

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Electronic or scanned signatures accepted.

ocal Sponsor Pinellas County					
Local Sponsor Federal II	Local Sponsor Federal ID Number (FEID) 59-6000800				
Contact Name John B	ishop	Т	itle Coastal Manager	nent Coordinator	
Mailing Address Line 1	22211 US 19, Building 10				
Mailing Address Line 2					
City Clearwater	Ž	Zip Code 337	765	Telephone Number	727-464-8766
Email Address jbishop@	pinellascounty.org				
Additional Contact Infor	mation (this box will expand as need	led. To expand th	ne box for printing purposes	, click anywhere outside th	e box when done typing.)
PART II: CERTIFICATION					
I hereby certify that all information provided with this application is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.					
Signature of Local Sponsor					
Date Jul 30, 2019					
Typed or Printed Name John Bishop					



PART III: EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. <u>Project Name</u> (as listed in the Strategic Beach Management Plan:
Long Key Pass-A-Grille Beach
2. <u>Project Description</u> (Include county, location with reference to range monuments, brief project history and description of proposed activities.) This box will expand as needed. To expand the box for printing purposes, click anywhere outside the box when done typing.
Part of the Federal Pinellas County Shore Protection Project, the project maintains 4.1 miles (21,648 ft) of critically eroded shoreline on Long Key, Pinellas County. All 4.1 miles were authorized in 1966. The entire island is managed by the US Army Corps by restoring Uphan Beach as a feeder beach and Pass-a-Grille Beach as needed. The Pass-a-Grille segment is 5,538.5 ft long. Initial nourishment of Pass-a-Grille occurred in 1986 (73,000 cubic yards) with additional nourishments in 1991 (100,000 cubic yards), 2004 (95,000 cubic yards) and 2014 (140,000 cubic yards). Both Upham Beach and Pass-a-Grille Beach were restored in 2004 and 2014. The borrow areas used include Blind Pass in 1991 and Pass-a-Grille Channel in 1986 and 2004 and Egmont Shoal in 2014. The next Long Key nourishment is tentatively scheduled for 2021. Nourishment is planned for Pass-a-Grille beach using sand from either Pass-a-Grille Pass or Egmont Shoal. The project is estimated to cost \$5 million. If there are additional funds available they may be used at Upham Beach if needed.



3. <u>Use of Request Program Funds</u> (specify phase - Feasibility, Design, Construction and/or Mon description of work in each phase listed. Indicate which tasks are cost reimbursement for work	
FY20/21: USACE multiphase project. These fund will be used to reimburse the County for 50% of the include design, construction and monitoring. Design and construction will be conducted by the Arry conducted by the Army Corp. Monitoring will include but not limited to Physical, Turtle related and be used on Upham if needed.	my Corp. Physical monitoring will be
4. Mapping	
 Map elements must include: Compass rose with North arrow, scale and legend. Project boundary showing length of critically eroded shoreline FDEP range monuments Beach access and parking locations (indicate Primary and Secondary accesses, includ Width of access for public lodging establishments fronting the project shoreline (for a ranking points and eligibility determination) Width of access for public lodging establishments within one-quarter mile walking diaccess point (must show length of street-side frontage for eligibility determination) Comprehensive plan or current land use designations of properties within the project recreational ranking points) Bus stops and bike rack locations within one-quarter mile walking distance of a public access determination) Bus stops and bike rack locations within one-quarter mile walking distance of a public access. determination) 	commercial/recreational istance of a secondary public it shoreline (for commercial/
5. <u>Length of Project Boundary in Feet</u> (Total restored project length in the critically eroded are	ea)
5538.5	



6. Eligibility: Public Beach Access and Public Lodging Establishments:

- •The eligibility table must be completed for all beach projects.
- •For Location/Name, use the official name of the park or the name of the street end that is associated with the public access.
- •For R-Mon, select a DEP Range Monument that is closest to the main public access point.
- •For Type of Access, indicate "Primary" or "Secondary" beach access in accordance with the definitions given in Rule 62B-36 F.A.C.
- •For Width of Access/Frontage, give the length of the legal boundary (in feet) of the public access location along the beach. For public lodging establishments that are not located on the beach, indicate the street-side length of the legal property boundary (in feet) that is used as the main access to the establishment.
- •For the Total Public Units in a public lodging establishment, write in the number of rooms available for use by the public divided by the total number of rooms in the establishment. For Parking Spaces, give the total number of public parking spaces that are available for use at the public access location. See Rule 62B-36 F.A.C. for additional details.
- •For Additional Width from Eligibility Units, give the total distance added to the shoreline width of a public access location. If there are various kinds of eligibility units used for this calculation, provide the names of the eligibility units and show the calculation on a separate page or below the table.
- •For Eligible Shoreline, calculate the sum of the distances for Width of Access and the Additional Width from Eligibility Units columns.
- •Eligible Shoreline can't overlap.
- •For the DBPR License, provide the website link to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) proof of licensure documentation for any public lodging establishments used for eligibility or Commercial/Recreational designation.
- •Check the DBPR link from last year to verify that it is current. If more than 5 rows are needed, attach additional documentation.

Location/Name	R- Monument	Type of Access	Width of Access/ Frontage	Total Public Units or Parking Spaces	Additional Width from Eligibility Units	Eligible Shoreline (feet)	DBPR License
Pass-a-Grille Beach Park	R-163	Primary	5380.9	3	157.6	5538.5	



7. Schedule and Budget

a. <u>Cost Reimbursement:</u> (Specify eligible costs incurred three years prior to the current application's fiscal year which have not been reimbursed. Eligible costs will be included in the funding request of the current application.

Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
17/18						
Add R	ow		1	1		
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
18/19						
Add R	ow		1	•	1	•
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
19/20						
Add R		sture Costs: (Specify eligible costs for the current application	nle fiscal year y	المناها المناها	+ h o o n roimh	ursod

b. <u>Current and Future Costs</u>: (Specify eligible costs for the current application's fiscal year which have not been reimbursed.
 Include the proposed phases for the next 10 years and the estimated costs for the next 5 years.)

Include the proposed phases for the next 10 years and the estimated costs for the next 5 years.)						
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
20/21	USACE Multipha	Renourish Beach	5,000,000	3,135,000	932,500	932,500
20/21	Monitoring	tilling, turtle monitoring 16000 8000		8000	8000	
Add Ro	ow					
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
21/22	Monitoring	tilling, turtle monitoring	16000		8000	8000
Add Row						
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
22/23	Monitoring	tilling, turtle monitoring	16000		8000	8000
Add Row						
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
23/24						



Add R	ow					
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
24/25						
Add R	low		•			
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
25/26						
Add R	ow					
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
26/27						
Add R	ow		'			
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
27/28						
Add R	ow		'	'	•	
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
28/29						
Add R	low					
Year	Proposed Phase	Description	Total Estimated Cost	Federal Cost Share	State Cost Share	Local Cost Share
29/30						
Add R	OW		<u> </u>			

8. Severity of Erosion: Criteria will be calculated by the Department. Additional information may be provided for consideration.

Since 1989, Pass-a-Grille Beach eroded at 4 ft/yr. These values have been published in Dean et al. (1998) and Elko (2006). Additionally, winter fronts combined with Hurricanes Hermine, Irma and Michael have severely eroded the beach and cut into the dune.



9. Threat to Upland Structures: Criteria will be calculated by the Department. Additional information may be provided for consideration.				
Approximately 158 ft of property with habitable structures is within the project limits. South of this area there is an additional 5381 ft of shoreline within the project that that consists of a beach, beach parking lot and road landward of which is a mixture of residential and commercial buildings. There appear to be sea walls protecting at least a portion of the project area.				
10. Recreational and Economic Benefit: Provide the percentage of linear footage of properties within the project boundaries zoned commercial, recreational, or Public Lodging Establishment (hotel, motel, or vacation rental condo) as zoned in current ocal government land use maps.				
97.2%				
11. <u>Availability of Federal Funds</u> :				
Is the project Federally authorized by WRDA? Yes				
Authorization Year: 1966 Expiration Year: 2030				
Does this project have a Project Cooperative Agreement or other Federal funding agreement? If so, attach a copy of the document. Yes				
What is the federal cost share percentage provided for this project? 62.7 %				
Is this project funded through FEMA for storm repairs? No				
Is there a signed FEMA Project Worksheet for this project? If yes, attach a copy. No				



Is funding for the pr	oject in the local sponsor's 10-year	comprehensive financial plan? No	
Attach a copy or provide web link to the plan in this box:			
Is funding provided	through a source established by re	ferendum? No	
Attach a copy or provide web link to the referendum in this box:			
Is funding provided	by a third-party other than the fed	eral government? No	
•	age of total project costs provided l interlocal agreement or cost sharir		
Local Sponsor may g		rently funded through the program operations of the last ports were submitted within the last	
Quarter	Due Date	Report Remit Date	Compliant
July-September	October 30, 2018	Oct 26, 2018	Yes
October-December	January 31, 2019	Jan 7, 2019	Yes
January-March	April 30, 2019	Apr 18, 2019	Yes
April-June	July 31, 2019	Jul 17, 2019	Yes
Is there an active state per	mit for this project? Yes	Permit # JCP 0221569-016-JN	N
Authorization Date Decer	nber 16, 2016	Expiration Date March 29, 202	25
Is there an active federal p	ermit for this project? No	Permit #	



Will this project nourish a previously restored shoreline? Yes

Authorization Date E	expiration Date				
Have local funds been secured for this project? Yes Explain:					
Annual revenues from the Tourist Development Tax represent a long annually. The County's Capital Improvement Program includes the rand maintenance of this project. The funds utilized from the Capital projects are reimbursed annually using the Coastal program dedicates.	necessary funds to cover the local share of the design, construction, Improvement Program for Beach Nourishment and Stabilization				
Has a copy of the resolution been drafted and attached to this applic	cation? Yes				
In order to acquire state funding, the Local Sponsor must provide a resolution from the governing board which declares: - Support from the Local Sponsor for the project - Willingness to serve as the Local Sponsor - Ability to provide the full local cost share - Identification of the source of funding A draft resolution must be provided with the application. The signed resolution must be received by September 30, 2019.					
Has the Department previously reviewed, approved and cost-shared	on a feasibility or design phase for this project? Yes				
Provide most recent phase: Construction	And State cost share percentage: 19.6 %				
Will this project enhance or increase the longevity of a previously-constructed project? Yes					
Explain:					
The project will increase the longevity of the previous nourishment projects by maintaining viable recreational beaches along the Passa-Grille shoreline. Periodic nourishments are the backbone of a strong and stable tourist-driven economy and provide storm protection as well as important wildlife habitat that would otherwise not exist at Pass-A-Grille Beach.					



(Full beach nourishment only. Dune maintenance projects do not apply.) Has a previously approved appropriation for a project phase been released in its' entirety by the Local Sponsor due to delays No in the project timelines? 14. Project Performance: What is the nourishment intervals (in years)? |10 Pass-a-Grille is normally nourished on a 10 year interval; however, this project will be closer to 7 years. Explain: 15. Mitigation of Inlet Effects: Criteria is calculated by the Department. The project area for this nourishment will be the bypassing placement area in the Pass-a-Grille inlet management plan. This Explain: plan sets an annual bypass amount of 14,000 cy/yr. The last nourishment was 2014 therfore 2021-2014=7 years. 7 years * 14,000 cy = 98,000 cy. Addition advanced fill is planned to offset erosion. 16. Use of Innovative Applications of existing technologies: Does the project address erosion in a method that is economically competitive with nourishment, that will not adversely affect the conservation of fish and wildlife, including endangered or threatened species, or their habitats, and that is No designed to demonstrate an innovative application of existing technologies? Explain:



Has the project been documented to be effective and demons	trated technologies previously untried in Florida? No
Explain:	
17. <u>Regionalization</u>	
Is this project being planned or constructed in cooperation with	h another local government to reduce contracting costs? No
Explain:	
18. <u>Significance</u>	
	e last sand placement event of a beach restoration or nourishment project ion should be consistent with annual post-constructions monitoring
The three surveys profiles used are the (1) design profile, (2) U advanced nourishment lost since the last beach placement is	SF's August 2014 survey, and (3) USF's April 2019 survey. The volume of 56,600 cy
Has the project eroded into the design template? Yes	
If yes, provide the eroded segments by listing the R-monumen	ts
R162, R163 and R164	

150,000

For construction projects, what is the proposed sand placement volume (cy)?