

From: [Jessica Gabriel](#)
To: [BCC Agenda Comments](#)
Cc: [White, Jewel](#); [Kevin Hennessy](#); [Nicole Poot](#); [Sarah Spardy](#); [Lisa Bambace](#)
Subject: Short Term Rental Ordinance Amendment, Case No. LDR-24-04
Date: Monday, March 24, 2025 4:24:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Position Paper.pdf](#)

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Good Afternoon –

Please see attached correspondence from Mr. Hennessy regarding tomorrow’s Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners meeting.

Thank you,

Jessica Gabriel | Legal Assistant to:
Nicole J. Poot, Esquire ♦ John J. Cavaliere III, Esquire
100 Second Avenue South, Suite 501-S | St. Petersburg, Florida 33701
jgabriel@llw-law.com | 727.245.0820
[vCard](#) | [Website](#) | [join us online](#)



The information contained in this transmission may be legally privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you received this communication in error, and that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and delete the message and all copies of it.

Reply To: St. Petersburg

March 24, 2025

Via Email to: bccagendacomment@mypinellasclerk.gov

Pinellas County Board Records
315 Court St.
Clearwater, FL 33756

RE: Short Term Rental Ordinance Amendment, Case No. LDR-24-04

Dear Pinellas County Board of Commissioners:

This firm represents a number of vacation rental owners in Pinellas County that have concerns about the revisions to the vacation ordinance currently under consideration, LDR-24-04 (“the Proposed Ordinance”). Our clients support the reasonable regulation of vacation rental use and agree that there are irresponsible tenants and bad managers in the vacation rental industry just as there are bad neighbors who disrupt neighborhoods. However, punishment of the entire vacation rental industry with overly restrictive and burdensome regulation is not the solution to the problems that need to be addressed. We support the County’s interest in addressing public concerns regarding short-term rentals, and therefore provide suggestions on revising the Proposed Ordinance to address public concerns with measures that are not overly burdensome for responsible vacation rental property owners, and measures that do not conflict with Florida law.

Since the Pinellas County Code began regulating short-term rentals in 2018 under section 138-3232, its stated purpose has remained the same¹: to allow for individual dwelling units to be rented or leased for less than one month, while protecting the immediate vicinity from negative impacts such as traffic, noise, and safety concerns. In pursuing this purpose, since being enacted in 2018 Section 138-3232 has identified maximum occupancy, parking limits, noise restrictions, requirements for identification of a responsible party, and posting requirements². The County was presented with several good revisions to the Vacation Rental Ordinance at its February 25,

¹ Changing only slightly in 2021 to add that individual rooms within owner-occupied properties may also be used for short term rentals.

² Except for the addition in 2023 of the requirement for short-term vacation rentals within single family detached homes to obtain a zoning clearance per section 138-90.

JACKSONVILLE

245 Riverside Ave.
Suite 510
Jacksonville, Florida 32202
T: 904.353.6410
F: 904.353.7619

ST. PETERSBURG

100 Second Ave. South
Suite 501-S
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701
T: 727.245.0820
F: 727.290.4057

TALLAHASSEE

106 East College Avenue
Suite 1500
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
T: 850.222.5702
F: 850.224.9242

TAMPA

301 West Platt St.
Suite A364
Tampa, Florida 33606
T: 813.775.2331

WEST PALM BEACH

360 South Rosemary Ave.
Suite 1100
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
T: 561.640.0820
F: 561.640.8202

2025, meeting that would have supported an important industry while addressing remaining concerns but bad experiences with rental situations that were clearly not properly policed under the existing ordinance resulted in a drastic change in direction to staff and counsel in revising the County Code. We would strongly suggest that the County should focus on issues of unregistered vacation rentals and the bad actors who are simply not policing their tenants, such as renting to groups of young adults on spring break or bachelor and bachelorette parties, who allow their tenants to engage in loud and disturbing behavior late into the evenings. We suggest that these real problems can best be addressed by strengthening code enforcement efforts of the existing ordinance or by the addition of new reasonable restrictions related to noise, parking, or other health, safety, and welfare concerns. Just as good neighbors should not be punished by unduly restricted in the use of their homes due to the bad behavior of irresponsible neighbors, nor should those citizens who financially rely on income from use of their property as a vacation rental be subjected to restrictions that make vacation rental use unfeasible.

Local governments must ensure that local ordinances are rationally related to a legitimate general welfare concern. *WCI Communities, Inc. v. City of Coral Springs*, 885 So. 2d 912, 914 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004). To determine whether an ordinance is rationally related to a legitimate general welfare concern, Florida courts assess (1) whether there is a legitimate government purpose which the governing body could be pursuing, and (2) whether “a rational basis exists for the enacting government body to believe that the legislation would further the hypothesized purpose.” *Id.* Additionally, local zoning ordinances must bear a *substantial relation* to the public health, safety, morals, or general welfare. *City of Miami Beach v. 8701 Collins Ave., Inc.*, 77 So. 2d 428, 430 (Fla. 1954). Local governments may not exceed the bounds of necessity for the public welfare. *Burritt v. Harris*, 172 So. 2d 820, 823 (Fla. 1965). If an ordinance exceeds the bounds of necessity for the public welfare, it *must* be stricken as an unconstitutional invasion of property rights. *Id.* Although local governments have police power, they may not curtail property owners’ constitutional right to make legitimate use of their land “under the guise of police power.” *Burritt v. Harris*, 172 So. 2d 820, 823 (Fla. 1965).

The Proposed Ordinance imposes requirements that far exceed necessity, burdening property owners without effectively resolving the public’s concerns. For example, the Proposed Ordinance includes ambiguous and overly restrictive occupancy standards based on an arbitrary “bedroom definition” and unnecessary space requirements. Although the Proposed Ordinance’s standard for maximum occupancy reverts to terms that have been in the Code since 2018, their *effect* is much different because of the Updated Proposed Ordinance’s new and highly restrictive definition of “bedroom”. The new definition of “bedroom” mandates minimum square footage, exterior walls, closet requirements, and restrictions on multi-use spaces. These requirements exceed necessity, as they do not serve the public’s stated concerns of noise, safety, or late-night disturbances. Instead, they functionally limit property owners’ ability to utilize their homes in a reasonable manner. Furthermore, this change disproportionately impacts smaller homes and properties that may have adequate sleeping accommodations but do not fit within this restrictive definition. It also puts an arbitrary limitation on larger homeowners preventing the reasonable use of the entire home.

Additionally, the proposed definition of “bedroom” introduces requirements that conflict with state law. Section 633.206(2)(b), Fla. Stat., prohibits local governments from enacting fire safety standards for transient public lodging establishments that exceed state requirements. The Florida Administrative Code, Rule 69A-43.018(5), sets the occupancy limit for transient lodging at 150 square feet per person. The proposed bedroom-based occupancy restrictions drastically contradicts this standard.

The February Proposed Ordinance’s occupancy definition, although still exceeding the fire code, was a far more reasonable balance of public concerns with property rights by including a time frame for occupancy and a “carve out” for children. The current Proposed Ordinance’s revised occupancy definition eliminates February’s reasonable “11 p.m. to 7 a.m.” timeframe and treats children and adults the same. This creates ambiguity regarding when and how occupancy is calculated. The removal of the time-based limitation increases the risk of arbitrary enforcement while failing to directly target disruptive behavior. Moreover, revising the occupancy definition by treating adults and children the same fails to address the issues raised with February draft ordinance. Families with children coming to enjoy our area are not drinking, engaging in lewd activities, causing late night disturbances or driving multiple vehicles creating parking issues.

Florida law explicitly limits the scope of local government regulations concerning vacation rentals. Section 509.032(7)(b) of the Florida Statutes preempts local governments from prohibiting vacation rentals or regulating their duration or frequency. While local governments may implement reasonable regulations to address health, safety, and welfare concerns, these measures must not function as de facto bans on vacation rentals or create an unreasonable, arbitrary or undue burden on their operation or existence. The Proposed Ordinance’s revisions, particularly those related to occupancy, parking, and licensing requirements, function as indirect regulation of rental frequency and duration violating the statutory preemption. The mandatory Short-Term Rental Certificate of Use with its biennial inspections, annual renewals, and 24/7 local contact requirements, imposes excessive administrative burdens that will serve to prohibit and limit vacation rentals. The same is true for the parking requirements that are clearly intended to further limit occupancy and are not imposed on any other type of residential property owner in the County.

The Proposed Ordinance fails to directly address the community’s primary concerns—namely, noise disturbances, disruptive house parties, and late-night nuisances. Rather than enacting vague and excessive regulations on responsible tax paying property owners, the County should focus on solutions that will enhance enforcement of existing noise and nuisance laws and that target bad actors instead of the entire vacation rental industry and the families relying on them for income or those relying on them for their vacation needs. While we recognize the County’s goal of balancing the rights of property owners with the interests of the broader community, the latest Proposed Ordinance imposes excessive restrictions that conflict with Florida law, fail to address public concerns, and unduly burden property owners. As such we urge the Board to reconsider these overly restrictive measures and seek a balanced approach that respects property rights while addressing legitimate community concerns.

March 24, 2025

Page 4

In sum, we agree that reasonable regulation of short-term rentals for the welfare of the community is important. However, the regulations must bear a substantial relation to the public's general welfare and the terms must be enforceable. Otherwise, the provisions impose unreasonable burdens on short term rental owners in violation of their property rights while not achieving the stated purpose of the regulations. While our clients will continue to work toward a reasonable compromise on the proposed regulation, the current language poses significant damage to hundreds if not thousands of owners. As your counsel has advised, a local government that enacts an ordinance that is arbitrary or unreasonable or violates statutory preemption provisions, exposes that local government to an award of attorneys' fees.

We will be in attendance at the upcoming BOCC meeting on March 25, 2025 to speak in favor of changes to the currently proposed ordinance and to answer any questions. Thank you for your consideration of our concerns.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kevin S. Hennessy

Lewis, Longman & Walker, P.A.

Kevin S. Hennessy, Esq.

CC: Clients
County Attorney

March 24, 2025
Page 5

Eres, Christian L

From: Revie, Derelynn H
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2025 1:29 PM
To: Clerk Board Records
Cc: Ribble, Teresa M
Subject: FW: Essential STR Ordinance Requirements

Hello and Good Afternoon,

Please add the email below to the BCC agenda comments box and upload it for Agenda Item 40, Legistar number 25-0323A, Case No. LDR-24-04, re: Short-Term Rentals.

Thank you!

Derelynn

Derelynn Revie, J.D.
Manager | Board Records
Office of Ken Burke, Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller
Pinellas County, Florida
315 Court Street, Room 537, Clearwater, FL 33756
Office (727) 464-3463
drevie@mypinellasclerk.gov | www.mypinellasclerk.gov

~ Serving You ~

LET US KNOW HOW WE'RE DOING,
FILL OUT A COMMENT CARD!

From: White, Jewel <jwhite@pinellas.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2025 12:59 PM
To: Revie, Derelynn H <drevie@mypinellasclerk.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Essential STR Ordinance Requirements

I hope you don't get multiples of this, but here you go for the record

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: John Pfanstiehl <pfanstiehl@ij.net>
Date: March 13, 2025 at 12:55:59 PM EDT
To: "Scott, Brian" <brscott@pinellas.gov>
Cc: "Burton, Barry" <bburton@pinellas.gov>, "White, Jewel" <jwhite@pinellas.gov>, "Scherer, Chris" <cscherer@pinellas.gov>, "Nowicki, Vince" <vnowicki@pinellas.gov>, "Eggers, Dave" <deggers@pinellas.gov>, "Latvala, Christopher" <clatvala@pinellas.gov>, "Peters, Kathleen" <kpeters@pinellas.gov>, "Robinson-Flowers, Rene" <rflowers@pinellas.gov>
Subject: Essential STR Ordinance Requirements

This message came from outside your organization.

Dear Chairman Scott,

First: Thanks to you and all the other commissioners for standing firm on Short Term Rental regulations

Many fellow Pinellas residents were gratified watching the commissioners' unanimous commitment to create good STR regulations for unincorporated areas.

We have witnessed the destruction STRs have wrought on residential neighborhoods in Indian rocks Beach. It's painful seeing friends and neighbors sell their forever-homes because living next to these unsupervised hotels was intolerable.

From our experience, we've found these items you agreed upon to be essential

1. Requirement to provide state DPBR and county numbers, occupancy and **address** in every ad
2. Heavy fines and/or **suspension/revocation** of licenses for repeated violations
3. Occupancy limit of 10 including children – **preferably 24 hours** because night-time in nearly impossible to enforce
4. Expanded quiet hours – preferable with **no outside amplified music**
5. Use of **statutory definition of bedroom** from FS 381.0065 (this prevents other living areas without egress exits to be reclassified to cram in more people)
6. **Inspections: life-safety/fire-safety and building code/FEMA** before registration and then yearly
7. Parking: one on-site space per three occupants, no front lawn parking
8. Safety: compliance with the most recent version of Florida Swimming Pool Safety Act
9. Commercial events should be excluded and sexual predator language added
10. **Public access to portal** of registered properties so they can see if nearby houses are legal

Your constituents look forward to seeing the enactment and enforcement of these needed regulations.

With appreciation,

John

John Pfanstiehl
448 Harbor Drive S,
Indian Rocks Beach, 33785
727-642-4715

CC:
County Commissioners
Jewel White lead County attorney
Barry Burton County Administrator

Eres, Christian L

From: noreply@mypinellasclerk.gov
Sent: Friday, March 7, 2025 10:34 AM
To: BCC Agenda Comments

Live Form

Topic Short Term Rentals

BCC Agenda Date

Your Stand on the Issue

Comments [E01D7CCE-39EB-4255-BC2F-90ABA5E7C9F0]

Citizen Name Roberta McKendry

Address 6339 Evergreen Ave
Seminole, FL 33772

Phone +17274818293

Email mckendr@eckerd.edu

Eres, Christian L

From: noreply@mypinellasclerk.gov
Sent: Thursday, March 6, 2025 8:07 PM
To: BCC Agenda Comments

Live Form

Topic Short Term Rentals

BCC Agenda Date

Your Stand on the Issue

Comments [E01D7CCE-39EB-4255-BC2F-90ABA5E7C9F0]

Citizen Name Maureen Cadzow

Address 12252 Arlene Ave
Seminole, FL 33772

Phone +1727 421 2865

Email mcadzow@tampabay.rr.com