

# LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY (LPA) STAFF REPORT

Case Number: FLU-23-01

LPA Public Hearing: May 10, 2023

November 8, 2023

Applicant: La Mirage Beauty Salon, Inc.

Representative: Todd Pressman,

Pressman & Associates

**Subject Property:** Approximately 3.3 acres located at 3720 and 3730 Tampa Road in Palm

Harbor.

Parcel ID(s): 08/28/16/47437/000/0010 & 0020



#### **REQUEST:**

A Future Land Use Map (FLUM) amendment from Residential/Office General (R/OG) to Institutional (I) on approximately 3.3 acres located along the south side of Tampa Road, approximately one-quarter mile west of McMullen Booth Road in Palm Harbor. The subject property is currently developed with a beauty salon and an office.

This is an amended request from what the LPA heard on May 10, 2023.

### LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY (LPA) RECOMMENDATION:

On May 10, 2023, the LPA found the proposed FLUM amendment to Employment (E), **inconsistent** with the Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan and recommended denial to the Board of County Commissioners. (The vote was 6-1, in favor of denial)

On November 8, 2023, the LPA found the proposed FLUM amendment to Institutional (I), **consistent** with the Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan and recommended approval to the Board of County Commissioners (Vote 7-0).

#### **Development Review Committee (DRC) STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

• Staff recommends that the LPA find the proposed land use amendment to Institutional (I) consistent with the Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan, based on this report.

• Staff further recommends that the LPA recommend approval of the proposed land use amendment to the Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners.

#### **SUMMARY REPORT**

The DRC reviewed this application on April 10, 2023, and the amended request on October 9, 2023. The DRC Staff summary discussion and analysis follows:

#### Case History

The LPA originally heard this case on May 10, 2023. The request at that time included a land use amendment from Residential/Office General (R/OG) to Employment (E), as well as an associate Case (ZON-23-01) to change the zoning from GO, General Office, to E-2, Employment-2, and a Development Agreement and deed termination. Staff did not support the request for various reasons, including the subject property's location on a Scenic/Non-Commercial Corridor (SNCC) and the potential impacts that a much broader range of possible nonresidential uses posed on the surrounding residential and environmentally sensitive areas. The LPA agreed and by a 6-1 vote recommended denial to the Board of County Commissioners. On July 18, 2023, the Board of County Commissioners continued the cases to provide the applicant the opportunity to amend the requests to something more appropriate for the subject property's location. That amended request is now before the LPA.

#### **Subject Property**

The subject property consists of the upland portion of two adjacent parcels that totals approximately 3.3 acres located at 3720 and 3730 Tampa Road in Palm Harbor, which is on the south side of the roadway west of McMullen Booth Road. The parcels are currently home to a beauty salon and an office. They were originally developed as a church and were part of a larger 1980s era master planned development that included part of the surrounding residential area. As part of the master plan, 76 percent of the development rights were transferred off the subject property. As a result, only 24 percent of the otherwise maximum development potential is available. Under the current GO (General Office) zoning district only nonresidential uses are allowed. The maximum development potential for nonresidential uses is based on the floor area ratio (FAR) allowed by the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) category. The existing Residential/Office General (R/OG) land use category allows a maximum FAR of 0.50. At 3.3 acres, this would equate to a maximum of 17,250 square feet of building area on the subject property (143,748 square feet x 0.5 FAR x 24% development rights remaining = 17,250 square feet). However, this is further limited to a maximum of 14,690 square feet by a deed restriction recorded in 2014 as part of a Development Agreement approved by the Board of County Commissioners (the R/OG category had a lower maximum FAR at the time).

The applicant desires to have the ability to construct additional building square footage, which would require a FLUM amendment to a category that allows a higher FAR as well as the termination of the 2014 deed restrictions. To facilitate this, a FLUM amendment to Institutional (I) is proposed along with a companion application for a zoning amendment to GI, General Institutional (Case No. ZON-23-01). Also proposed under a separate case is a new Development Agreement that would terminate the 2014 deed restriction and set an increased development area maximum for uses that are allowed by the GI district.

The change in land use to Institutional (I) would increase the maximum FAR from 0.50 to 0.65. (This would allow up to 23,314 square feet of building area.) The Primary Uses identified in the Comprehensive Plan as consistent with the "I" category generally include, but are not limited to schools, hospitals, medical clinics, assembly uses, public service and government-type buildings, courthouses, libraries, and child day care. Secondary Uses identified residential and recreation/open space. The 'Purpose' of the Institutional land use category as stated in the Comprehensive Plan is, "...to depict those areas of the County that are now used, or appropriate to be used, for public/semipublic institutional purposes; and to

recognize such areas consistent with the need, character and scale of the institutional use relative to surrounding uses, transportation facilities, and natural resource features."

It is important to note that the subject property is further and more specifically regulated by a development agreement and deed restriction. Therefore, regardless of the land use designation, there are additional limitations governing the property. In order to more fully utilize the available FAR and breadth of uses identified in the FLUM designation, such elements would require modification or removal. No specific future use is proposed at this time and there is no concept plan associated with the Development Agreement.

#### Surrounding Uses and Zoning Designations

As mentioned previously, the subject property is the upland portion of two parcels fronting the south side of Tampa Road. Environmentally sensitive wetlands and conservation easements border much of the amendment area, beyond which is residential development to the south, east and west. Additional residential properties are located to the north across Tampa Road. In terms of land use, the property is surrounded on the west, south and east by Residential Low, Preservation, and Residential Urban, respectively. To the north, across Tampa Road is Residential Low and Preservation. Another R/OG land use designation begins along Tampa Road approximately 650 feet east of the subject property and forms the southwest quadrant of the Tampa Road and McMullen Booth Road interchange. In terms of zoning, the wetlands are designated PC, Preservation/Conservation and the surrounding residential area is zoned RPD, Residential Planned Development.

Notably, Tampa Road in this location is a designated Scenic/Non-Commercial Corridor (SNCC) per the Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan. It is the intent of the Plan that land uses along SNCCs be managed to preserve the scenic nature and traffic capacity of the roadways. To that end, low density residential in the range of 0-5 units per acre is the preferred land use along designated SNCCs. If low density residential is determined to be untenable, nonresidential FLUM categories may be applied if they are compatible with surrounding categories and the existing land use pattern and if the density/intensity of the proposed category is consistent with Comprehensive Plan policy. Institutional uses are considered generally appropriate along SNCCs, and there are many such cases where they currently exist along them, including Tampa Road and the nearby McMullen Booth/East Lake Road corridor.

#### Flood Risk

The subject property has a low flood risk and is not within the Coastal High Hazard Area or Coastal Storm Area, however it is bordered by environmentally sensitive wetlands and conservation easements.

#### Conclusion

The DRC finds the proposed Institutional (I) land use amendment appropriate based on the subject property's location along a Scenic/Non-Commercial Corridor and compatibility with the surrounding uses. The DRC also finds that the request is consistent with the Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan based on the findings of this report.

#### **SURROUNDING ZONING AND LAND USE FACTS:**

Land Use Category	Zoning District	Evicting Uso
Land Use Category	Zoning District	Existing Use

Subject Property:	Residential/Office General	GO	Beauty Salon and Office	
Adjacent Properties:				
North	Residential Low and Preservation	RPD	Single Family Attached	
East	Residential Urban	RPD	Apartments	
South	Residential Urban	RPD	Apartments	
West	Residential Low and Preservation	RPD	Apartments	

## IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PINELLAS COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Staff finds that the proposed amendment is consistent with the following adopted goals, objectives, policies, and strategies of the Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan:

#### **FUTURE LAND USE ELEMENT**

Goal 1:	Provide a variety of land use character areas to meet the needs of a diverse population and support thriving, resilient, quality communities.	
Objective 1.2	Maintain a Land Development Code that responds to the unique challenges of infill and (re)development within Unincorporated Pinellas County.	
Policy 1.2.2	Consider creative regulatory solutions to support (re)development.	
Strategy 2.4.1.5	Manage land use compatibility and building scale and character transitions between established residential neighborhoods and adjacent non-residential uses.	
Objective 5.3	Enhance aesthetics along key roadway corridors throughout the County.	
Policy 5.3.2	Manage land uses along Scenic Non-Commercial Corridors to preserve their scenic nature and traffic capacity.	
Strategy 5.3.2.1	Utilize the Land Development Code to manage land uses, development form, and other standards along designated Scenic Non-Commercial Corridors (SNCC).	
Strategy 5.3.2.2	Low-density residential development in the range of 0-5 units per acre will be the preferred land use along designated SNCCs.	
Strategy 5.3.2.3	<ul> <li>If a low-density residential use is determined to be untenable, nonresidential Future Land Use Map (FLUM) categories may be applied if:         <ul> <li>The proposed category is compatible with surrounding Countywide Rules provisions for SNCCs, FLUM categories, and the existing land use pattern.</li> <li>The density/intensity of the proposed category is consistent with the intent</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

of this policy.

Approval of this request does not ensure that the site can meet County development regulations, including concurrency management regulations, which apply at the time of site plan review.

PROPOSED BCC HEARING DATE: December 12, 2023

**CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED TO DATE:** For the May 10, 2023, LPA hearing and the November 8, 2023, LPA hearing: There was no correspondence received.

**PERSONS APPEARING AT THE LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY HEARING:** For the May 10, 2023, LPA hearing and the November 8, 2023, LPA hearing: No one from the public appeared.

**ATTACHMENTS: (Maps)**