

Emails from Mr. Dohring

From: "fjd6@verizon.net" <fjd6@verizon.net>

Date: October 20, 2019 at 12:04:04 PM EDT

To: "Levy, Kelli H" <klevy@co.pinellas.fl.us>

Subject: RE: Need assistance

I think you are wrong regarding the notarization, you might want to re read Section 58-550 (c). I looked into this and a notary cannot do what Jennifer Parker did. I also gave Julee Sims a verbal to "void" my signed Variance on 10/3/19 and this is when we found it was done improperly. If you can accept my verbally authorized signature then you can accept my verbally authorized cancellation. I am sending a complaint form to the Office of the Governor – notary section (will be faxed Monday morning).

The most significant verbal we need to address is the one that Jyoti Patel gave to my wife and myself. When she came over and asked us to sign the Variance, I asked why is the variance needed. The reply was that the dock would be 4' closer to my home. We looked at the document and it did not contain any verbiage as to what changes are being made to the dock, yes, it contained the measurements of the dock to be constructed, but did not have any of the dimensions of the previous dock. For example, we did not know that the previous dock went out into the water by 36'. If the form had this information we would not have signed. Our right side neighbors (who are as angry as we are) are of the same opinion and are as angry. When they asked Jyoti how much closer, Jyoti responded with "I don't know".

I did not see the original dock design until I received the approved permit. Should not all adjacent property owners be given a specific list of what they are approving.

I believe there are violations under Article XV, Sections 58-534 (4), 58-555 (b) (1), and 58-555 (b) (2).

Your suggestion to speak with our neighbor will not accomplish very much. I want that dock brought back to the 36' length from the 52' length that has already been built. I do not see her tearing it down. This 52'+ dimension blocks out our view of the bridge and it blocks out our right side neighbors southern view. We have been here for over 20 years and the Kobernick's were here when we came. We are shocked by the "it's all about me attitude" of our new neighbor. We did not see this coming.

Most of the dock has now been constructed, except for the jet ski lift on the left (south) side. I granted her 4 feet and this lift requires 12 feet. Can you stop it now? I know they will be here at 7:00 am tomorrow morning to put the pilings and this lift in. I will repeat, the reason for you to stop this lunacy is that there is a problem with the variances and as soon as they are cleared up, you can resume construction. The specific problem with the variances is fraud. I made Jyoti and her husband Des aware of this on October 3rd.

I will also need some information for the Appeals process under 58-536 (b). On a personal note, we left town for 8 days, I went to see a specialist in Naples to discuss options regarding my Cancer. My wife is not happy as we really did not need this stress. This was one of the reasons we signed the Variance so quickly. We had bigger problems.

I would like you to come by and visit and I can give you a "hands on" analysis of the damage and financial loss both sides will be incurring.

From: Levy, Kelli H <klevy@co.pinellas.fl.us>

Sent: Saturday, October 19, 2019 1:10 PM

To: fjd6@verizon.net

Subject: Re: Need assistance

Mr. Dohring,

I realize that you are upset with your neighbor's dock, but trying to place blame on a post dated notarization on a variance you signed isn't going to resolve the issue. A notarization serves two purposes 1. to ensure the right person signed, and 2. the person who signed did so willingly. You have advised, on several occasions both verbally and in writing, that you signed the variance and did so willingly. You were under no obligation to sign it. You could have asked your neighbors to keep the same distance or asked for more information before considering it, that did not happen. I suggest over the weekend that you go over and talk with your neighbors about your concerns and to see if there is something you can work out together.

Kelli Hammer Levy
Sent from my iPad

On Oct 18, 2019, at 5:09 PM, "fjd6@verizon.net" <fjd6@verizon.net> wrote:

Hi Kelli;

Thank you, I did speak with Brandan. I suspect he is the one who advised your staff that "as long as Mr. Dohring admitted to signing the Variance, then you do not need a notary." His advice should have been "Lets hold up the permit until we get "clean" Variance Request Forms. He did not do this and I, and my neighbor (the right side neighbor) are the ones that incur the loss due to his poor recommendation. Further, I asked him this afternoon, "just stop construction until you receive "clean" variances. He seemed to be unable to correct his error. Many can not admit to errors.

I did ask him, "how many Variance Request Forms have been approved without notarization or forged notarization?" He had no answer.

I then suggested to him that he recommend stopping construction immediately until you receive proper Variance. He then said that he has a meeting with you in a few days and will discuss. I told him that in two days, the construction crew will add 12' of "intrusion" dockage on my "left" side and it will be too late. Yes, they finished the intrusion on the north or right side today (by my calculations 11' 3"). They will next put in the jet ski lift on my left side, tomorrow or Monday, at it will extend a total of 8'5" into my "space". Can you order a portion of a dock project to be torn down??

You and your staff have failed miserably. You were told, before construction commenced, that you had a forged "Variance Request Form" and you and your staff did nothing, absolutely nothing.

I have and am asking you to do the right thing while there is still time.

F. Dohring

From: Levy, Kelli H <klevy@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2019 1:42 PM
To: Fdohring <fjd6@verizon.net>
Subject: Re: Need assistance

Mr. Dohring, I requested that Brendan Mackesey call you. I apologize I have had a personal emergency. He will call you shortly.

Kelli Hammer Levy
Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 17, 2019, at 6:00 PM, Fdohring <fjd6@verizon.net> wrote:

Kelly:

Completely understand. I am retired and still have trouble keeping up. I have attached a photo of our "new view" of the bridge. This "marina" as we call it, is still not complete and will be coming another 12 feet in our direction. Hope this picture gives you a better understanding of our situation.

I can be reached on my cell phone 727-365-1830.

Sent from my iPhone



Tue 10/22/2019 4:31 PM

fjd6@verizon.net

To: Sims, Julee

Yes, thank you. I just about have it finished and will hand deliver it later this week. Have given a lot of thought to this issue. But, after living here, on the water, for 20 years, you learn that new neighbors come in, buy a boat and use it 3 times a week for a month, then 3 times a month, then 3 times in one year. Same with all, including us. . The problem with this Permit, is that, I know they will follow the same pattern but I will view their boats and dock 24/7/365 year after year.

I have got along with all previous owners of this home, I mean I know how to get along...having been married for 51 years (to the same woman), you know I know how to get along...and she also.

My daughter called from New York City and advised that I play the elderly card. I told her, I am not ready for that. But, maybe we should. Kerri, my daughter, is an exception speaker, does it many times each month and usually with groups of 300+. So, I told her, I will sit at this hearing and drool, and you can give the presentation. Lol

I appreciate all of your assistance and also that of Kelli.

Thank you

Mon 10/28/2019 7:14 PM

fjd6@verizon.net

Permit # P49848-19

To: Sims, Julee klevy@pinellascounty.org

Cc: White, Jewel ronkober@yahoo.com

Page 3 of the permit, heading is "Private Dock", and this form received Water and Navigation Approval.

The fine print states "The undersigned does not object to the proposed dock and requested variances as drawn in the space provided above."

I see the new dock plan, but where are the "requested variances" "drawn in"? This is the same form that was sent to the Kopernicks and my wife and me?

I see, on the right side of the plan, the 52 ½', which is from the shoreline out into the intercoastal. If you flip back to page 7, you can see that the 'dock that was torn down, is 36' out to the intercoastal. So, the variance is 16'. Should this not be shown as a variance on page 4, page 10, and page 12

Now, I can go through this exercise for the left to my property line and to the right to the Kopernicks property line and you will see the same problem.

'no "requested variances drawn in".

I want to return to the 52 ½' dimension, the distance from the shoreline to the intercoastal. The Waterfront width is 85.5', the distance 'allowed out to the intercoastal is therefore approx.. 43' (50% of your shoreline is the maximum the dock can go out to the intercoastal,).

This dock is therefore almost 10' over this. And, it is 6' over the previous footprint.

A total of 16' and no indicator on these pages.

Everything about this permit is wrong. And the problem is, the left and right neighbors, are the ones who bear the full brunt of these Errors, Omissions, Fraud and misrepresentations. There are better synonyms for "misrepresentations, but, for now, I will stay with this.

We need you to step up and do the right thing.

**Fred and Jan Dohring
226 Driftwood Lane
Largo, FL 33770**

**727-584-8575 Home
727-365-1830 Fred cell
727-204-9049 Jan cell**

Fri 11/1/2019 1:50 PM

fjd6@verizon.net

RE: Permit Appeal

To: Sims, Julee

Julee;

There is another problem with the permit. If you turn to page 7 of the permit (P49848-19) there is the layout of the old dock. The left side distance from the sea wall to the end of the dock is posted at 36'. The scale is 1" = 10'. If you put a ruler to it, you will see that it is 3" or 30'.

So, based on this, the permitted dock is not a variance of 16' but a variance of 22' from seawall to intercoastal.

Not sure how to handle this.. I could send in an additional addendum to the appeal.

Fred Dohring