


Doing Things!

San Martin Boulevard over Riviera Bay & Dunedin Causeway Bridges Sea Level Rise (SLR) Evaluations



Why?



Mean Sea Level Trend (relative to Mean Lower Low Water) in St. Petersburg, Florida, NOAA Tide Gauge #8726520. **Source:** 2015 CSAP Recommended Projection of Sea Level Rise in the Tampa Bay Region





Tampa Bay Climate Science Advisory Panel (CSAP)

- Formed in spring 2014 scientists & resource managers
- Tampa Bay region representation (Pinellas, Hillsborough, Manatee & Pasco)
- Three key recommendations:
 - Adaptation planning should employ a scenario-based approach that considers, at a minimum, location, time horizon, and risk tolerance.
 - Projections of SLR should be consistent with present and future
 National Climate Assessment estimates and methods.
 - Projections of SLR should be regionally corrected using the St.
 Petersburg tide gauge data.




Tampa Bay Climate Science Advisory Panel (CSAP)

Tampa Bay Climate Science Advisory Panel					
Organization	Representative	Designated Alternate			
UF/IFAS Extension, Florida Sea Grant	Libby Carnahan (Facilitator)				
Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council	Maya Burke	Brady Smith, AICP			
Tampa Bay Estuary Program	Lindsay Cross	Ed Sherwood			
Tampa Bay Water	Dr. Alison Adams, P.E.	Dr. Tirusew Asefa, P.E., D.WRE			
Southwest Florida Water Management District	John Ferguson, P.G.	James Golden, AICP			
Florida Climate Institute	Dr. Gary Mitchum				
US Geological Survey	Dr. Nathaniel Plant	Dr. Hilary Stockdon			
NOAA National Weather Service, Tampa Bay	Dr. Charlie Paxton				
University of South Florida, School of Public Affairs	Dr. Mark Hafen				
University of South Florida, College of Marine Science	Dr. Mark Luther	Dr. Steve Meyers			
NOAA Office for Coastal Management, Gulf Coast	Heidi Stiller	Kristen Laursen			
Florida Sea Grant	Thomas Ruppert, Esq.				
Pinellas County	Kelli Hammer-Levy	Andy Squires			
Environmental Protection Commission of Hillsborough County	Margaret Rush	Tom Ash			
Manatee County	Rob Brown				
Pasco County	Melissa Charbonneau	Keith Wiley, Curtis Franklin			
US Army Corps of Engineers	Glenn Landers (Technical Advisor, ex officio)				



Tampa Bay Regional Projections



Year	NOAA Low (Feet)	NOAA Int Low (Feet)	NOAA Int High (Feet)	NOAA High (Feet)
199213	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2025	0.28	0.38	0.60	0.84
2035	0.37	0.53	0.90	1.31
2050	0.50	0.80	1.46	2.22
2065	0.63	1.10	2.15	3.35
2075	0.71	1.33	2.68	4.23
2100	0.93	1.97	4.26	6.89


Graphic Relative Sea Level Change (RSLC) Scenarios for St. Petersburg, Florida, as calculated using the NOAA projections and regional corrections.

Source: 2015 CSAP Recommended Projection of Sea Level Rise in the Tampa Bay Region Our Vision: **To Be the Standard for Public Service in America**



Bridge Projects Evaluation

- CSAP recommended projections
- Bridges and adjacent land use impacts
- 1 6 feet of SLR evaluated
- Impacts graphically depicted compared to each options' useful life








Guidance for Incorporating SLR into Capital Projects

- SLR Tool:
 - Vulnerability Assessment
 - Exposure
 - Sensitivity
 - Adaptive capacity
 - Risk Assessment
 - Anticipated level of damage
 - Service disruption
 - Cost to repair/replace for public health and safety
 - Adaptive Strategies





Doing Things!


San Martin Boulevard over Riviera Bay
Project Development & Environment (PD&E) Study –
Update

PID 001036A



Project Location & Limits







Overview Of Alternatives

No Build Alternative

Alternatives Considered but Eliminated

Rehabilitation / Widening
Alternative

- Does not meet current design high water clearance elevations
- Existing bridge condition and unknown foundations not conducive to widening

Alternative High-Level and Mid-Level Fixed Span Alternatives

- Significant impacts to surrounding environment and community
- Significant impacts to adjacent street networks
- Concerns with existing channel depth, canal width and dockage space

Movable Bridge Alternative

- Significantly higher construction cost than fixed alternatives
- Increased long-term maintenance and operational costs

Proposed Build Replacement Alternatives

- Left-shifted (West) Alignment
- Centered Alignment
- Right-shifted (East) Alignment

- Highest Feasible Profile
 Reconstruction Alternatives
 (Fixed Bridge)
- Improves navigational clearances
- Eliminates impacts to adjacent neighborhoods






Build Replacement Alternatives



CENTER ALIGNMENT



EAST ALIGNMENT




Stakeholder Preferred Alternative






North Bay Trail Extension Evaluation





Alternatives Comparison Matrix



Alternative	Cost	Service Life
No-Build / Rehabilitation	NR*	N/A
West Alignment	\$12.290 M	75 years
Center Alignment	\$11.373 M	75 years
East Alignment	\$13.753 M	75 years

Stakeholder Preferred Alternative

^{*} Not recommended due to unknown foundations and existing bridge condition.



Sea Level Rise
Base Conditions (0' Inundation)





Sea Level Rise Base Conditions (1' Inundation)





Sea Level Rise

Base Conditions

Base Conditions (2' Inundation)








Sea Level Rise Base Conditions (3' Inundation)








Sea Level Rise
Base Conditions (4' Inundation)






Sea Level Rise
Base Conditions

Base Conditions (5' Inundation)





Sea Level Rise Base Conditions (6' Inundation)








Sea Level Rise Projections Summary

NOAA SEA LEVEL RISE PROJECTIONS (2012)





Current Project Status

- Construction Schedule
 - Construction funding proposed in Penny IV
- Stakeholder Preferred Alternatives:
 - Eastern Bridge Alignment
 - Eastern Trail Alignment
- Stakeholder SLR Presentation held on March 29, 2018





Moving Forward

1. No Build:


- A. Continue to monitor until structurally deficient
- **B. Potentially install scour countermeasures**
- C. No trail connectivity to Pinellas Trail South Loop and North Bay Trail
- 2. Rehabilitation (Not Recommended):
 - A. Does not meet current design high water clearance elevations
 - B. Existing bridge condition and unknown foundations not conducive to widening



3. Replacement:

Eastern Bridge Alignment: \$13.753M=75 Year

Eastern Trail Alignment: \$0.921M





Doing Things!

Dunedin Causeway Bridges

Project Development & Environment (PD&E) Study – Update

June 12, 2018



Project Location and Limits





Bridge Options

No-Build: \$4+M = 13 Years

Cost includes countermeasures for both bridges






- Major Rehabilitation: \$31+M = 25 Years
 - Additional Service Life: 25 years (to 2045)
 - Not presented to public as a viable alternative
 - Does not meet transportation/recreational needs of the community
 - Does not address the functionally obsolete bridge geometry

Cost includes \$25.1 million for main bascule bridge and \$6.2 million for the tide relief bridge

- Replacement = 75 Years
 - Main Bridge
 - High-Level Fixed = \$60+M
 - Mid-Level Movable = \$81+M
 - Low-Level Movable = \$77+M

Costs include replacement of the tide relief bridge





Alternatives Cost/Service Life

Alternative	Cost	Annual O&M	Service Life
No-Build	\$4.0 M*	\$303 K	13 years
Rehabilitation	\$31.3 M**	\$228 K	25 years
Replacement (Low Level Movable)	\$77.0 M***	\$230 K	75 years
Replacement (Mid-level Movable)	\$81.2 M***	\$231 K	75 years
Replacement (Fixed)	\$60.0 M***	\$9.3 K	75 years


^{*}Cost includes \$4.0 million in scour countermeasures for both bridges.

^{**} Cost includes \$25.1 million for rehabilitation of Main Bascule bridge and \$6.2 million for rehabilitation of the Tide Relief bridge.

^{***}Cost includes \$9.3 million for replacement of the Tide Relief bridge.



Land Use






Existing Conditions






Land Use Impact: 1 Foot SLR






Land Use Impact: 2 Feet SLR






Land Use Impact: 3 Feet SLR






Land Use Impact: 4 Feet SLR







Land Use Impact: 5 Feet SLR






Land Use Impact: 6 Feet SLR







SLR Scenario / Year





Current Project Status

- Construction Schedule:
 - Anticipate construction funding in Penny IV
- Stakeholder Preferred Alternatives
 - Mid-Level Movable Bridge Alternative
 - Dunedin Causeway Ad Hoc Committee
 - Dunedin City Commission
 - General Public
 - High-Level Fixed Bridge Alternative
 - Pinellas MPO Bicycle/Pedestrian
 Action Committee




Stakeholder SLR Presentation held on March 6, 2018



Moving Forward

1. No Build: \$4+M = 13 Years

Cost includes countermeasures for both bridges





3. Replacement:

A. Main Fixed: \$60+M = 75 Years

B. Main Movable Mid: \$81+M = 75

Years

Costs include replacement of the tide relief bridge






Proposed Typical Section – Preliminary Alternatives Evaluation

38' Roadway


5' B' 11' 11' 8' 15'

Street, Police | Street, Total | Street, To





Process for next steps






Options to Consider San Martin

- 1. No Build: \$ unknown
- 2. Rehabilitation: \$ unknown
- 3. Replacement:
 - A. Eastern Bridge Alignment:\$13.75M = 75 Year

Eastern Trail Alignment: \$0.921M



Dunedin Causeway

- 1. No Build: \$4+M = 13 Years
- 2. Rehab: \$31+M = 25 Years
- 3. Replacement:
 - A. Main Fixed:\$60+M = 75 Years
 - B. Main Movable Mid: \$81+M = 75 Years

