTICES.

Each party hereby designates the individual set forth below as its prime contact for matters relating to this Agreement. Notices shall be sent to the attention of each party's prime contact as set forth herein by U.S. mail, postage paid, by nationally recognized overnight courier, or personally to the parties' addresses as set forth below. Notice is effective upon receipt.

Contract Manager for the DISTRICT: Yuan Li Southwest Florida Water Management District 2379 Broad Street Brooksville, Florida 34604 Project Manager for the COOPERATOR: Nabil Bawany Pinellas County 22211 US Highway 19 North Clearwater, Florida 33765

Any changes to the above contact information must be provided to the other party in writing.

Reports required under this Agreement may be provided to the DISTRICT Contract Manager via email.

- 1.1 The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is authorized to approve requests to extend a PROJECT task deadline set forth in the Project Plan. Such approval must be in writing, explain the reason for the extension and be signed by the Contract Manager and his or her Bureau Chief, or Director if the Bureau Chief is the Contract Manager, unless the DISTRICT'S Signature Authority provides otherwise. The DISTRICT'S Signature Authority supersedes the approval requirements provided in this provision. The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is not authorized to approve any time extension which will result in an increased cost to the DISTRICT or which will exceed the expiration date set forth in this Agreement.
- 1.2 The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is authorized to adjust a line item amount of the Project Budget set forth in the Project Plan, or, if applicable, the refined budget as set forth in Subparagraph 4 of the Funding Paragraph. The authorization must be in writing, explain the reason for the adjustment, and be signed by all appropriate DISTRICT staff in accordance with the DISTRICT'S Signature Authority. The DISTRICT'S Contract Manager is not authorized to make changes to the Scope of Work and is not authorized to approve any increase in the amounts set forth in the Funding Paragraph.

2. SCOPE OF WORK.

Upon receipt of written notice to proceed from the DISTRICT, the COOPERATOR shall perform the services necessary to complete the PROJECT in accordance with the Project Plan. Any changes to this Agreement, except as provided herein, must be mutually agreed to in a formal written amendment approved by the DISTRICT and the COOPERATOR prior to being performed by the COOPERATOR. The COOPERATOR shall be solely responsible for managing and controlling the PROJECT, including the hiring and supervising of any consultants or contractors it engages.

The parties agree that time is of the essence in the performance of each obligation under this Agreement.

3. FUNDING.

The parties anticipate that the total cost of the PROJECT will be Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$750,000). The DISTRICT agrees to fund PROJECT costs as appropriated by the DISTRICT in accordance with Subparagraph 1 of this Funding

Paragraph and anticipates funding PROJECT costs up to Three Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$375,000) and shall have no obligation to pay any costs beyond this anticipated maximum amount. The COOPERATOR agrees to provide all remaining funds necessary for the satisfactory completion of the PROJECT.

- 3.1 The DISTRICT'S performance and payment pursuant to this Agreement are contingent upon the DISTRICT'S Governing Board appropriating funds in its approved budget for the PROJECT in each fiscal year of this Agreement. The COOPERATOR recognizes that the DISTRICT has approved \$75,000 for the PROJECT through Fiscal Year 2019. The additional funds identified in this Agreement are contingent upon approval of such amounts by the DISTRICT'S Governing Board, in its sole discretion, in its annual budgets for future fiscal years. The COOPERATOR'S payment of any financial obligation under this Agreement is subject to appropriation by the COOPERATOR'S Board of legally available funds.
- 3.2 The COOPERATOR shall pay PROJECT costs prior to requesting reimbursement from the DISTRICT. The DISTRICT shall reimburse the COOPERATOR for the DISTRICT'S share of allowable PROJECT costs in accordance with the Project Budget contained in the Project Plan. Reimbursement for expenditures of contingency funds is contingent upon approval by the DISTRICT. If a reimbursement request includes the expenditure of contingency funds, the COOPERATOR shall provide sufficient documentation to the DISTRICT to explain the basis of the expense. The DISTRICT shall not reimburse the COOPERATOR for any expended contingency funds that the DISTRICT determines, in its sole discretion, to be in excess of what was reasonably necessary to complete the PROJECT. The DISTRICT shall reimburse the COOPERATOR for fifty percent (50%) of all allowable costs in each DISTRICT approved invoice received from the COOPERATOR, but at no point in time will the DISTRICT'S expenditure amounts under this Agreement exceed expenditures made by the COOPERATOR. The parties acknowledge that the DISTRICT'S reimbursement percentage stated above is subject to change if the percentage of the DISTRICT'S anticipated funding amount is changed due to subsequent Governing Board approvals, but amounts approved by the DISTRICT in its annual budget shall not be reduced after the COOPERATOR has paid PROJECT costs of incurred obligations approved by the DISTRICT pursuant to Subparagraph 4 of this Funding Paragraph and are otherwise reimbursable by the DISTRICT under this Agreement.
- 3.3 Unless otherwise provided in the Project Plan, any federal, state, local or grant monies received by the COOPERATOR for this PROJECT shall be applied to equally reduce each party's share of PROJECT costs. The COOPERATOR shall provide the DISTRICT with written documentation detailing its allocation of any such funds appropriated for this PROJECT. This Subparagraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.
- 3.4 The COOPERATOR may contract with consultant(s), contractor(s) or both to accomplish the PROJECT. Prior to posting solicitations, the COOPERATOR must obtain the DISTRICT'S written input regarding whether costs to be paid are allowable under this Agreement. The COOPERATOR must also obtain the DISTRICT'S written approval prior to entering into agreements for PROJECT work

to ensure that costs to be reimbursed by the DISTRICT are reasonable. The DISTRICT shall provide a written response to the COOPERATOR within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of the solicitation or agreement. Upon written DISTRICT approval, the budget amounts for the work set forth in such agreement(s) shall refine the amounts set forth in the Project Budget and be incorporated herein by reference. The DISTRICT shall not reimburse the COOPERATOR for costs incurred under consultant and contractor agreements until the DISTRICT approvals required under this provision have been obtained.

3.5 Payment shall be made to the COOPERATOR within forty-five (45) days of receipt of an invoice with adequate supporting documentation to satisfy auditing purposes. Invoices shall be submitted to the DISTRICT every two (2) months electronically at invoices@WaterMatters.org, or at the following address:

Accounts Payable Section
Southwest Florida Water Management District
Post Office Box 15436
Brooksville, Florida 34604-5436

The above-referenced payment due date shall not apply to that portion of an invoice that includes expenditures of contingency funds. The DISTRICT agrees to reimburse the COOPERATOR for expenditures of contingency funds within a reasonable time to accommodate the process provided for in Subparagraph 2 of this Funding Paragraph.

In addition to sending an original invoice to the DISTRICT'S Accounts Payable Section as required above, copies of invoices may also be submitted to the DISTRICT'S Contract Manager in order to expedite the review process. Failure of the COOPERATOR to submit invoices to the DISTRICT in the manner provided herein shall relieve the DISTRICT of its obligation to pay within the aforementioned timeframe.

The DISTRICT makes payments electronically through the Automated Clearing House (ACH) process. The COOPERATOR agrees to complete the DISTRICT'S *Vendor Registration Form* and *Vendor Electronic Payment Authorization Form* to enable payments to be sent to COOPERATOR electronically. The forms may be downloaded from the DISTRICT'S website at www.watermatters.org under Business & Finance — Contracts and Procurement. Any questions regarding electronic payments may be directed to the DISTRICT'S Accounts Payable Lead at 352-796-7211, extension 4108.

The parties acknowledge that the PROJECT was approved for funding by the DISTRICT based upon the resource benefits expected to be achieved by the PROJECT (the "Measurable Benefit"). The parties also acknowledge that the COOPERATOR is solely responsible for implementing the PROJECT in such a manner that the expected resource benefits are achieved. If at any point during the progression of the PROJECT, the DISTRICT determines that it is likely that the Measurable Benefit as set forth in the Project Plan will not be achieved, the DISTRICT shall provide the COOPERATOR with fifteen (15) days advance written

notice that the DISTRICT shall withhold payments to the COOPERATOR until such time as the COOPERATOR demonstrates that the PROJECT shall achieve the required resource benefits, to provide the COOPERATOR with an opportunity to cure the deficiencies.

- 3.7 Any travel expenses which may be authorized under this Agreement shall be paid in accordance with Section 112.061, Florida Statutes (F.S.), as may be amended from time to time. The DISTRICT shall not reimburse the COOPERATOR for any purpose not specifically identified in the Scope of Work Paragraph. Surcharges added to third party invoices are not considered an allowable cost under this Agreement. Costs associated with in-kind services provided by the COOPERATOR are not reimbursable by the DISTRICT and may not be included in the COOPERATOR'S share of funding contributions under this Agreement.
- 3.8 Each COOPERATOR invoice must include the following certification, and the COOPERATOR hereby delegates authority by virtue of this Agreement to its Project Manager to affirm said certification:
 - "I hereby certify that the costs requested for reimbursement and the COOPERATOR'S matching funds, as represented in this invoice, are directly related to the performance under the South Creek Watershed Management Plan (N970) agreement between the Southwest Florida Water Management District and Pinellas County (Agreement No. 19CF0001823), are allowable, allocable, properly documented, and are in accordance with the approved Project Budget. This invoice includes \$__ of contingency expenses. The COOPERATOR has been allocated a total of \$__ in federal, state, local or grant monies for this PROJECT (not including DISTRICT funds) and \$__ has been allocated to this invoice, reducing the DISTRICT'S and COOPERATOR'S share to \$__ /\$ respectively."
- 3.9 In the event any dispute or disagreement arises during the course of the PROJECT, including whether expenses are reimbursable under this Agreement, the COOPERATOR will continue to perform the PROJECT work in accordance with the Project Plan. The COOPERATOR is under a duty to seek clarification and resolution of any issue, discrepancy, or dispute by providing the details and basis of the dispute to the DISTRICT'S Contract Manager no later than ten (10) days after the precipitating event. If not resolved by the Contract Manager, in consultation with his or her Bureau Chief, within ten (10) days of receipt of notice, the dispute will be forwarded to the DISTRICT'S Assistant Executive Director. The DISTRICT'S Assistant Executive Director in consultation with the DISTRICT'S Office of General Counsel will issue the DISTRICT'S final determination. The COOPERATOR'S continuation of the PROJECT work as required under this provision shall not constitute a waiver of any legal remedy available to the COOPERATOR concerning the dispute.

4. COMPLETION DATES.

The COOPERATOR shall commence and complete the PROJECT and meet the task deadlines in accordance with the Project Schedule set forth in the Project Plan, including

any extensions of time provided by the DISTRICT in accordance with Subparagraph 1 of the Project Contacts and Notices Paragraph. In the event of hurricanes, tornados, floods, acts of God, acts of war, or other such catastrophes, or other man-made emergencies such as labor strikes or riots, which are beyond the control of the COOPERATOR, the COOPERATOR'S obligations to meet the time frames provided in this Agreement shall be suspended for the period of time the condition continues to exist. During such suspension, this Agreement shall remain in effect. When the COOPERATOR is able to resume performance of its obligations under this Agreement, in whole or in part, it shall immediately give the DISTRICT written notice to that effect and shall resume performance no later than two (2) working days after the notice is delivered. The suspension of the COOPERATOR'S obligations provided for in this provision shall be the COOPERATOR'S sole remedy for the delays set forth herein.

REPAYMENT.

- 5.1 The COOPERATOR shall repay the DISTRICT all funds the DISTRICT paid to the COOPERATOR under this Agreement, if: a) the COOPERATOR fails to complete the PROJECT in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, including failing to meet the Measurable Benefit; b) the DISTRICT determines, in its sole discretion and judgment, that the COOPERATOR has failed to maintain scheduled progress of the PROJECT thereby endangering the timely performance of this Agreement; c) the COOPERATOR fails to appropriate sufficient funds to meet the task deadlines, unless extended in accordance with Subparagraph 1 of the Project Contacts and Notices Paragraph; or d) a provision or provisions of this Agreement setting forth the requirements or expectations of a Measurable Benefit resulting from the PROJECT is held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable during the term of this Agreement. Should any of the above conditions exist that require the COOPERATOR to repay the DISTRICT, this Agreement shall terminate in accordance with the procedure set forth in the Default Paragraph.
- 5.2 Notwithstanding the above, the parties acknowledge that if the PROJECT fails to achieve the Measurable Benefit specified in this Agreement, the COOPERATOR may request the DISTRICT Governing Board to waive the repayment obligation, in whole or in part.
- 5.3 In the event the COOPERATOR is obligated to repay the DISTRICT under any provision of this Agreement, the COOPERATOR shall repay the DISTRICT within a reasonable time, as determined by the DISTRICT in its sole discretion.
- 5.4 The COOPERATOR shall pay attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the DISTRICT, including appeals, as a result of the COOPERATOR'S failure to repay the DISTRICT as required by this Agreement.
- 5.5 This Repayment Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

CONTRACT PERIOD.

This Agreement shall be effective October 1, 2018 and shall remain in effect through

December 31, 2022, or upon satisfactory completion of the PROJECT and subsequent reimbursement to the COOPERATOR, whichever occurs first, unless amended in writing by the parties. The COOPERATOR shall not be eligible for reimbursement for any work that is commenced, or costs that are incurred, prior to the effective date of this Agreement.

7. PROJECT RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS.

Upon request by the DISTRICT, the COOPERATOR shall permit the DISTRICT to examine or audit all PROJECT related records and documents during or following completion of the PROJECT at no cost to the DISTRICT. Payments made to the COOPERATOR under this Agreement shall be reduced for amounts found to be not allowable under this Agreement by an audit. If an audit is undertaken by either party, all required records shall be maintained until the audit has been completed and all questions arising from it are resolved. Each party shall maintain all such records and documents for at least three (3) years following completion of the PROJECT. Each party shall comply with Chapter 119, F.S., the Public Records Act, including allowing public access to PROJECT documents and materials made or received by either party. Should either party assert any exemption to the requirements of Chapter 119, F.S., the burden of establishing such exemption, by way of injunctive or other relief as provided by law, shall be upon the asserting party. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

8. OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS AND OTHER MATERIALS.

All documents, including reports, drawings, estimates, programs, manuals, specifications, and all goods or products, including intellectual property and rights thereto, purchased under this Agreement with DISTRICT funds or developed in connection with this Agreement shall be and shall remain the property of the DISTRICT and the COOPERATOR, jointly. Notwithstanding the above, all reclaimed water infrastructure shall be and shall remain the sole property of the COOPERATOR. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

9. <u>REPORTS.</u>

- 9.1 The COOPERATOR shall provide the DISTRICT with a quarterly report describing the progress of the PROJECT tasks, adherence to the Performance Schedule and any developments affecting the PROJECT. The COOPERATOR shall promptly advise the DISTRICT of issues that arise that may impact the successful and timely completion of the PROJECT. Quarterly reports shall be submitted to the DISTRICT'S Contract Manager no later than forty-five (45) days following the completion of the quarterly reporting period. It is hereby understood and agreed by the parties that the term "quarterly" shall reflect the calendar quarters ending March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31.
- 9.2 Upon request by the DISTRICT, the COOPERATOR shall provide the DISTRICT with copies of all data, reports, models, studies, maps or other documents resulting from the PROJECT. Additionally, one (1) set, electronic and hardcopy, of any final reports must be submitted to the DISTRICT as Record and Library copies. This Subparagraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

- 9.3 The COOPERATOR shall provide the DISTRICT with each deliverable set forth in the Project Plan for review by the DISTRICT, including any supporting documentation. The DISTRICT shall provide a written response to the COOPERATOR and the COOPERATOR shall respond to the DISTRICT'S questions and concerns within the timeframes set forth in the Project Plan.
- 9.4 The COOPERATOR shall provide the data, reports and documents referenced in this provision at no cost to the DISTRICT.

10. RISK, LIABILITY, AND INDEMNITY.

- 10.1 To the extent permitted by Florida law, the COOPERATOR assumes all risks relating to the PROJECT and agrees to be solely liable for, and to indemnify and hold the DISTRICT harmless from all claims, loss, damage and other expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs and attorneys' fees and costs on appeal, arising from the design, construction, operation, maintenance or implementation of the PROJECT; provided, however, that the COOPERATOR shall not indemnify for that portion of any loss or damages proximately caused by the negligent act or omission of the DISTRICT'S officers, employees, contractors and agents. The acceptance of the DISTRICT'S funding by the COOPERATOR does not in any way constitute an agency relationship between the DISTRICT and the COOPERATOR.
- 10.2 The COOPERATOR agrees to indemnify and hold the DISTRICT harmless, to the extent allowed under Section 768.28, F.S., from all claims, loss, damage and other expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs and attorneys' fees and costs on appeal, arising from the negligent acts or omissions of the COOPERATOR'S officers, employees, contractors and agents related to its performance under this Agreement.
- 10.3 This Risk, Liability, and Indemnity Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, shall not be construed as a waiver of the COOPERATOR'S sovereign immunity or an extension of the COOPERATOR'S liability beyond the limits established in Section 768.28, F.S. Additionally, this Risk, Liability, and Indemnity Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, will not be construed to impose contractual liability on the COOPERATOR for underlying tort claims as described above beyond the limits specified in Section 768.28, F.S., nor be construed as consent by the COOPERATOR to be sued by third parties in any manner arising out of this Agreement.
- 10.4 Nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted as a waiver of the DISTRICT'S sovereign immunity or an extension of its liability beyond the limits established in Section 768.28, F.S., nor be construed as consent by the DISTRICT to be sued by third parties in any manner arising out of this Agreement.
- 10.5 This Risk, Liability, and Indemnity Paragraph, including all subparagraphs, shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

11. DEFAULT.

Either party may terminate this Agreement upon the other party's failure to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement, including the failure to meet task deadlines established in this Agreement, as long as the terminating party is not in default of any term or condition of this Agreement at the time of termination. To effect termination, the terminating party shall provide the defaulting party with a written "Notice of Termination" stating its intent to terminate and describing all terms and conditions with which the defaulting party has failed to comply. If the defaulting party has not remedied its default within thirty (30) days after receiving the Notice of Termination, this Agreement shall automatically terminate. If a default cannot reasonably be cured in thirty (30) days, then the thirty (30) days may be extended at the non-defaulting party's discretion, if the defaulting party is pursuing a cure of the default with reasonable diligence. The rights and remedies in this Paragraph are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law or this Agreement.

12. <u>RELEASE OF INFORMATION.</u>

The parties agree not to initiate any oral or written media interviews or issue press releases on or about the PROJECT without providing notices or copies to the other party no later than three (3) business days prior to the interview or press release. This Paragraph shall not be construed as preventing the parties from complying with the public records disclosure laws set forth in Chapter 119, F.S.

13. DISTRICT RECOGNITION.

The COOPERATOR shall recognize DISTRICT funding in any reports, models, studies, maps or other documents resulting from this Agreement, and the form of said recognition shall be subject to DISTRICT approval. If construction is involved, the COOPERATOR shall provide signage at the PROJECT site that recognizes funding for this PROJECT provided by the DISTRICT. All signage must meet with DISTRICT written approval as to form, content and location, and must be in accordance with local sign ordinances.

14. LAW COMPLIANCE.

The COOPERATOR shall comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and guidelines, including those of the DISTRICT, related to performance under this Agreement.

15. DIVERSITY IN CONTRACTING AND SUBCONTRACTING.

The DISTRICT is committed to supplier diversity in the performance of all contracts associated with DISTRICT cooperative funding projects. The DISTRICT requires the COOPERATOR to make good faith efforts to encourage the participation of minority owned and woman owned and small business enterprises, both as prime contractors and subcontractors, in the performance of this Agreement, in accordance with applicable laws.

15.1 If requested, the DISTRICT shall assist the COOPERATOR by sharing information to help the COOPERATOR in ensuring that minority owned and woman owned and small businesses are afforded an opportunity to participate in the performance of this Agreement.

15.2 The COOPERATOR agrees to provide the DISTRICT with a report indicating all contractors and subcontractors who performed work in association with the PROJECT, the amount spent with each contractor or subcontractor, and to the extent such information is known, whether each contractor or subcontractor was a minority owned or woman owned or small business enterprise. If no minority owned or woman owned or small business enterprises were used in the performance of this Agreement, then the report shall so indicate. The Minority/Women Owned and Small Business Utilization Report form is attached as an exhibit. The report is required upon final completion of the PROJECT prior to final payment, or within thirty (30) days of the execution of any amendment that increases PROJECT funding, for information up to the date of the amendment and prior to the disbursement of any additional funds by the DISTRICT.

16. SCRUTINIZED COMPANIES.

Pursuant to Section 287.135, F.S., a company that, at the time of submitting a bid or proposal for a new contract or renewal of an existing contract, is on the Scrutinized Companies that Boycott Israel List, or is engaged in a boycott of Israel, is ineligible to, and may not bid on, submit a proposal for, or enter into or renew a contract with an agency or local governmental entity for goods or services in any amount. If the goods or services are in the amount of \$1 million dollars or more, the company must also not be on the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List, or be engaged in business operations in Cuba or Syria.

By signing this Agreement, the COOPERATOR certifies that it is not on any of the lists or engaged in any of the prohibited activities identified above, as applicable based upon the amount of this Agreement. The COOPERATOR agrees to notify the DISTRICT if it is placed on any of the applicable lists or engages in any of the prohibited activities during the term of this Agreement. The DISTRICT may immediately terminate this Agreement at its option if the COOPERATOR is found to have submitted a false certification, is placed on any of the applicable lists or engages in any prohibited activities.

17. ASSIGNMENT.

Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, no party may assign any of its rights or delegate any of its obligations under this Agreement, including any operation or maintenance duties related to the PROJECT, without the prior written consent of the other party. Any attempted assignment in violation of this provision is void. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

18. CONTRACTORS.

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to create, or be implied to create, any relationship between the DISTRICT and any consultant or contractor of the COOPERATOR.

19. THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARIES.

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to benefit any person or entity not a party to this Agreement.

20. LOBBYING PROHIBITION.

Pursuant to Section 216.347, F.S., the COOPERATOR is prohibited from using funds provided by this Agreement for the purpose of lobbying the Legislature, the judicial branch or a state agency.

21. PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES.

Pursuant to Subsections 287.133(2) and (3), F.S., a person or affiliate who has been placed on the convicted vendor list following a conviction for a public entity crime may not submit a bid, proposal, or reply on a contract to provide any goods or services to a public entity; may not submit a bid, proposal, or reply on a contract with a public entity for the construction or repair of a public building or public work; may not submit bids, proposals, or replies on leases of real property to a public entity; may not be awarded or perform work as a contractor, supplier, subcontractor, or consultant under a contract with any public entity; and may not transact business with any public entity in excess of the threshold amount provided in Section 287.017, F.S., for Category Two, for a period of 36 months following the date of being placed on the convicted vendor list. The COOPERATOR agrees to include this provision in all contracts issued as a result of this Agreement.

22. GOVERNING LAW.

This Agreement is governed by Florida law and venue for resolving disputes under this Agreement shall be exclusively in Hillsborough County, Florida. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

23. SEVERABILITY.

If any provision or provisions of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby. Notwithstanding the above, if a provision or provisions of this Agreement setting forth the requirements or expectations of a Measurable Benefit resulting from the PROJECT is held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable during the term of this Agreement, this Agreement shall terminate in accordance with Subparagraph 1 of the Repayment Paragraph. This Paragraph shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

24. COUNTERPARTS.

The parties may execute this Agreement, and any amendments related to this Agreement, each of which constitutes an original, and all of which, collectively, constitute only one agreement. The signatures of all of the parties need not appear on the same counterpart.

25. ENTIRE AGREEMENT.

This Agreement and the attached exhibits listed below constitute the entire agreement between the parties and, unless otherwise provided herein, may be amended only in writing, signed by all parties to this Agreement.

26. DOCUMENTS.

The following documents are attached and made a part of this Agreement. In the event of a conflict of contract terminology, priority shall first be given to the language in the body of this Agreement, then to Exhibit "A," and then to Exhibit "B."

Exhibit "A" Project Plan
Exhibit "B" Minority/Women Owned and Small Business Utilization Report Form

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto, or their lawful representatives, have executed this Agreement on the day and year set forth next to their signatures below.

SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Ву:	Chi	12/17/2018
Amanda	Rice, P.E.	Date

Assistant Executive Director

PINELLAS COUNTY

By: Kernett / Well 12/11/

Name: Kenneth T. Welch

Title: Chairman

Authorized Signatory

APPROVED AS TO FORM
PROEOFOCUNTY ATTORNEY

Attorney

ATTEST: Ken Burke, Clerk of the Circuit

Court

Deputy Clerk

COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT (TYPE 3)
BETWEEN THE
SOUTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
AND
PINELLAS COUNTY
FOR

SOUTH CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN (N970)

EXHIBIT "A" PROJECT PLAN

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a multi-year funded project to develop a Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the South Creek watershed in Pinellas County. The watershed covers approximately 4.6 square miles and discharges into south end of Lake Tarpon and the outfall canal. The WMP will include Watershed Evaluation, Floodplain Analysis, Level of Service (LOS) Determination, Surface Water Resource Assessment (SWRA), and Best Management Practice (BMP) Alternatives Analysis. These elements are defined as PROJECT TASKS listed below. PROJECT TASKS are to be accomplished according to the PROJECT SCHEDULE and PROJECT BUDGET table listed below.

RESOURCE BENEFIT

The WMP will analyze flooding problems that exist in the watershed.

MEASURABLE BENEFIT

Completion of a WMP that identifies floodplains, establishes LOS, performs SWRA, and evaluates BMPs to address flooding and water quality concerns in the watershed, in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement.

PROJECT TASKS

The COOPERATOR shall:

Perform the work in accordance with the DISTRICT Scope of Work Task Descriptions in the "Watershed Management Program Guidance Documents", effective as of the date of the COOPERATOR'S issuance of a work order to its consultant. These guidance documents can be found at: ftp://ftp.swfwmd.state.fl.us/pub/GWIS/WMP Guidance Documents.

The following is a list of elements to be included unless otherwise noted. Elements and tasks not included, and elements and tasks to be updated from existing information will be specifically noted.

- 1.1 Procurement
 - 1.1.1 Consultant Contract Development
 - 1.1.2 District Consultant Contract Review and Approval
- 2.1 Project Development
 - 2.1.1 Data Collection and Initial Evaluation
 - 2.1.2 Draft Project Plan
 - 2.1.3 Kick-off Meeting
 - 2.1.4 Final Project Plan
- 2.2 Watershed Evaluation
 - 2.2.1 Assembly and Evaluation of Watershed Data
 - 2.2.2 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Feature Database
 - 2.2.3 Preliminary Model Features
 - 2.2.4 Peer Review of Watershed Evaluation

2.2.5 Final Approved Watershed Evaluation Deliverables

- 2.3 Floodplain Analysis
 - 2.3.1 Watershed Model Parameterization
 - 2.3.2 Peer Review of Watershed Model Parameterization
 - 2.3.3 Final Approved Watershed Model Parameterization Deliverables
 - 2.3.4 Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation
 - 2.3.5 Peer Review of Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation
 - 2.3.6 Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables for Preliminary Floodplain Open House
 - 2.3.7 Preliminary Floodplain Open House and Response to Public Comments
 - 2.3.8 Final Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables
- 2.4 Alternatives Analysis FPLOS, Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations
 - 2.4.1 FPLOS Determination
 - 2.4.2 Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations
- 2.5 Alternatives Analysis SWRA and BMPs of Water Quality
 - 2.5.1 SWRA of Water Quality
 - 2.5.2 Water Quality BMPs

DELIVERABLES

The following deliverables are related to the specific PROJECT TASKS from above:

Quarterly Status Reports

Procurement

- Executed Consultant Agreement
- Minority/Women Owned and Small Business Utilization Report

Project Development

- Draft Project Plan
- Attendance at Kick-off Meeting and Meeting Minutes
- Final Project Plan

Watershed Evaluation

Assembly and Evaluation of Watershed Data

- Task Memorandum
- GWIS Geodatabase
- Digital Elevation Model (DEM)
- Responses to Comments Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document

Hydrologic and Hydraulic Feature Database

- GWIS Geodatabase
- Refined DEM
- Technical Support Data Notebook (TSDN)

- Response to Comments Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document

Preliminary Model Features

- Watershed Evaluation Report
- GWIS Geodatabase
- Refined DEM
- TSDN
- Project Specific QA/QC Document

Final Approved Watershed Evaluation Deliverables

- Revised Watershed Evaluation Deliverables
- Response to Comment Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document
- Update Project Plan
- One (1) set hardcopy of Final Watershed Evaluation Report

Floodplain Analysis

Watershed Model Parameterization

- Updated Watershed Evaluation Report
- GWIS Geodatabase
- Model Input/Output Files
- TSDN
- Project Specific QA/QC Document

Final Approved Watershed Model Parameterization Deliverables

- Revised Watershed Model Parameterization Deliverables
- · Response to Comment Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document

Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation

- Floodplain Justification Report
- GWIS Geodatabase
- 100-Year Flood Depth Grids
- 100-Year Floodplains with Climate Change and Sea Level Rise Considerations
- Model Input/Output Files
- TSDN
- Project Specific QA/QC Document

Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables for Preliminary Floodplain Open House

- Revised Floodplain Analysis Deliverables
- Responses to Comments Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document

Final Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables

- Attendance at Preliminary Floodplain Open House
- Response to Public Comments

- Revised Floodplain Analysis Deliverables
- PowerPoint Presentation
- Project Specific QA/QC Document
- Update Project Plan
- One (1) set hardcopy of Final Floodplain Justification Report

Alternatives Analysis – FPLOS, Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations

FPLOS Determination

- FPLOS Analysis Report
- Geodatabase
- Flood Depth Grids for FPLOS Design Storms
- Model Input/Output Files for FPLOS Design Storms
- Responses to Comment Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document
- One (1) set hardcopy of Final FPLOS Analysis Report

Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations

- Alternative Analysis and Recommendation Report
- Geodatabase
- Flood Depth Grids for Proposed Conditions
- Model Input/Output Files for Proposed Conditions
- Ranking of BMP Recommendations
- Responses to Comments Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document
- One (1) set hardcopy of Final Alternative Analysis and Recommendation Report

Alternatives Analysis – SWRA and BMPs of Water Quality

SWRA of Water Quality

- SWRA Report
- Geodatabase
- Existing Conditions Pollutant Loading Model
- Responses to Comments Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document
- One (1) set hardcopy of Final SWRA Analysis Report

Water Quality BMPs

- Water Quality BMPs Report
- Geodatabase
- Proposed Conditions Pollutant Loading Model
- Response to Comments Geodatabase
- Project Specific QA/QC Document
- One (1) set hardcopy of Final Water Quality BMPs Report

DELIVERABLE REVIEW TIMES

The DISTRICT shall provide a written response to the COOPERATOR within twenty (20) business days of receipt of each deliverable specified below including supporting

documentation. The COOPERATOR shall respond to the DISTRICT'S questions and concerns with twenty (20) business days of receipt by the COOPERATOR.

- All deliverables related to the following Project Tasks:
 - Watershed Evaluation
 - Floodplain Analysis
 - Alternatives Analysis FPLOS, Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations
 - Alternatives Analysis SWRA and BMPs of Water Quality

PROJECT SCHEDULE

DESCRIPTION	COMMENCE	COMPLETE
1.1 Procurement	10/01/2018	01/31/2019
2.1 Project Development	02/01/2019	03/31/2019
2.2 Watershed Evaluation	04/01/2019	03/31/2020
2.3 Floodplain Analysis	04/01/2020	12/31/2020
2.4 Alternatives Analysis – FPLOS, Drainage Improvement	01/01/2021	09/30/2021
Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations		
2.5 Alternatives Analysis – SWRA and BMPs of Water Quality	10/01/2021	06/30/2022

 Additional task deadlines contained in the performance schedules of any consultant and contractor contracts will be incorporated herein by reference.

PROJECT BUDGET

DESCRIPTION	DISTRICT	COOPERATOR	TOTAL
2.1 Project Development	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$30,000
2.2 Watershed Evaluation	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$300,000
2.3 Floodplain Analysis	\$130,000	\$130,000	\$260,000
2.4 Alternatives Analysis – FPLOS,			
Drainage Improvement Alternatives	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$80,000
Analysis and Recommendations			
2.5 Alternatives Analysis – SWRA and	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$80,000
BMPs of Water Quality	Ψ+0,000	Ψ+0,000	Ψ00,000
TOTAL	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$750,000

- Budget amounts for tasks can be adjusted by the Contract Manager in accordance with Subparagraph 1.2.
- Reimbursement for expenditures of contingency funds is contingent upon DISTRICT approval in accordance with the Funding paragraph in the Agreement. The COOPERATOR must provide justification for the expenditure that will require documentation including, but not limited to, the purpose and necessity of the expenditure, the reason the expenditure was not included in the consultant agreement with the COOPERATOR, expenditure cost comparisons and justification of the cost.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.

EXHIBIT "B" MINORITY/WOMEN OWNED AND SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION REPORT

Projects receiving \$100,000 or more in cooperative funding from the Southwest Florida Water Management District require the submission of the following information within 30 days of any amendment increasing project funding and with the final invoice. Questions regarding use of this form should be directed to Contracts Administration, Phone (352) 796-7211 ext. 4133.

		INDICATE THE ONE CATEGORY THAT BEST DESCRIBES EACH ORGANIZATION LISTED*				STED*								
COOPERATOR:		BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION		CERTIFIED MBE				NON-CERTIFIED MBE				UNKNOWN		
AGREEMENT NO.:		NON-MINORITY	SMALL E Section 2	AFRICAI	HISPAN	ASIAN/H AMERIC	NATIVE	AMERIC	AFRICA	HISPAN	ASIAN/H AMERIC	NATIVE	AMERIC	
PROJECT NAME:		NORITY	_BUSINESS n 288.703(1) F.S.	AFRICAN AMERICAN	HISPANIC AMERICAN	ASIAN/HAWAIIAN AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	AMERICAN WOMAN	AFRICAN AMERICAN	HISPANIC AMERICAN	ASIAN/HAWAIIAN AMERICAN	NATIVE AMERICAN	AMERICAN WOMAN	
TOTAL PROJECT COST:			F.S.	ź	Ä			Ż	Å	ÄN		Z	Ź	
NAMES OF CONTRACTORS AND SUBCONTRACTORS UTILIZED	TOTAL AMOUNT PAID										_			
										_				
							_							
					_					_				
										_				
^r □ Our organization does not collect mir	ority status data.													
Signature	Date			Print	Name	e and	Title						_	

Exhibit A

SCOPE OF SERVICES

ENGINEERING CONSULTING SERVICES RFP No.: 178-0465-NC (SS)

Professional Engineering, Biological, Planning, and Environmental Services For South Creek Watershed Management Plan

Prepared for:

Pinellas County
Public Works Department
22211 US Hwy 19 N Bldg. 1
Clearwater, FL 33765

Prepared by:

Geosyntec Consultants Inc. 50 South Belcher Road, Suite 116 Clearwater, FL 33765

July 2019

PROJECT TITLE

South Creek Watershed Management Plan (Project # 003870A)

Note: All deliverables are required to meet the general requirements of the Southwest Florida Water Management District (DISTRICT), Pinellas County (COUNTY), and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as noted in the scope of work presented below.

OBJECTIVE

The South Creek Watershed Management Plan (WMP) provides an evaluation of the watershed to identify problems requiring management of resources, and recommend solutions affecting water quantity, quality and natural resources. The WMP will include the data collection of drainage and water quality data; the evaluation of the 2.33-year, 5-year, 10-year, 25-year, 50-year and 100-year existing conditions design storm event flood elevations; the use of an appropriate hydraulic/hydrologic model that is accepted by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), the COUNTY and the DISTRICT; and the development of a management plan that provides recommendations for non-structural and site-specific structural improvements. Interconnected Channel and Pond Routing Model version four (ICPRv4) is the surface water modeling software package that will be used for this project. A pollutant loading model will be developed to estimate pollutant loads generated by subbasins and relative pollutant loads delivered from the watershed to Lake Tarpon Canal. The model will also estimate pollutant load reductions that may result through implementation of the recommended alternatives.

The WMP will include, as feasible, the conceptual design for recommended structural alternatives that will be necessary to determine permitting and construction feasibility, and cost effectiveness at the planning level. This plan does not include preparing plans or information for permit applications or FEMA submittals. The WMP will also address the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (FDEP) Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) program. Further, the WMP shall consider sea level rise and future rainfall conditions, where appropriate, as part of the COUNTY's resiliency planning efforts.

1.1 Limits of Work

- 1.1.1 The WMP shall encompass all areas delineated as the South Creek Watershed, currently defined as a total area of approximately 2,918 acres (4.5 square miles). A detailed project area will be determined upon project initiation.
- 1.1.2 Proposed improvements, when feasible and practical, should be contained within the limits of existing publicly owned lands, rights-of-way, or easements. Regional improvements on privately held land that could further the objectives of the WMP may also be considered.

1.2 Key Personnel

- 1.2.1 The COUNTY reserve the right to approve/disapprove for whatsoever reason all personnel assigned to this project by Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. (CONSULTANT). The individual(s) named in the proposal response and/or such other individuals who are to be assigned to work under this contract are necessary for the successful performance of this contract. The CONSULTANT agrees that whenever for any reason, one or more of the individuals named in the proposal are unavailable for performance under this contract; the CONSULTANT shall replace such individual(s) with an individual(s) of substantially equal abilities, qualifications, and demonstrated applicable experience, subject to approval by the COUNTY.
- 1.2.2 The CONSULTANT shall submit to the COUNTY a resume giving the full name, title, qualifications, and experience, for all successors and/or new persons prior to assignment of such personnel to perform work under this contract. Should the COUNTY decide the successor personnel does not meet the qualifications of the replaced personnel, or in the case of new personnel, the COUNTY determines they are not qualified to perform the work assigned, the COUNTY will advise the CONSULTANT accordingly. The CONSULTANT shall then submit name(s) and qualifications of an individual(s) to the COUNTY until a determination is made by the COUNTY that the replacement individual(s) has substantially equal abilities, qualifications, and demonstrated applicable experience of the individual(s) named herein.
- 1.2.3 The designated Project Manager shall serve as the project representative for the CONSULTANT. The CONSULTANT will submit correspondence to the COUNTY and the DISTRICT, who will in turn copy project correspondence to all members of the project team as appropriate.

BACKGROUND

The South Creek watershed is an approximately 2,918 acre (4.5 square miles) drainage area located in north Pinellas County. The watershed is in unincorporated Pinellas County, as well as in the cities of Clearwater and Oldsmar. The major water bodies in the watershed include South Creek, Lake Saint George, the Lake Tarpon Outfall Canal. Dominant land use categories in the watershed include residential and commercial.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The PROJECT involves development of a comprehensive WMP for the watershed that results in recommendations for water quality, flood control, and natural system improvement projects.

Performing elements of the Watershed Management Program in cooperation with local governments is one of the Comprehensive Watershed Management (CWM) initiatives implemented by the DISTRICT. CWM teams for each of the major watersheds of the DISTRICT were assembled to address issues regarding the DISTRICT'S four areas of responsibility: Flood Protection, Water Quality, Water Supply, and Natural Systems. Out of this team effort, the DISTRICT recommended strategies and goals to address issues associated with these areas of responsibility. A recurrent theme of the CWM teams is the need for a watershed decision support system by which current and projected watershed conditions could be evaluated.

The general scope of this project is to develop a WMP for the South Creek Watershed in accordance with the Guidelines and Specifications for:

- Flood Hazard Mapping Partners (available at https://www.fema.gov/medialibrary/ assets/documents/13948)
- The nine elements listed in United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 319(h) Guidance Manual (http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/nps/cwact.cfm)
- SWFWMD Recommended Projection of Sea Level Rise in the Tampa Bay Region (http://www.tbrpc.org/council_members/councilagendas/2015/101215/8c.pdf)
- SWFWMD standards published in 2017 (rev 2018) ftp://ftp.swfwmd.state.fl.us/pub/GWIS/

Username: Anonymous

Password: (your email address)

• Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan (http://www.pinellascounty.org/plan/comprehensive_plan.htm), as applicable

The WMP addresses this need. The WMP includes five major elements: 1) Project Development, 2) Digital Topographic Information, 3) Watershed Evaluation, 4) Floodplain Analysis, and 5) Alternatives Analysis. Implementing elements of the Watershed Management Program with local governments is one of the CWM initiative strategies. The Watershed Management Program provides a method of evaluating the capacity of a watershed to protect, enhance, and restore water quality and natural systems, while achieving flood protection, and of measuring the effectiveness of the strategies and goals of the CWM initiative to reach the desired watershed condition through data analysis, modeling, projection, evaluation.

2.1 Project Development

2.1.1 Data Collection and Initial Evaluation

This task includes coordination and research for the CONSULTANT to become familiar with the watershed under study, project goals, background, data available, scope of work, and the COUNTY / DISTRICT study process and Guidance documents. The COUNTY / DISTRICT will provide or direct the CONSULTANT to obtain the following relevant information:

- Topographic Information
- Aerial Imagery
- Landuse and Soils Maps
- Potentiometric Surface Maps
- The DISTRICT Planning Units
- USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD)
- ERP Polygons
- Historical Water Levels
- USGS Gage Locations
- DISTRICT Data Collection Site Locations
- Stormwater Inventory, if any
- Site-Specific Information, if any
- Water Quality Data, if any
- Existing Studies and Models, if any
- Adjacent Watershed Studies, if any
- Data Collection Cut-off Date
- Wetland layers
- Impaired waters
- Outstanding Florida Waters (OFWs)
- Location, extent, and nature of chronic flooding problems
- Adjacent Watershed Studies
- Data collection "data certain"

2.1.2 Draft Project Plan

The CONSULTANT shall document the approach to execute tasks and identify outstanding project related issues; establish a communication protocol with members of the project team, the COUNTY, the DISTRICT, and other project stakeholders; and establish a project specific Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC) plan. This is the initial effort; however, this document shall be revisited periodically to assess the actual progress, evaluate staff allocations, include deficiencies and the recovery actions completed and planned, if any.

The Project Plan shall include the following contents:

- Introduction
- Goals and Objectives
- Project Approach for the approved Scope of Work

- Staff Allocation
- Quality Assurance Plan
- Communication Plan
- Assumptions and Issues Management
- Attachments/Appendices
- Project Schedule
- Project Cost

2.1.3 Kick-off Meeting

Upon the COUNTY's acceptance of the draft Project Plan, the kick-off meeting will be conducted to discuss the draft Project Plan accomplished in Task 2.1.2. Details may be added for anticipated work effort and issues of importance.

Deliverables

Deliverables of this task will be included in Task 2.1.4: Final Project Plan – Attachments (Meeting Minutes).

2.1.4 Final Project Plan

The CONSULTANT shall finalize the Project Plan developed in Task 2.1.2 to include additional information from Task 2.1.3 and submit to the COUNTY for approval.

Deliverables

- Draft Project Plan
- Final Project Plan
- Kick-off Meeting Minutes

2.2 Watershed Evaluation

2.2.1 Assembly and Evaluation of Watershed Data

2.2.1.1 Data Inventory

Building on the information gathered to produce the Project Plan, a search for pertinent watershed information shall be conducted to gain an understanding of the watershed and to avoid, as much as possible, duplication of information. Note that the additional data acquisition is limited to information that can be readily accessed via desktop search methods. The following is a list of information that shall be acquired, if available:

Base map data

- Climatic information
- Hydrologic information
- High water database
- Seasonal high water levels
- National Wetlands Inventory
- National Hydrography Dataset
- Documented flooding problems within the watershed
- Hydraulic information
- Construction "As-Built" and supporting drainage calculations included with ERPs
- Topographic data
- Land use data
- Soils data
- GIS database of the watershed
- Survey control data
- Photographs of historical flood events
- Effective FEMA FIRM database
- Effective FEMA Flood Insurance Studies (FISs)
- Effective FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs)
- Letters of Map Revision (including LOMRs and LOMR-Fs)
- ERP Information (including pertinent regulatory information, exemption, Agricultural exemption, etc.)
- Aerial imagery following high rainfall events
- Color infrared photographs of the watershed
- Latest watershed model, and input and output files
- Watershed Management Plan documents
- NEXRAD rainfall data

Local municipal, DISTRICT, or state agencies may be contacted to obtain above information.

All collected information shall be placed in the appropriate folder within the directory structure. Project processing of GIS data shall be performed, if necessary, to ensure spatial data is in the correct location and coordinate system (NAD83/2011 State Plane Florida West, US Feet).

2.2.1.2 Drainage Pattern and Watershed Boundary

The CONSULTANT shall examine drainage pattern and define the preliminary watershed boundary based on, but not limited to, the following:

- The DISTRICT Planning Units
- Best Available Topographic Information

- USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD)
- 2017 Aerial Imagery
- Stormwater Inventory, if any
- ERPs and Roadway Plans
- Existing Studies and Models, if any
- Adjacent Watershed Studies, if any

2.2.1.3 Areas of Development

The CONSULTANT shall identify ERPs and roadway plans to be incorporated into the watershed model based on, but not limited to, the following:

- Data Collection Cut-off Date or "Date Certain" (June 2019)
- 2017 Aerial Imagery
- 2017 Topographic Information or best available
- The DISTRICT Guidance Documents
- Public Interest

ERPs that have been constructed or are under construction as of the "date certain" and are considered to have substantial impact to the watershed will be incorporated within the watershed model. ERPs that started construction after the "date certain" shall be documented for potential future model update.

A summary table shall be provided indicating which ERPs/asbuilts will or will not be incorporated in the watershed model or are reserved for future model update.

Based on review of the DISTRICT's ERP polygon shapefile, the CONSULTANT identified the following:

- 159 Total ERPs
- 148 Approved ERPs
- 100 Approved, non-duplicate ERPs with relevant drainage or storage data

The CONSULTANT will review the ERP list with the scanned files available from the DISTRICT. The CONSULTANT will notify the COUNTY of data collection efforts needed where ERP data are not available or the scanned files are not legible.

The CONSULTANT shall review ERPs and roadway plans to determine whether any data gaps exist or if there are areas where additional information is needed. ERP data for areas within and

near the watershed was provided by the DISTRICT in December 2018. The CONSULTANT will search the DISTRICT'S website for additional ERP information, if needed, and request roadway or other plans from the COUNTY or FDOT to fill data gaps. A "Data Gaps" polygon feature class will be developed to delineate significant data gaps not addressed by aforementioned searches.

The plan sheet that shows the drainage network for the development or roadway will be georeferenced. It is anticipated that up to two (2) plan sheets will be georeferenced for each development or roadway. The georeferenced sheets will be used for catchment development, topographic refinement, and Hydronetwork refinement.

2.2.1.4 Initial GIS Processing

The CONSULTANT shall perform initial GIS processing to develop the following:

- Catchment
- Surface Connectivity

The CONSULTANT will place junctions appropriately to determine the effects of storage routing on hydrologically determined flow rates. As a goal, the CONSULTANT will place junctions in stormwater management and storage areas, ponds or lakes, natural lakes, wetlands and depressions for catchment delineation in accordance with DISTRICT G&S. It is anticipated that the preliminary schematic will be a raw schematic (Arc Hydro generated catchments and Hydronetwork features), mostly generated from automated processes within GIS. A refined network ready for model incorporation will be developed later under Task 2.2.3.

The South Creek Watershed is considered a developed area, therefore, the CONSULTANT will generally adhere to the following level of detail, as specified in the DISTRICT G&S:

- Man-made storage areas, such as a surface water attenuation pond that has a control device or is one acre or greater in size, shall have its contributing area delineated.
- Natural depressions, such as wetlands that are ½ acre or greater in size and have one-foot depth, shall have its contributing area delineated.

- Water bodies, such as lakes and ponds that are 5 acres or greater in size, shall be broken out from its contributing areas.
- Urban roadways classified as Minor Collectors and above shall have their own drainage system delineated where information is available.
- Local collection systems will be delineated based on reasonable representation with a target of 10 acres as the minimum delineation size.

It is anticipated a meeting, if appropriate, will be conducted between the COUNTY and the CONSULTANT, to discuss preliminary results, alternative threshold criteria, potential to expand the task scope and task budget, and other issues.

2.2.1.5 DEM and LiDAR Suitability Review

The CONSULTANT will review the best available DEM, breaklines, and accuracy report. The DEM will be reviewed to identify possible problems with the LiDAR based DEM such as floating breaklines and insufficient post spacing, and voids. The CONSULTANT will perform a desktop review of the best available DEM for suitability. It is anticipated that the DEM provided by the County will be suitable for watershed modeling. If necessary, comments and concerns will be relayed to the COUNTY and DISTRICT.

The CONSULTANT will review metadata accompanying the LiDAR data and incorporate subsequent changes and updates to the DEM during task 2.2.2.3 Topographic Information Refinement. It is understood that the DEM is a dynamic dataset, and a final DEM will be delivered at the end of the Watershed Evaluation phase of the study.

2.2.1.6 Topographic Voids Replacement Methodology/Approach

The CONSULTANT shall identify and document the topographic voids based on, but not limited to, the following:

- Data Collection Cut-off Date (June 2019)
- 2017 Aerial Imagery
- ERPs and Roadway Plans
- Site-Specific Information, if any
- Existing Studies and Models, if any

The CONSULTANT shall propose the methodology to eliminate topographic voids, such as: refinement of topographic information based on ERPs or roadway plans, limited acquisition of new LiDAR data, or no action needs be taken but adjustment will be given to model parameterization and floodplain delineation. The proposed methodology shall be included in Task 2.2.1.10.

Because the best available LiDAR data is anticipated to be recent, January 2017 or newer, and the watershed has been mostly built out for many years, few topographic voids are anticipated.

2.2.1.7 Hydrologic Characteristics and Percolation

The CONSULTANT shall examine hydrologic characteristics of the watershed. When applicable, the CONSULTANT shall identify locations where percolation simulation is desired based on, but not limited to, the following:

- Soil Map
- Potentiometric Surface Map
- ERP and Roadway Plans
- Site-Specific Information, if any

It is anticipated that percolation data will be available from ERP files or site-specific investigation. The CONSULTANT shall review existing percolation data and develop a watershed specific approach to conduct additional geotechnical investigation, if any. Note, collection of additional geotechnical data recommended as part of Task 2.2.1.7 is not included as part of this scope of work.

2.2.1.8 Historical Water Levels

The CONSULTANT shall assemble information on historic water levels, surveys, photos or videos of flooding, and any other available information including, but not limited to, the following:

- Seasonal High Water Level (SHWL)
- Lake levels
- High water levels
- Flood photos
- Flooding complaints
- Stream gage data
- Rain data

The CONSULTANT shall identify locations where additional historic water levels information is desired but unavailable based on the data listed above. Note, collection of this additional data is not included as part of this scope of work.

2.2.1.9 Data Acquisition Plan and Pre-field Reconnaissance Evaluation

Upon evaluation of available watershed data and initial GIS processing the CONSULTANT shall develop an approach of data acquisition. This watershed specific approach shall identify locations where collection will occur and what the method of collection will be. The proposed approach shall be included in Task 2.2.1.10.

HydroJunctions (drainage structure) or HydroEdges (pipes) shall be placed where field work is required to parameterize a hydraulic feature and will be developed for use in the field data acquisition, GWIS, and for eventual documentation of the acquisition process. GIS polylines will be placed where channel or other conveyance feature cross-sections are needed. A preliminary HydroNetwork with HydroJunction and HydroEdge feature classes will be further developed upon completion of field data acquisition.

The CONSULTANT shall also document level of accuracy for acquisition of additional spatial information. It is anticipated that vertical referencing to LiDAR derived data points on hard surfaces will be acceptable. Field survey may also be performed for hydraulic structures, cross-sections, and other topographic information. Field survey may be accomplished with a combination of GPS and traditional survey techniques when sufficient information is not attainable from existing data sources (i.e. LiDAR, As-Built drawings, etc.). GPS surveying may involve Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) units or Differential GPS (DGPS) depending on the circumstances. The appropriate level of accuracy for the information to be gathered will be determined by the CONSULTANT in close consultation with the COUNTY and the DISTRICT and must be approved by the COUNTY and the DISTRICT prior to field data acquisition.

2.2.1.10 Task Memorandum

The CONSULTANT shall document the efforts involved in Tasks 2.2.1.1 through 2.2.1.9. The document shall include, but not limited to, the following:

- Watershed Boundary and Surroundings
- Major Conveyance Systems and Drainage Pattern
- List of ERP & Roadway Plans to Incorporate
- Initial GIS Processing
- Topographic Voids Locations
- Methodology to Eliminate Topographic Voids
- Landuse Distribution by Cut-off Date (June 2019)
- Hydrologic Soil Group Distribution
- Percolation Locations
- Historical Water Levels
- Potential Data Issues, if any
- Data Acquisition Plan
- Field Data Acquisition Accuracy Approach

2.2.1.11 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control

The CONSULTANT will attend meeting(s) to discuss the data assembly and evaluation; get input from the COUNTY/DISTRICT on additional data they may be able to provide; and any additional data collection that may be required. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Digital Elevation Model (DEM)
- B. GWIS geodatabase
- C. Task memorandum (Task 2.2.1.10)
- D. Project specific QA/QC document
- E. Responses to comments geodatabase

2.2.2 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Feature Database

2.2.2.1 Acquisition of Data

The CONSULTANT shall perform data acquisition based on the approach developed in Task 2.2.1.9, acquired in the order of:

- Desktop reconnaissance
- Field reconnaissance
- Survey

Desktop reconnaissance will consist of using all previously obtained data and mapping programs such as Google Earth street view and Bing birds-eye view to determine watershed conditions and hydraulic features. Field reconnaissance and

survey will be required to fill any outstanding data gaps following the desktop research effort.

Field reconnaissance will involve the locating and field verifying hydraulic features as anticipated from the data acquisition plan. Photos should be taken with a device with Global Positioning System (GPS) capabilities so that images can be georeferenced within GIS. The digital photos shall be hyperlinked to GIS data. Field sketches and notes will be provided for each location visited. The CONSULTANT will conduct up to two (2) days of field reconnaissance for two people to verify structure locations and hydraulic conductivity. Additional field reconnaissance can be provided for an additional fee with written authorization from the COUNTY.

The CONSULTANT conducted an initial review of hydraulic structures and streams in the watershed anticipated to participate in the model. Based on this review, it was estimated that survey of approximately 177 hydraulic structures and 23 cross-sections may be necessary. The actual number and location of surveys needed for the project will be estimated during the Data Acquisition Plan task. A professional survey budget allowance of \$30,000 is included in the current fee estimate. Additional survey can be provided for an additional fee with written authorization from the COUNTY.

The CONSULTANT shall also document any immediate maintenance needs and notify the COUNTY.

2.2.2.2 HydroNetwork Development

The HydroNetwork is used to establish connectivity between features to identify which direction water flows. The HydroNetwork is comprised of HydroEdge and HydroJunction feature classes, which are limited to modeled bridges, channel conveyances, and pipe and control structure conveyances. The CONSULTANT shall develop the Hydronetwork including:

- HydroJunctions and HydroEdges
- Hydraulic Element Points (HEPs)

The HydroJunction and HydroEdge features will contain spatial data of the stormwater infrastructure with hyperlinks to supporting data.

The sources of the Hydronetwork are anticipated to include:

- Hydraulic Data from ERPs received from the DISTRICT
- Roadway Plans from FDOT, COUNTY, or other municipalities
- Existing GIS stormwater infrastructure databases from COUNTY or other Municipalities
- Aerial Imagery
- Bing birds-eye view
- Google street view
- Field Reconnaissance
- Survey

The drainage infrastructure digitized to Hydronetwork is anticipated to only include drainage structures anticipated to be included in the model network.

The CONSULTANT, with input from the COUNTY and DISTRICT, shall establish a consistent procedure and conversion factor(s) to be used to convert data in NGVD29 (or other datums, if any) to NAVD88 datum.

The datum used will be noted for data sources reviewed for their potential incorporation to the watershed model. The DISTRICT G&S will be used to determine datums when not specified.

The HEP Network is used to define subelements (culverts, weirs, etc.) from the Hydro Network, and to store specific structure data. The HEP Network is comprised of Hydraulic_Element_Point and HEP_Line feature classes, which are limited to modeled bridges, pipes, and control structure conveyances. The CONSULTANT will create HEP features using the SWFWMD – Connectivity Tools toolbar and the HydroNetwork, in a manner consistent with Appendix B4 of the DISTRICT G&S.

The system level shall also be assigned to the HydroNetwork in accordance with the DISTRICT G&S.

2.2.2.3 Topographic Information Refinement

When identified in the methodology of elimination of topographic voids in Task 2.2.1.6, the CONSULTANT shall refine the topographic information with ERP and roadway plans (e.g., computer aided drafting files) or field data acquisition (e.g., site-specific survey). Any changes shall be annotated in the accompanying metadata.

Note: This task and associated budget assumes minimal topographic information refinement will be required, as the DEM is based on the new 2017 LiDAR data.

2.2.2.4 Hydrologic Feature Database

The CONSULTANT shall review and update, if necessary, the latest landuse map based on, but not limited to, the following:

- Data Collection Cut-off Date (June 2019)
- 2017 Aerial Imagery
- ERPs and Roadway plans
- Site-Specific Information, if any

The CONSULTANT shall develop a generic lookup table for the watershed to include landuse DCIA and non-DCIA parameters.

The CONSULTANT shall review the best available NRCS soils data. Topology checks on the soils shall be performed to verify there are no gaps or overlaps. Also, the site specific soil information included with ERP data or other sources shall be compared with NRCS soils. If significant discrepancies are observed, a determination will be made regarding appropriate use of the NRCS data and if additional soil investigation is warranted.

Deliverables

- A. Refined topographic information
- B. Updated GWIS Geodatabase
- C. Updated Landuse feature class and lookup table
- D. Update Soils feature class and lookup table
- E. TSDN
- F. Project specific QA/QC document
- G. Responses to comments geodatabase

2.2.3 Preliminary Model Features

2.2.3.1 Additional GIS Processing

When deemed necessary by the COUNTY, the CONSULTANT shall perform additional GIS processing to update the following:

- Catchment
- Surface Connectivity

2.2.3.2 Preliminary Model Schematic

The CONSULTANT shall refine the GIS processed catchments and connectivity in conjunction with ERP and roadway plans and HydroNetwork developed in Task 2.2.2.2. The CONSULTANT shall identify the data source of each hydraulic feature to be included in the watershed model. Hydraulic feature information for will not be entered or related from sub-element tables to the links at this time. The CONSULTANT shall identify basin areas where a 2D overland flow modeling approach is proposed.

The CONSULTANT shall also coordinate with adjacent watershed(s) when necessary.

2.2.3.3 Model Parameterization Approach

The CONSULTANT shall develop and document the approach to parameterize model features developed in Task 2.2.3.2. The proposed approach will follow the DISTRICT G&S and shall be included in Task 2.2.3.4. The model parameterization approach shall include, but not limited to, the following:

- Design, Calibration, and Verification Storm Events
- Rainfall Excess and Unit Hydrographs
- Time of Concentration
- Initial Stages and Baseflow
- Stage-Storage Relationships
- Boundary Conditions
- DCIA vs UCIA
- Percolation Criteria
- Hydraulic Links (Pipes, Channels, Weirs, etc.)

2.2.3.4 Watershed Evaluation Report

The CONSULTANT shall document the efforts involved in Watershed Evaluation. It is anticipated that this report will be an expansion of the memorandum developed in Task 2.2.1.10 with documentation of subsequent tasks up to this point.

A meeting will be held to discuss the results of the watershed evaluation and begin preparation for the start of the Peer Review process.

2.2.3.5 Project Management and Quality Assurance/ Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the results of the Watershed Evaluation and begin preparation for the start of the Peer Review process. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Refined topographic information
- B. GWIS geodatabase
- C. Watershed evaluation report
- D. TSDN
- E. Project specific QA/QC document

2.2.4 Peer Review of Watershed Evaluation

2.2.4.1 Peer Review Kick-off Meeting and Presentation

The CONSULTANT will conduct a PowerPoint presentation to the peer review consultant, the COUNTY, the DISTRICT, and other interested parties, by summarizing the work accomplished in Watershed Evaluation with emphasis on approach, effort, and product. The full deliverables shall be transmitted to the peer review consultant prior to this meeting.

2.2.4.2 Peer Review Communication

During the peer review process, the peer review consultant may seek clarification and request additional information from the CONSULTANT. Responses and/or additional information requested from the CONSULTANT, if any, shall be transmitted to the peer review consultant and COUNTY PM within 5 business days.

The CONSULTANT may seek clarification from the peer review consultant after receiving comments. Clarification requested from the peer review consultant, if any, shall be provided to the CONSULTANT and COUNTY PM within 5 business days.

2.2.4.3 Meeting to Present Peer Review Comments

A meeting will be held for the peer review consultant to present draft review comments to the CONSULTANT, the COUNTY, and the DISTRICT if needed. The meeting will be in remote format, unless otherwise specified. Peer review deliverables shall be transmitted to the CONSULTANT prior to this meeting.

2.2.4.4 Meeting to Discuss Approach of Responding to Peer Review Comments

A meeting will be held for the CONSULTANT to present the approach to respond to peer review comments. The meeting will be in remote format, unless otherwise specified.

2.2.5 Final Approved Watershed Evaluation Deliverables

2.2.5.1 Revised Deliverables

Within sixty (60) days of receiving COUNTY/DISTRICT review comments, the CONSULTANT shall address peer review comments, as well as COUNTY/DISTRICT review comments, and re-submit watershed evaluation deliverables to the COUNTY/DISTRICT.

2.2.5.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the updates made to the Watershed Evaluation. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Responses to comments geodatabase
- B. Project specific QA/QC document
- C. Revised Watershed Evaluation

2.3 Watershed Management Plan – Floodplain Analysis

2.3.1 Watershed Model Parameterization

2.3.1.1 Acquisition of Additional Model Parameters

Additional information needed to fill watershed parameter gaps, if any, shall be acquired. These parameter gaps may include, but not limited to, the following:

- Drainage Feature
- Topographic Information

When percolation information is desired but missing from existing data, the COUNTY shall conduct further geotechnical investigation and provide the information to the CONSULTANT.

2.3.1.2 Development of Model Specific Geodatabase

The CONSULTANT shall develop watershed model parameters per the approach defined in Task 2.2.3.3 of Watershed Evaluation. When deemed necessary, the CONSULTANT may use a revised approach for certain parameters at the COUNTY's request. The revised approach shall be documented in a revised version of the Watershed Evaluation report. The CONSULTANT shall store the parameterization information within a GWIS geodatabase in a format that can be imported into the model framework.

2.3.1.3 Model Assembly and Stabilization

The CONSULTANT shall transfer model parameters from GWIS geodatabase into the model framework, set up, and debug the model. The following preliminary simulations shall be performed:

- 100-year/1-day Storm
- No Rainfall

The CONSULTANT shall also develop the level pool plots for the following:

- Initial Conditions
- 100-year/1-day Floodplain

The CONSULTANT shall identify and address the following potential issues based on the preliminary simulations and plots:

- Continuity Error (preferably less than 2%)
- Inadequate Simulation Time
- Flow Reversals or Sudden Change
- Instability
- Significant Initial Flows

2.3.1.4 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the results of the Watershed Parameterization and prepare for the upcoming Peer Review. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality

assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. GWIS geodatabase
- B. Model input/output files
- C. Geodatabase containing level pool plots
- D. Updated watershed evaluation report with revised model parameterization approach, if applicable
- E. TSDN
- F. Project specific QA/QC document

2.3.2 Final Approved Watershed Model Parameterization Deliverables

2.3.2.1 Revised Deliverables

Within sixty (60) days of the COUNTY/DISTRICT review comments, the CONSULTANT shall address comments and resubmit watershed model parameterization deliverables to the COUNTY/DISTRICT.

2.3.2.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the updates made to the Watershed Evaluation. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Revised Watershed Model Parameterization deliverables
- B. Responses to comments geodatabase
- C. Project specific QA/QC document

2.3.3 Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation

2.3.3.1 Model Calibration and Verification

The CONSULTANT will calibrate the ICPR model to one (1) of the rainfall events developed in Task 2.2.3.4. The CONSULTANT shall simulate a monitored event by adjusting appropriate model parameters within an acceptable range and using the measured rainfall depth and distribution, and then compare simulated surface water stages, flows, volumes, and time of occurrence to the measured data collected at gaging stations. The model is considered well calibrated when the simulated surface water stages, flows, volumes, and time of occurrence are in reasonable range, which shall be discussed with the COUNTY, with the measured data. The antecedent moisture content (AMC) condition and site-specific condition shall also be considered.

The CONSULTANT shall simulate one or more other recent storm events independent of the event used for calibration. The model is considered well verified when the simulated surface water stages, flows, volumes, and time of occurrence are in reasonable range, which shall be discussed with the COUNTY, with the measured data. The AMC condition and site-specific condition shall also be considered.

Model calibration and verification shall consider rainfall spatial distribution. Calibration and verification rainfall will be based on the DISTRICT's Doppler Radar-derived rainfall data at a 2-kilometer grid size.

2.3.3.2 Model Validation

The model simulation results will be assessed for accuracy and reasonableness with historic water levels, if any, corresponding to one of the existing, suitable simulations. The existing, suitable simulations include the calibration event, verification event, or design storm event with similar depth and duration.

2.3.3.3 Design Storm Simulations

Unless otherwise specified, the CONSULTANT shall simulate the following design storms:

- 2.33-year, 5-year, 10-year, 25-year, 50-year, 100-year, and 500-year, 1-day events using the Florida Modified Type II 24-hour distribution
- 100-year, 5-day events using the DISTRICT's 120hour distribution. This task includes work to run adjacent watershed models to obtain appropriate boundary conditions for this 5-day storm event.

2.3.3.4 Multi-Day Event Simulations and Rainfall Justification to Project Floodplain

As directed by the COUNTY, the CONSULTANT shall simulate the following additional multi-day events:

 100-year/3-day, 100-year/7-day, and 100-year/10day events using FDOT rainfall distribution. This task includes work to run adjacent watershed models to obtain appropriate boundary conditions for multi-day storm events.

To project 100-year floodplain, a rainfall event of duration longer than 1-day may be used if compelling evidence presents, such as historic water levels developed in Task 2.2.1.8 of Watershed Evaluation and existing studies.

The CONSULTANT shall also coordinate with adjacent watershed(s) when necessary.

2.3.3.5 Floodplain Delineation

The CONSULTANT shall delineate the floodplain based on digital topographic information and model predicted peak stages of the 100-year and 500-year storm event(s). The final product of this task shall be floodplain mapping that meets FEMA standards for updating the existing DFIRMs. Approach of mapping transition zones shall be documented in Task 2.3.3.6 – Floodplain Justification Report. Transition zones will be mapped for the 100-year storm as part of the final floodplain deliverables, after draft submittal has been reviewed by COUNTY/DISTRICT and comments addressed.

2.3.3.6 Floodplain Justification Report

The CONSULTANT shall document the efforts involved in Tasks 2.3.3.1 through 2.3.3.5 and also merge with Watershed Evaluation report to develop this Floodplain Justification Report.

2.3.3.7 Sea-level Rise (SLR) Scenarios

CONSULTANT shall model three scenarios for SLR and evaluate the 100-year and 25-year 24-hour rainfall in each scenario. The three scenarios will be the projections identified in the Pinellas County Restore Act Vulnerability Assessment and published in "Global and Regional Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the United States" (NOAA, 2017"). The three projections are:

- Intermediate-Low Scenario (1.9 ft. of SLR from 2000-2100)
- Intermediate Scenario (3.9 ft. of SLR from 2000-2100)
- High Scenario (8.5 ft. of SLR from 2000-2100)

Associated draft, level-pool floodplains will be developed. SLR scenarios will be evaluated using the existing conditions model (developed in Task 2.3.1.3) with updated boundary conditions and rainfall depths. It is anticipated that rainfall depths for the future conditions 100-year and 25-year 24-hour storm events will be provided by the COUNTY or will be available from reference data.

2.3.3.8 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the watershed model development, floodplain delineation and prepare for the upcoming Peer Review. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Updated GWIS geodatabase
- B. Model input/output files
- C. 100-year flood depth grids
- D. Floodplain justification report
- E. Project specific QA/QC document

2.3.4 Peer Review of Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation

2.3.4.1 Peer Review Meeting and Presentation

A remote meeting, unless otherwise specified, will be conducted for the CONSULTANT to conduct a PowerPoint presentation (which is a continuation of the presentation from the previous one, i.e., Task 2.2.4.1) to the peer review consultant, the COUNTY, the DISTRICT, and other interested parties, by summarizing the work accomplished in Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation with emphasis on approach, effort, and product. The full deliverables shall be transmitted to the peer review consultant prior to this meeting.

2.3.4.2 Peer Review Communication

During the peer review process, the peer review consultant may seek clarification and request additional information from the CONSULTANT. Responses and/or additional information requested from the CONSULTANT, if any, shall be transmitted to the peer review consultant and COUNTY PM within 5 business days.

The CONSULTANT may seek clarification from the peer review consultant after receiving comments. Clarification requested from the peer review consultant, if any, shall be provided to the CONSULTANT and COUNTY PM within 5 business days.

2.3.4.3 Meeting to Present Peer Review Comments

A meeting will be held for the peer review consultant to present draft review comments to the CONSULTANT, the COUNTY, and the DISTRICT if needed. The meeting will be in remote format, unless otherwise specified. Peer review deliverables shall be transmitted to the CONSULTANT prior to this meeting.

2.3.4.4 Meeting to Discuss Approach of Responding to Peer Review Comments

A meeting will be held for the CONSULTANT to present the approach to respond to peer review comments. The meeting will be in remote format, unless otherwise specified.

2.3.5 Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables for Preliminary Floodplain Open House

2.3.5.1 Revised Deliverables

Within sixty (60) days of the meeting to present peer review comments (Task 2.3.4.2), the CONSULTANT shall address peer review comments, as well as COUNTY/DISTRICT review comments, and re-submit watershed model development and floodplain delineation deliverables to the COUNTY/DISTRICT.

2.3.5.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the updates made to the model development and floodplain delineation. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Revised Task 2.3.3 deliverables
- B. Responses to comments geodatabase
- C. Project specific QA/QC document

2.3.6 Preliminary Floodplain Open House and Response to Public Comments

2.3.6.1 Preliminary Floodplain Open House

The CONSULTANT will assist the COUNTY with conducting the preliminary floodplain open house. Assistance consists of providing adequate professionals as needed, based on the number of impacted parcels and anticipated attendance of the public meeting. The CONSULTANT will assist citizens by responding to questions at the meeting; operate laptop computers that can display recent aerials, existing flood hazard zones, base map information, parcels, and the preliminary floodplains.

2.3.6.2 Response to Public Comments

Public comment period closes thirty (30) days after the open house, unless otherwise specified. At the time of the public comment period closure, the COUNTY will provide public comments collected to the CONSULTANT. The public comments will be included in a comments geodatabase. The CONSULTANT shall review and respond to public comments and update Task 2.3.5 deliverables as necessary. Response to public comments will not include providing copies of floodplain maps.

Deliverables

- A. Attendance at Public Open House
- B. Responses to Public Comments

2.3.7 Final Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables

2.3.7.1 Revised Deliverables

Within thirty (30) days after the public comments on draft deliverables are transmitted to the CONSULTANT, the CONSULTANT shall respond to public comments and re-submit the full floodplain analysis deliverables to the COUNTY/DISTRICT, including floodplain transition zones.

2.3.7.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the updates made to the model development and floodplain delineation. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Revised deliverables by responding to public comments geodatabase
- B. The complete PowerPoint presentation for peer review
- C. Signed and sealed Floodplain Justification Report
- D. Project specific QA/QC document

2.4 Flood Protection Level of Service (FPLOS) Determination, Surface Water Resource Analysis (SWRA), Drainage Improvement Analysis and Recommendations

2.4.1 FPLOS Determination

2.4.1.1 FPLOS Methodology Meeting

A meeting will be conducted between the COUNTY, the CONSULTANT, and the DISTRICT if needed, to discuss the FPLOS methodology and criteria to be used for this project. It is anticipated that the level-of-service criteria in the COUNTY's Comp Plan will be the basis for the FPLOS determination.

2.4.1.2 FPLOS Determination

Floodplains for the 2.33-year, 5-year, 10-year, 25-year, and 50-year events will be delineated. The 100-year and 500-year floodplains were delineated previously during Task 2.3.3.5.

The CONSULTANT shall establish landmark elevations for structures and/or roadways at each subbasin in the watershed. Road feature class with classification will be provided by the COUNTY. A feature class showing approximate structure footprints will be provided by the COUNTY. Structure classifications will be based on the Florida Department of Revenue (FDOR) classifications. The landmark elevations established for FPLOS analysis are the critical or lowest landmark elevations and are reflective of the worst case flooding that could occur in a subbasin. These elevations will be determined from survey or estimated from topographic information and stored in

geodatabase feature classes. It is assumed that for structures, the landmark elevation will be the finished floor elevation (FFE), either surveyed or estimated from LiDAR topography. Also, it is assumed that the roadway landmark elevation will be the edge of pavement.

The CONSULTANT will determine the flood protection level-ofservice (FPLOS) throughout the watershed. FPLOS determinations will be based on the methodology and criterion agreed to during Task 2.4.1.1 using inundation polygons and flood depth grids as well as comparing landmark elevations with model results.

A FPLOS shall be assigned to each structure and roadway segment under analysis for each subbasin. An overall subbasin FPLOS shall be determined by the lowest FPLOS assigned within that subbasin. A table shall be provided in the FPLOS Analysis Report (2.4.1.4), which categorizes each subbasin with the roadway FPLOS, structure FPLOS, and overall FPLOS.

2.4.1.3 Flood Damage Estimate

The CONSULTANT shall determine the flood damage estimate based on the methodology defined in Task 2.4.1.1. The general methodology below is assumed.

Damage for structure and roadway flooding shall be analyzed independently due to different factors to be considered. Structure damage (including structure, content, and displacement) will heavily depend on the depth-damage function, which has been developed by federal agencies such as FEMA and USACE. Roadway damage may consider both traffic delay and roadway repair cost which rely considerably on local practice. The approach for flood damage estimates shall be discussed with the COUNTY and DISTRICT.

Flood damage for each structure and roadway segment under analysis will be performed for each design storm considered. The expected annual damage can then be estimated using the trapezoidal rule in tabular format.

2.4.1.4 FPLOS Analysis Report

The CONSULTANT shall document the efforts involved in Tasks 2.4.1.1 through 2.4.1.3.

The report shall include a table with all subbasins showing their respective FPLOS classification and expected annual flood damage. Also, the roadway flooding, structure flooding, and subbasins with the highest annual costs will be summarized and ranked. The rankings will assist the COUNTY and DISTRICT in prioritizing BMP Alternatives Analysis.

2.4.1.5 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the findings of the FPLOS Analysis and select locations to examine during the best management practices task. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Model input/output files for design storms required by FPLOS determination methodology
- B. Geodatabase containing:
 - Model simulation results
 - Inundation polygons
 - Feature classes with landmark elevations
 - o FPLOS designation for each subbasin
- C. Flood depth grids for design storms
- D. FPLOS analysis report
- E. Project specific QA/QC document
- F. Responses to comments geodatabase

2.4.2 SWRA of Water Quality

2.4.2.1 SWRA Approach

A meeting will be conducted between the CONSULTANT, the COUNTY project manager to discuss water quality data available, known issues, and the approach of SWRA that is specific to the watershed. The methodology of pollutant loading analysis, shall also be determined.

The CONSULTANT shall discuss with the COUNTY and the DISTRICT to select a list of pollutant to be assessed. Pollutants to be assessed may include, but not limited to, the following:

- Nutrients
 - Total Nitrogen (TN)
 - Total Phosphorus (TP)

- Dissolved Oxygen (DO)
- Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

Several waterbody identification (WBIDs) numbers within the South Creek watershed have been determined to be impaired due to water quality standard exceedances, and the CONSULTANT will identify and review any existing documents dealing with either the impairment assessment or TMDL development and recommend appropriate actions regarding either the impairment assessment or TMDL. The information compiled will be examined in order to develop recommendations to reduce pollutant loadings (if appropriate) to address relevant impairments and to aid in the implementation of any draft or final TMDLs. These recommendations will be the basis for the development of water quality BMPs to be included in the BMPs Alternatives Analysis.

The COUNTY/DISTRICT shall establish the goals of the SWRA and which pollutants, if any, are of additional concern using but not limited to the sources below:

- FDEP classification and designation of waterbodies within the watershed
- FDEP waterbody identification (WBID) basins within the watershed
- Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), Basin Management Action Plans (BMAPs), or Reasonable Assurance Plans that may affect the watershed
- FDEP Impaired Waters Rule (IWR) Database
- SWFWMD Water Management Information System (WMIS)
- USGS National Water Information Service (NWIS)
- FDEP Wastewater Facility Regulation (WAFR)
- Florida Department of Health (FDOH) septic tank feature class for parcels and/or permits
- Florida Water Management Inventory (FLWMI)
- Sanitary Sewer coverage (from the COUNTY)
- US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Storage and Retrieval
- (STORET) Database
- Existing studies, historical records, and data not uploaded to online databases (Water Atlas, STORET, and IWR)
- FDEP Florida STORET Database
- The Florida Water Resource Monitoring Catalog (Water-CAT)
- The Watershed Information Network (WIN)
- Locally collected data

2.4.2.2 Water Quality Assessment

The CONSULTANT shall compile available historical and existing water quality data that may be pertinent to the watershed. Possible trends in water quality data that has been regularly collected shall be noted. In general, water quality data shall be collected and analyzed for, but not limited to, the following:

- Streams
- Lakes
- Groundwater

Data sets examined will include the IWR data set used by FDEP, as well as additional data sets – as available – from the COUNTY and/or other publicly available water quality monitoring efforts (See 2.4.2.1 for a list of available data). The South Creek watershed characteristics will also be assessed relative to any known anthropogenic or environmental factors, and physical features within the watershed which may be impacting water quality conditions or sampling results, particularly for the impairment parameters such as land use types, point and non-point discharges, extent of existing stormwater runoff treatment, and base flow. The assessment will include a comparison against criteria, as well as a discussion of the appropriateness of the criteria. The assessment will be used along with the Pollutant Loading Model to guide in the development of water quality BMPs.

2.4.2.3 Existing Conditions Pollutant Loading Analysis

The CONSULTANT will develop average annual pollutant loading estimates for total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorous (TP), biological oxygen demand (BOD), and total suspended solids (TSS) using a spreadsheet, GIS, or similar model. The pollutant loading model shall use land use based event mean concentrations to calculate pollutant loads. Pollutant loading will consider the presence and efficiency of BMPs in the watershed. Gross and net pollutant loads will be reported and mapped by subbasin. Point sources such as septic tanks and wastewater treatment facilities shall be considered as part of the pollutant loading analysis. The Pollutant Loading Model will be used along with the Water Quality Assessment to guide in the development of water quality BMPs.

2.4.2.4 SWRA Report

The CONSULTANT shall document the efforts involved in Tasks 2.4.2.1 through 2.4.2.3 in a SWRA of Water Quality report.

The report shall include the following:

- A table of all subbasins and the expected pollutant load generated for each constituent analyzed.
- Summary of land use types
- Presence of existing BMPs
- Locations where pollutants are discharged to major surface waterbodies
- Significant discharges of pollutants to groundwater

The findings in the report will help the COUNTY and DISTRICT prioritize the BMP Alternatives Analysis.

2.4.2.5 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the findings of the SWRA task and potential projects to evaluate during the BMP analysis. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Existing conditions pollutant loading model & associated geodatabase
- B. Geodatabase / Water Quality Assessment Data
- C. SWRA report
- D. Project specific QA/QC document
- E. Responses to comments geodatabase

2.4.3 Best Management Practices (BMP) Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations (Drainage Improvements and Water Quality)

2.4.3.1 BMP Site Selection

A BMP site selection meeting will be held between the CONSULTANT and the COUNTY / DISTRICT. Prior to the meeting the CONSULTANT will identify up to 20 locations that have been characterized with flooding and/or water quality concerns within the FPLOS and SWRA deliverables. Up to eight (8) sites for structural BMPs will be identified for BMP alternatives

analysis. In addition, up to two (2) locations where non-structural BMP alternatives may be implemented shall be identified.

The COUNTY / DISTRICT and CONSULTANT will set the FPLOS and/or Water Quality target for each selected site (e.g. 25-year event).

2.4.3.2 BMP Alternatives Analysis, Recommendation and Rankings

The CONSULTANT will conduct a BMP alternatives analysis for up to eight (8) structural BMPs and two (2) non-structural BMPS in the watershed. Each BMP shall include the following:

- Measurable benefit (e.g., acres treated)
- Resource benefit (e.g., providing flood protection during 25year, 24-hour storm, lbs/year of target contaminant removed)
- Existing/proposed conditions models (ICPR, pollutant loading model)
- Planning level cost estimate
- Benefit/cost analysis (For flood reduction BMPs benefit/cost is reduction in flood damages versus cost of project over the life of the project, for water quality BMPs benefit/cost is cost per pound or cost per acre of pollutant removed. O&M costs will be shown separately.)
- Preliminary design (GIS features showing proposed grading contours, proposed subbasin delineations, contributing area of BMP, drainage structures to be removed, proposed drainage structures, roadway reconstruction, etc.)
- BMP permitting analysis

The CONSULTANT will model the selected Flood Protection BMPs using ICPR. Water Quality BMPs will also be modeled using ICPR, if necessary, to ensure there will be no adverse impacts as a result of the BMP. ICPR models using the mean annual, 5-year, 10-year, 25-year, 50-year, and 100-year storms will be used to evaluate the effect on flooding. Proposed conditions inundation polygons will be included to show flood protection benefit.

The mass pollutant removal and the drainage area treated for each constituent analyzed in the SWRA task shall be determined for each water quality BMP. Reduction in pollutant loading shall be assessed using the same methods as those used in evaluating BMPs within the SWRA task.

The CONSULTANT shall rank the alternatives using the COUNTY's ranking tool:

- Flood Control Benefit (e.g, FPLOS Improvement)
- Permitability
- Implementability
- Water Quality and Environmental Benefit
- Natural Systems Improvement
- Construction Cost
- Operation and Maintenance Cost
- Cost Benefit Analysis
- Public Acceptance
- Availability

Ranking tables will be included in the BMP Alternatives Analysis Report (2.4.3.3).

The ranking may also include an analysis of the proposed project for one of the SLR/Rainfall Depth scenarios in Task 2.3.3.7.

The CONSULTANT will not provide construction plans or apply for conceptual ERP permits for the proposed BMPs.

2.4.3.3 BMP Alternatives Analysis Report

An alternative BMP Analysis report will be developed. A draft alternative analysis and recommendations report will be prepared to summarize the findings of the BMP Analysis. Upon review and comment by the COUNTY, a final report will be issued.

2.4.3.4 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control

The CONSULTANT will attend a meeting to discuss the findings of the BMP analysis. The CONSULTANT will conduct quality assurance and quality control. Quality control reviews will be documented in the comments geodatabase and in the QA/QC document, as appropriate.

Deliverables

- A. Model input/output files for alternatives analysis at each selected site
- B. Model input/output files for proposed condition with all BMPs
- C. Geodatabase containing:
 - Site locations of Tasks 2.4.3.1
 - Locations of final recommended BMPs
 - Inundation polygons for proposed condition with all BMPs

- FPLOS designation for each subbasin for proposed condition with all CIPs
- D. Ranking of BMP Recommendations
- E. Pollutant Loading Model / GIS Files
- F. Flood depth grids for proposed condition with all BMPs
- G. Draft Alternatives analysis and recommendations report
- H. Final Alternatives analysis and recommendations report
- I. Project specific QA/QC document
- J. Responses to comments geodatabase

PERFORMANCE SCHEDULE

3.1 The following tasks are to be completed within the number of months indicated below, counted forward from the date the COUNTY issues Notice to Proceed to the CONSULTANT. The COUNTY has up to six (6) months to issue Notice to Proceed to the CONSULTANT. The project agreement is for project completion in forty-eight (48) calendar month from the notice to proceed. Based on the schedule below, project completion is anticipated to take thirty-six (36) consecutive calendar months from the notice to proceed.

Task Number	Task Description	Start Month	End Month
2.1	Project Development	1	2
2.2	Watershed Evaluation	2	15
2.2.1	Assembly and Evaluations of Watershed Data	2	8
2.2.2	Hydrologic and Hydraulic Feature Database	2	13
2.2.3	Preliminary Model Features	4	8
2.2.4	Peer Review of Watershed Evaluation	9	11
2.2.5	Final Approved Watershed Evaluation Deliverables	12	15
2.3	WMP - Floodplain Analysis	11	28
2.3.1	Watershed Parameterization	11	17
2.3.2	Final Approved Watershed Model Parameterization Deliverables	17	18
2.3.3	Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation	18	20
2.3.4	Peer Review of Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation	21	23
2.3.5	Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables for Preliminary Floodplain Open House	24	25
2.3.6	Preliminary Floodplain Open House	25	26
2.3.7	Final Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables	27	28
2.4	WMP - FPLOS, SWRA, Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations	29	36
2.4.1	FPLOS Determination	29	30
2.4.2	Surface Water Resource Assessment (Water Quality)	2	29
2.4.3	BMP Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations	30	32
	Project Close-out	33	36

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS (GENERAL)

4.1 Each submittal shall be delivered with the associated QA/QC documentation and a transmittal letter signed by the CONSULTANT'S Project Manager stating that the

submittal package is complete, and all pertinent calculations and details have been checked for accuracy and completion.

Reviews will be performed by the COUNTY and the DISTRICT to confirm that the submittal is complete. Incomplete submittals as determined by the COUNTY shall be returned to the CONSULTANT. All final engineering documents must be signed and sealed by a professional engineer registered in the State of Florida. The COUNTY will issue deliverable approval only in writing.

- 4.1.1 Invoicing from the CONSULTANT and payment by the COUNTY shall be directly correlated to submittal completion and approval.
- 4.1.2 The COUNTY and the DISTRICT in no way obligates themselves to check the CONSULTANT'S work and further is not responsible for maintaining project schedules.
- 4.1.3 The actual acceptance by the COUNTY and the DISTRICT of any submittal shall neither constitute nor imply any review or approval by the COUNTY and the DISTRICT of the services performed by the CONSULTANT under the provisions of this AGREEMENT, but shall indicate only the COUNTY and the DISTRICT'S acceptance of the CONSULTANT'S affirmation of compliance with the provisions and intent of this AGREEMENT.
- 4.1.4 The CONSULTANT accepts the responsibility and obligation to correct, to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department Director, and at no additional cost to the COUNTY, any and all deficiencies in the preparation of the project documents resulting from errors or omissions, at such time, and whenever the deficiencies may become known. Final acceptance by the COUNTY and the DISTRICT of the contract documents provided for in this AGREEMENT shall not relieve the CONSULTANT of compliance with the intent of this AGREEMENT to provide the COUNTY and the DISTRICT with documents that are complete in every respect.

DATA PROVIDED BY THE COUNTY OR THE DISTRICT

- **5.1** The COUNTY and the DISTRICT shall provide the following for the CONSULTANT'S use and guidance:
 - 5.1.1 Horizontal and Vertical Primary Project Control referenced to the North American Datum (NAD) of 1983/1990 Adjustment and North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) of 1988. The unit of measure shall be the United States Survey Foot. Primary Control points set shall not exceed one half (½) mile intervals.
 - 5.1.2 Copy of the COUNTY Guide for Professional Survey and Mapping.

- 5.1.3 Copies of all existing drainage studies and plans, inventories, computer information, existing maps, existing aerial photographs, roadway plans, as built construction plans, water quality data and other available information and data pertinent to the MANAGEMENT PLAN, which the COUNTY and DISTRICT may have in their possession.
- 5.1.4 Copy of the most recent LIDAR available.

COMPENSATION

6.1 The complete PROJECT cost will be \$414,744. A detailed budget table showing the costs associated for each task is included on the following pages.

Task	Task Description	Total
2.1	Project Development	\$9,410
2.2	Watershed Evaluation	\$162,672
2.3	WMP - Floodplain Analysis	\$153,312
2.4	WMP - FPLOS, SWRA, Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations	\$89,350
	GRAND TOTAL	\$414,744

Geosyntec Consultants South Creek Watershed 003870A

Submitted: TBD Revised: 7/31/2019

TASK WORK ASSIGNMENT: PROJECT METRIC (SQ MI):	4.5 E	NTER#1	TO CALCUL	ATE COST PER Squ																	
				Geosyntec C	onsultants						Gemini					Line Item					
				Senior		Conior	Sonior Broise						Sonior			Surveying		Line Item		Line Item	
	Principal			Staff	Staff		Senior Project Designer Adminis	trat		Senior	Staff Eng		Senior GIS			Subconsultant (Southeastern	Line Item	Line Item Costs Per		Line Item Days (8 Project Costs	
Title/Job Description Personnel Hourly Rate	\$220.00			Engineer Engineer \$145.00 \$120.0				Principa 5.00 \$170.00		Engineer \$158.00			ntist Specialist 8.00 \$109.00		Costs	Surveying)	Costs	Square Mile	Hours	Hour/Day) Running Total	Element Lotal
Name of Key Individuals	<u> </u>																				
Mark Ellard Tom Amstadt	Х	х																			
Mike Hardin Erin Reed			Х																		
Lillie Thomas				X			x		+												
Nick Hartshorn				X			X														
Max Wallace Julia Richter				X	x		X														
Andrew Hood						Х															
Michael Scott Clarissa Yuknavage		Х					x														
Ki Hong Pak								X	X												
Larry Fluty Ted Sisak									^	Х											
Alexander Divoky Austin Chittaphong											Х	,	(
Jeff Stevens											^		Х								
Elizabeth Bray Tammy Bruner					+				1				Х	Х							
Casey Lane														Х							
Andrew Shillings ELEMENT & TASK DESCRIPTIONS														X							
Add Subtasks as Needed for Elements																					
2.1 Project Development 2.1.1 Data Collection and Initial Evaluation	1 1	4.0	2.0	4.	0 4.0	าไ			1					1	\$0	102	\$1,980.00	\$440.00	14.0	1.8 \$1,980.00	
2.1.2 Draft Project Plan	1.0	8.0	8.0						4.0						\$0	\$0	\$3,820.00	\$848.89	21.0	2.6 \$5,800.00	
2.1.3 Kick-off Meeting 2.1.4 Final Project Plan	2.0 0.5	3.0	3.0	3.	0				4.0						\$0 \$0		\$2,065.00 \$1,545.00	\$458.89 \$343.33		1.5 \$7,865.00 1.1 \$9,410.00	
Element 1 Hours	3.5	18.0	13.0	0.0 7.				0.0 0.0	0 10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0		7.2	43	ψ1,010.00	40.00	0.0	111 40,110.00	55.5
Element 1 Days (8 Hour/Day) Element 1 Costs	0.4 \$770	2.3 \$3,510	1.6 \$2,210	0.0 0. \$0 \$84				0.0 0.0 \$0 \$0	_		0.0 \$0	0.0 \$0	0.0 0.0 \$0 \$0			1 \$0					6.9 \$9,410
		1 . 7 .	, , -						, , ,						, ,	, , , ,					, , , ,
2.2 Watershed Evaluation 2.2.1 Assembly and Evaluation of Watershed Data																					
2.2.1.1 Data Inventory 2.2.1.2 Drainage Pattern and Watershed Boundary				2.0 12. 2.0 8.					2.0		8.0				\$0 \$0		\$1,730.00 \$2,518.00	\$384.44 \$559.56		1.8 \$1,730.00 2.5 \$4,248.00	
2.2.1.3 Areas of Development				2.0 20.)	20.0		2.0	22.0	40.0				\$0 \$0			\$3,334.67		15.5 \$19,254.00	
2.2.1.4 Initial GIS Processing 2.2.1.5 DEM and LiDAR Suitability Review				4.0 12. 4.0	0		48.0		2.0	14.0	48.0				\$0 \$0		\$15,660.00 \$580.00	\$3,480.00 \$128.89		16.0 \$34,914.00 0.5 \$35,494.00	
2.2.1.6 Topographic Voids Replacement Methodology / Approach				1.0 7.					1.0		6.0				\$0	\$0	\$2,009.00	\$446.44	16.0	2.0 \$37,503.00	
2.2.1.7 Hydrologic Characteristics and Percolation 2.2.1.8 Historical Water Levels				1.0 3. 1.0 4.						2.0	2.0 4.0				\$0 \$0		\$1,053.00 \$1,247.00	\$234.00 \$277.11	8.0 10.0	1.0 \$38,556.00 1.3 \$39,803.00	
2.2.1.9 Data Acquisition Plan and Pre-field Reconnaissance Evaluation				4.0 12.	0		4.0		1.0		8.0				\$0	\$0	\$4,210.00	\$935.56	33.0	4.1 \$44,013.00	
2.2.1.10 Task Memorandum 2.2.1.11 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	0.5	8.0	2.0	2.0	0		8.0	2.0	2.0						\$0 \$0		\$4,130.00 \$3,636.00	\$917.78 \$808.00	34.0 20.5	4.3 \$48,143.00 2.6 \$51,779.00	
2.2.2 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Feature Database	0.0	0.0		=:					0.0	7 2.0									•	·	
2.2.2.1 Acquisition of Data 2.2.2.2 HydroNetwork Development			2.0	2.0 12. 56.			60.0		8.0	40.0	110.0				\$750 \$0		\$35,980.00 \$38,200.00	\$7,995.56 \$8,488.89		6.8 \$87,759.00 39.5 \$125,959.00	
2.2.2.3 Topographic Information Refinement			2.0	1.0 2.			12.0		1.0		12.0				\$0		\$3,643.00	\$809.56		3.8 \$129,602.00	1
2.2.2.4 Hydrologic Feature Database 2.2.3 Preliminary Model Features				1.	0		6.0			1.0	6.0				\$0	\$0	\$1,664.00	\$369.78	14.0	1.8 \$131,266.00	
2.2.3.1 Additional GIS Processing				2.			6.0		1	2.0	6.0				\$0		\$1,942.00	\$431.56		2.0 \$133,208.00	
2.2.3.2 Preliminary Model Schematic 2.2.3.3 Model Parameterization Approach		1.0		1.0 4.)			1.0	1.0	16.0 6.0				\$0 \$0		\$4,803.00 \$1,769.00	\$1,067.33 \$393.11		5.3 \$138,011.00 1.8 \$139,780.00	
2.2.3.4 Watershed Evaluation Report				2.0 20.			8.0		6.0						\$0	\$0	\$4,630.00	\$1,028.89	36.0	4.5 \$144,410.00	
2.2.3.5 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control 2.2.4 Peer Review of Watershed Evaluation	0.5	8.0		2.0	1	1	1		8.0	ין					\$0	\$0	\$3,320.00	\$737.78	18.5	2.3 \$147,730.00	
2.2.4.1 Peer Review Kick-off Meeting and Presentation 2.2.4.2 Peer Review Communication	0.5	2.0		2.0 8.	0				4.0						\$0 \$0			\$540.00 \$246.67	16.5 8.0	2.1 \$150,160.00	
2.2.4.3 Meeting to Present Peer Review Comments		2.0		2.					2.0						\$0 \$0			\$246.67 \$215.56		1.0 \$151,270.00 0.8 \$152,240.00	
2.2.4.4 Meeting to Discuss Approach of Responding to Peer Review Comments		2.0		2.	0				2.0						\$0	\$0	\$970.00	\$215.56	6.0	0.8 \$153,210.00	
2.2.5 Final Approved Watershed Evaluation Deliverables		2.0		Ζ.	υĮ				2.0	/					\$0	\$0	\$970.00			•	
2.2.5.1 Revised Deliverables 2.2.5.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	0.5	4.0	4.0 1.0		0 16.0)	8.0		1.0		4.0		12.0		\$0 \$0		\$7,600.00 \$1,862.00	\$1,688.89 \$413.78		8.5 \$160,810.00 1.3 \$162,672.00	
Element 2 Hours	2.0	29.0	9.0	33.0 227.				2.0 0.0	0 50.0	103.0		0.0	0.0 12.0	12.0		\$0	φ1,002.00	φ413.70	10.5	1.5 \$102,072.00	1,067.0
Element 2 Days (8 Hour/Day) Element 2 Costs	0.3	3.6 \$5,655	1.1 \$1.530	4.1 28. \$4,785 \$27,24				0.3 0.0 130 \$0		12.9 \$16,274	34.5 \$32.016	0.0 \$0	0.0 1.5 \$0 \$1,308			\$30,000					133.4 \$162,672
	ψ440	\$5,055	φ1,550	φ4,703 φ27,24	0 \$10,040	71 \$1,000	7	130 \$	0 \$6,500	\$10,274	ψ32,010	φΟΙ	φ0 φ1,300	\$1,104	ψ130	\$30,000					\$102,072
2.3 Watershed Management Plan - Floodplain Analysis 2.3.1 Watershed Model Parameterization	1																				
2.3.1.1 Acquisition of Additional Model Parameters		2.0		8.							10.0				\$0			\$557.78		2.5 \$2,510.00	
2.3.1.2 Development of Model Specific Geodatabase 2.3.1.3 Model Assembly and Stabilization		6.0 2.0		8.0 24. 8.0 20.			40.0		6.0 2.0		56.0 40.0				\$0 \$0		\$25,294.00 \$13,358.00	\$5,620.89 \$2,968.44		25.0 \$27,804.00 13.5 \$41,162.00	
2.3.1.4 Project Management and Quality Assurance/Control	0.5	8.0		4.0	20.0				8.0		70.0				\$0			\$802.22		2.6 \$44,772.00	
2.3.2 Final Approved Watershed Model Parameterization Deliverables 2.3.2.1 Revised Deliverables	1	2.0	1	4.0 8.	0 8.0	ol .	1		1.0	5.0	12.0	T		<u> </u>	\$0	\$0	\$5,042.00	\$1,120.44	40.0	5.0 \$49,814.00	
2.3.2.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	0.5	4.0		2.0	0.0				1.0						\$0			\$492.00		1.7 \$52,028.00	
2.3.3 Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation 2.3.3.1 Model Calibration and Verification	1	8.0		16.0 40.	0 24.0										\$0	\$0	\$10,960.00	\$2,435.56	88.0	11.0 \$62,988.00	
2.3.3.2 Model Validation		0.0		8.0 16.	0 24.0)									\$0	\$0	\$5,360.00	\$1,191.11	48.0	6.0 \$68.348.00	
2.3.3.3 Design Storm Simulations				8.0 16.	0 24.0	O]									\$0	\$0	\$5,360.00	\$1,191.11	48.0	6.0 \$73,708.00	

PROJECT BUDGET BY:
PROJECT NAME:
AGREEMENT NUMBER:
TASK WORK ASSIGNMENT:
PROJECT METRIC (SQ MI):

Geosyntec Consultants South Creek Watershed 003870A

Submitted: TBD Revised: 7/31/2019

TASK WORK ASSIGNMENT: PROJECT METRIC (SQ MI):	4.5 E	NTER # T	O CALCUL	ATE COST	PER Squar	re Mile																		
					eosyntec Co								Ge	mini										
																			Line Item					
					Senior		Senior	Senior	Project							Senior			Surveying Subconsultant		Line Item	ı	ine Item	
Till-Alab Decembra		Senior		F	Staff	Staff	Engineering		Administra		Project			Engineeri	0-1	GIS			(Southeastern	Line Item	Costs Per		Days (8 Project Co	
Title/Job Description Personnel Hourly Rate	\$220.00						Technician \$80.00	/	or 0 \$65.00		. 	Engineer \$158.00	\$116.00		\$88.00		Specialist \$92.00	Costs	Surveying)	Costs	Square Mile	Hours F	lour/Day) Running To	Diai Element lotai
Name of Key Individuals	\$220.00	ψ100.00	\$110.00	ψ110.00	\$120.00	\$00.00	\$66.66	\$110.0	,	0	\$110.00	\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ψ110.00	\$60.00	ψου.σσ	\$100.00	402.00							
Mark Ellard	х																							
Tom Amstadt Mike Hardin		х	х														_							
Erin Reed				Х																				
Lillie Thomas Nick Hartshorn					X X			X	1															
Max Wallace					X			X									_							
Julia Richter						Х																		
Andrew Hood Michael Scott		х					Х																	
Clarissa Yuknavage		^							х															
Ki Hong Pak										Х														
Larry Fluty Ted Sisak											X	X												
Alexander Divoky															Х									
Austin Chittaphong													Х			.,								
Jeff Stevens Elizabeth Bray		-														X	_							
Tammy Bruner																	X							
Casey Lane																	X							
Andrew Shillings ELEMENT & TASK DESCRIPTIONS																	X							
2.3.3.4 Multi-Day Event Simulations and Rainfall Justification to Project																								
Floodplain		0.0		8.0	20.0			10.6			1.0							\$0	\$0	\$6,980.00			8.0 \$80,688	
2.3.3.5 Floodplain Delineation 2.3.3.6 Floodplain Justification Report	+	2.0		8.0	16.0 12.0			40.0			4.0	0 8.0						\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0				13.8 \$93,342 9.3 \$102,072	
2.3.3.7 Sea-level Rise (SLR) Scenarios		2.0		16.0				20.0										\$0	\$0				12.0 \$113,542	
2.3.3.8 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	0.5	8.0		4.0							8.0	ו						\$0	\$0	\$3,610.00	\$802.22	20.5	2.6 \$117,152	.00
2.3.4 Peer Review of Watershed Model Development and Floodplain Delineation																								
2.3.4.1 Peer Review Meeting and Presentation	0.5	2.0		2.0							4.0							\$0	\$0		\$540.00		2.1 \$119,582	
2.3.4.2 Peer Review Communication		2.0			6.0													\$0	\$0				1.0 \$120,692	
2.3.4.3 Meeting to Present Peer Review Comments 2.3.4.4 Meeting to Discuss Approach of Responding to Peer Review	2.0	2.0			2.0						2.0)						\$0	\$0	\$1,410.00	\$313.33	8.0	1.0 \$122,102	.00
Comments		2.0			2.0						2.0							\$0	\$0	\$970.00	\$215.56	6.0	0.8 \$123,072	.00
2.3.5 Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables for Preliminary																								
Floodplain Open House 2.3.5.1 Revised Deliverables		2.0		4.0	16.0			8.0	nl .		1.0	0.8	8.0					\$0	\$0	\$6,172.00	\$1,371.56	47.0	5.9 \$129,244	.00
2.3.5.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	1.0	4.0		2.0							4.0							\$0	\$0				1.6 \$131,530	
2.3.6 Preliminary Floodplain Open House and Response to Public Comments																								
2.3.6.1 Preliminary Floodplain Open House	1.0	6.0			6.0			6.0	ol .	1	6.0	ol						\$0	\$0	\$3,820.00	\$848.89	25.0	3.1 \$135,350	.00
2.3.6.2 Response to Public Comments		4.0		8.0	24.0													\$0	\$0	\$4,820.00	\$1,071.11	36.0	4.5 \$140,170	.00
2.3.7 Final Approved Floodplain Analysis Deliverables 2.3.7.1 Revised Deliverables	1	2.0		4.0	32.0			24.0	nI	1	2.0	0 4.0	16.0			1	8.0	\$0	0.9	\$11,134.00	\$2,474.22	92.0	11.5 \$151,304	00
2.3.7.2 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	1.0	4.0	1.0		32.0			24.0	1		4.0		10.0			0.0	6.0	\$0	\$0				1.4 \$153,312	
Element 3 Hours	7.0	76.0	1.0					178.0					144.0			0.0	8.0						,	1,213.0
Element 3 Days (8 Hour/Day) Element 3 Costs	0.9 \$1,540	9.5 \$14,820	0.1 \$170		39.3 \$37,680			\$20,470					18.0 \$16,704			0.0 \$0	1.0 \$736	\$0	\$0					151.6 \$153,312
		ψ14,020	Ψ170	ψ10,550	ψ37,000	Ψ22,040	ψΟ	Ψ20,470	σ [ψ	<u>σ</u> ψο	ψ3,330	σ φ10,272	ψ10,704	ΨΟ	ΨΟΙ	ΨΟΙ	Ψίσο	ΨΟΙ	ΨΟ					ψ100,012
2.4 Flood Protection Level of Service (FPLOS) Determination, Surface Water Resource																								
Analysis (SWRA), Drainage Improvement Alternatives Analysis and Recommendations 2.4.1 FPLOS Determination	1																							
2.4.1.1 FPLOS Methodology Meeting	2.0	2.0			2.0													\$0	\$0				0.8 \$1,070	
2.4.1.2 FPLOS Determination		4.0			16.0			32.0										\$0	\$0				6.5 \$7,450	
2.4.1.3 Flood Damage Estimate 2.4.1.4 FPLOS Analysis Report		2.0 4.0			8.0 16.0			16.0 16.0		0								\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0				3.3 \$10,640 4.8 \$15,310	
2.4.1.5 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	1.0	4.0			0.0													\$0	\$0				0.8 \$16,480	
2.4.2 SWRA of Water Quality 2.4.2.1 SWRA Approach	4.01	8.0	16.0		0.0	1	ı	1	1	1	I	1	ı	1	1			\$0	\$0	\$5,160.00	\$1,146.67	28.0	3.5 \$21,640	00
2.4.2.1 SWRA Approach 2.4.2.2 Water Quality Assessment	4.0	1.0	4.0		6.0													\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0				1.4 \$23.235	.00
2.4.2.3 Existing Conditions Pollutant Loading Analysis		4.0	40.0		40.0			16.0										\$0	\$0	\$14,220.00	\$3,160.00	100.0	12.5 \$37,455	.00
2.4.2.4 SWRA Report 2.4.2.5 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control		1.0 8.0			40.0				2.0	0								\$0 \$0		\$10,565.00 \$2,580.00			9.4 \$48,020 1.8 \$50,600	
2.4.2.3 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control 2.4.3 Best Management Practices (BMP) Alternatives Analysis and	<u> </u>	6.0	6.0		0.0													\$0]	\$0	\$2,560.00	1] \$573.33	14.0	1.6 \$50,600	.00
Recommendations (Drainage Improvements and Water Quality)	<u> </u>																							
2.4.3.1 BMP Site Selection 2.4.3.2 BMP Analysis, Recommendations and Rankings	0.5	4.0 12.0			16.0 80.0				2.0	0								\$0 \$0		\$4,250.00 \$21,260.00			4.1 \$54,850 19.8 \$21,260	
2.4.3.2 BMP Analysis, Recommendations and Rankings 2.4.3.3 BMP Alternatives Analysis Report	1.0	8.0	16.0		24.0			24.0		<u> </u>							+	\$0 \$0		\$21,260.00			10.1 \$32,160	
2.4.3.4 Project Management and Quality Assurance / Control	2.0	8.0	2.0															\$0		\$2,340.00			1.5 \$34,500	.00
Element 4 Hours Element 4 Days (8 Hour/Day)	11.0	70.0 8.8			248.0 31.0		0.0						0.0				0.0							640.0 80.0
Element 4 Days (8 Hour/Day) Element 4 Costs			\$27,370		\$29,760												\$0	\$0	\$0					\$89,350
	, -,	,===	,	+0	,	, , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+==	, ,,,,,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,,,		+3	+	7-1	+0	<u> </u>					722,223
Total Hours	23.5	193.0	184.0	147.0	796.0	388.0	20.0	462.0		0 00	115	187.0	420.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0							2,975.5
Total Days (8 Hour/Day)	23.5	193.0	184.0 23.0										420.0 52.5			12.0 1.5	20.0							371.9
Total Costs					95,520.0							29,546.0	48,720.0	0.0			1,840.0	750.0	30,000.0					\$414,744

Exhibit B - GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS RATE SCHEDULE South Creek Watershed Management Plan Pinellas County Contract No. 178-0465-NC (SS)



Labor Classification	Hourly Rate
Staff Engineer (EI)	\$95
Senior Staff Engineer (EI)	\$120
Engineer (PE)	\$145
Project Engineer (PE)	\$170
Senior Engineer (PE)	\$195
Principal Engineer (PE)	\$220
Senior Engineering Technician	\$80
Senior Designer (CADD/GIS)	\$115
Project Administrator	\$65

Field Services	Unit	Rate Per unit
Water Level Meter	day	\$20
Water Quality Meter (YSI style multi parameter)	day	\$100
Turbidity Meter	day	\$25
Field Sampling Inline 0.2/0.45 micron (or similar) Filters	each	\$50
Stormwater Autosampler (ISCO style)	month	\$400
Stormwater Autosampler (ISCO style) w/ telemetry	month	\$600
Hydrological Flow / Stage Data Loggers	month	\$300
Peristaltic Pump	day	\$100
Centrifugal Pump	day	\$50
Generator	day	\$150
Specialized Field Truck 4x4 - tow package	day	\$100
John Boat / Carolina Skiff	day	\$100
Pontoon Boat	day	\$250
Diving Gear (tanks, regulator, wetsuit, fins, etc.) per person	day	\$100
Lake Bottom Seepage Meters	month	\$250

Other	Rate
Large Scale Color Plots (24"x36" or 36"x48") (each)	\$ 25
Presentation Board (Gator / Foam Core 3/16") Mounting with Gloss/Matte Finish (sf)	\$ 15

Pinellas South Creek Watershed Management Plan Contract

Exhibit B

Southeastern Surveying And Mapping Corporation Proposed Billing Rates

Position Category	Billable Rate
Surveyor & Mapper PSM	\$138.95
Project Manager	\$153.05
G.I.S. P.E.	\$72.61
G.I.S. Analyst	\$75.62
G.I.S. Technician	\$68.99
Sr. Technician	\$91.93
CADD Technician	\$76.99
2-Man Survey Crew Rate	\$128.81
3-Man Survey Crew Rate	\$167.99
4-Man Survey Crew Rate	\$213.62



EMINI Engineering & Sciences, Inc.

EXHIBIT B

South Creek Watershed Management Plan - Professional Engineering Services RFP# 178-0465-NC (SS)

Burdened Rate Schedule for Gemini Engineering & Sciences, Inc.to be applied during the contract term.

Classification	Burdened Hourly Rate
Principal	\$170.00
Project Manager	\$170.00
Senior Engineer	\$158.00
Staff Engineer	\$116.00
Engineering Intern	\$88.00
Senior Scientist	\$122.00
Scientist	\$88.00
Senior GIS Specialist	\$109.00
GIS Specialist	\$92.00
GIS Specialist Intern	\$75.00
Administrative	\$54.00

SECTION C - LIMITATION ON LIABILITY, INDEMNIFICATION, AND INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

1. INSURANCE:

- a) If Consultant does not currently meet insurance requirements, Consultant shall also include verification from their broker or agent that any required insurance not provided at that time of submittal will be in place prior to award.
- b) Consultant shall email certificate that is compliant with the insurance requirements to ssteele@pinellascounty.org. If certificate received with bid was a compliant certificate no further action may be necessary. The Certificate(s) of Insurance shall be signed by authorized representatives of the insurance companies shown on the Certificate(s). A copy of the endorsement(s) referenced in paragraph d) for Additional Insured shall be attached to the certificate(s) referenced in this paragraph.
- c) No work shall commence at any project site unless and until the required Certificate(s) of Insurance are received and approved by the County. Approval by the County of any Certificate(s) of Insurance does not constitute verification by the County that the insurance requirements have been satisfied or that the insurance policy shown on the Certificate(s) of Insurance is in compliance with the requirements of the Agreement. County reserves the right to require a certified copy of the entire insurance policy, including endorsement(s), at any time during the RFP and/or contract period.
- d) All policies providing liability coverage(s), other than professional liability and workers compensation policies, obtained by the Consultant and any subcontractors to meet the requirements of the Agreement shall be endorsed to include **Pinellas County a Political subdivision of the State of Florida** as an Additional Insured.
- e) If any insurance provided pursuant to the Agreement expires prior to the completion of the Work, renewal Certificate(s) of Insurance and endorsement(s) shall be furnished by the Consultant to the County at least thirty (30) days prior to the expiration date.
 - (1) Consultant shall also notify County within twenty-four (24) hours after receipt, of any notices of expiration, cancellation, nonrenewal or adverse material change in coverage received by said Consultant from its insurer. Notice shall be given by certified mail to: Pinellas County Risk Management 400 South Fort Harrison Ave Clearwater FL 33756; be sure to include your organization's unique identifier, which will be provided upon notice of award. Nothing contained herein shall absolve Consultant of this requirement to provide notice.
 - (2) Should the Consultant, at any time, not maintain the insurance coverages required herein, the County may terminate the Agreement, or at its sole discretion may purchase such coverages necessary for the protection of the County and charge the Consultant for such purchase or offset the cost against amounts due to Consultant for services completed. The County shall be under no obligation to purchase such insurance, nor shall it be responsible for the coverages purchased or the insurance company or companies used. The decision of the County to purchase such insurance shall in no way be construed to be a waiver of any of its rights under the Agreement.
- f) The County reserves the right, but not the duty, to review and request a copy of the Contractor's most recent annual report or audited financial statement when a self-insured retention (SIR) or deductible exceeds \$50,000.
- g) If subcontracting is allowed under this RFP, the Prime Consultant shall obtain and maintain, at all times during its performance of the Agreement, insurance of the types and in the amounts set forth; and require any subcontractors to obtain and maintain, at all times during its performance of the Agreement, insurance limits as it may apply to the portion of the Work performed by the subcontractor; but in no event will the insurance limits be less than \$500,000 for Workers' Compensation/Employers' Liability, and \$1,000,000 for General Liability and Auto Liability if required below.

SECTION C - LIMITATION ON LIABILITY, INDEMNIFICATION, AND INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

- (1) All subcontracts between Consultant and its subcontractors shall be in writing and may be subject to the County's prior written approval. Further, all subcontracts shall (1) require each subcontractor to be bound to Consultant to the same extent Consultant is bound to the County by the terms of the Contract Documents, as those terms may apply to the portion of the Work to be performed by the subcontractor; (2) provide for the assignment of the subcontracts from Consultant to the County at the election of Owner upon termination of the Contract; (3) provide that County will be an additional indemnified party of the subcontract; (4) provide that the County will be an additional insured on all insurance policies required to be provided by the subcontractor except workers compensation and professional liability; (5) provide waiver of subrogation in favor of the County and other insurance terms and/or conditions as outlined below; (6) assign all warranties directly to the County; and (7) identify the County as an intended third-party beneficiary of the subcontract. Consultant shall make available to each proposed subcontractor, prior to the execution of the subcontract, copies of the Contract Documents to which the subcontractor will be bound by this Section C and identify to the subcontractor any terms and conditions of the proposed subcontract which may be at variance with the Contract Documents.
- h) Each insurance policy and/or certificate shall include the following terms and/or conditions:
 - (1) The Named Insured on the Certificate of Insurance and insurance policy must match the entity's name that responded to the solicitation and/or is signing the agreement with the County. If Consultant is a Joint Venture per Section A. titled Joint Venture of this RFP, Certificate of Insurance and Named Insured must show Joint Venture Legal Entity name and the Joint Venture must comply with the requirements of Section C with regard to limits, terms and conditions, including completed operations coverage.
 - (2) Companies issuing the insurance policy, or policies, shall have no recourse against County for payment of premiums or assessments for any deductibles which all are at the sole responsibility and risk of Contractor.
 - (3) The term "County" or "Pinellas County" shall include all Authorities, Boards, Bureaus, Commissions, Divisions, Departments and Constitutional offices of County and individual members, employees thereof in their official capacities, and/or while acting on behalf of Pinellas County.
 - (4) The policy clause "Other Insurance" shall not apply to any insurance coverage currently held by County or any such future coverage, or to County's Self-Insured Retentions of whatever nature.
 - (5) All policies shall be written on a primary, non-contributory basis.
 - (6) Any Certificate(s) of Insurance evidencing coverage provided by a leasing company for either workers compensation or commercial general liability shall have a list of covered employees certified by the leasing company attached to the Certificate(s) of Insurance. The County shall have the right, but not the obligation to determine that the Consultant is only using employees named on such list to perform work for the County. Should employees not named be utilized by Consultant, the County, at its option may stop work without penalty to the County until proof of coverage or removal of the employee by the contractor occurs, or alternatively find the Consultant to be in default and take such other protective measures as necessary.
 - (7) Insurance policies, other than Professional Liability, shall include waivers of subrogation in favor of Pinellas County from both the Consultant and subcontractor(s).
- i) The minimum insurance requirements and limits for this Agreement, which shall remain in effect throughout its duration and for two (2) years beyond final acceptance for projects with a Completed Operations exposure, are as follows:
 - (1) Workers' Compensation Insurance

Limit Florida Statutory

Employers' Liability Limits

Per Employee \$ 500,000
Per Employee Disease \$ 500,000
Policy Limit Disease \$ 500,000

SECTION C - LIMITATION ON LIABILITY, INDEMNIFICATION, AND INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

(2) <u>Commercial General Liability Insurance</u> including, but not limited to, Independent Contractor, Contractual Liability Premises/Operations, Products/Completed Operations, and Personal Injury.

Limits

Combined Single Limit Per Occurrence	\$ 1,000,000
Products/Completed Operations Aggregate	\$ 2,000,000
Personal Injury and Advertising Injury	\$ 1,000,000
General Aggregate	\$ 2,000,000

(3) <u>Business Automobile or Trucker's/Garage Liability Insurance</u> covering owned, hired, and non-owned vehicles. If the Consultant does not own any vehicles, then evidence of Hired and Non-owned coverage is sufficient. Coverage shall be on an "occurrence" basis, such insurance to include coverage for loading and unloading hazards, unless Consultant can show that this coverage exists under the Commercial General Liability policy.

Limit

Combined Single Limit Per Accident \$1,000,000

(4) <u>Professional Liability (Errors and Omissions) Insurance</u> with at least minimum limits as follows. If "claims made" coverage is provided, "tail coverage" extending three (3) years beyond completion and acceptance of the project with proof of "tail coverage" to be submitted with the invoice for final payment. In lieu of "tail coverage", Consultant may submit annually to the County, for a three (3) year period, a current certificate of insurance providing "claims made" insurance with prior acts coverage in force with a retroactive date no later than commencement date of this contract. Professional liability must include coverage pollution professional services.

Limits

Each Occurrence or Claim	\$ 1,000,000
General Aggregate	\$ 1,000,000

For acceptance of Professional Liability coverage included within another policy required herein, a statement notifying the certificate holder must be included on the certificate of insurance and the total amount of said coverage per occurrence must be greater than or equal to the amount of Professional Liability and other coverage combined.

(5) <u>Property Insurance</u> Consultant will be responsible for all damage to its own property, equipment and/or materials.