

RESOLUTION NO. 21-27

RESOLUTION DENYING THE APPLICATION FOR AMENDING THE ZONING AND LAND USE CLASSIFICATION OF APPROXIMATELY 2.18 ACRES LOCATED AT 1736 WINCHESTER ROAD IN UNINCORPORATED LARGO; PAGE 704 OF THE ZONING ATLAS, AND BEING IN SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 29, RANGE 16; FROM R-R, RURAL RESIDENTIAL TO R-3, SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND RESIDENTIAL SUBURBAN TO RESIDENTIAL LOW; UPON APPLICATION OF DUSHYANT GULATI, Z/LU-20-12

WHEREAS, Dushyant Gulati, owner of the property described below “the Property”, has petitioned the Board of County Commissioners of Pinellas County to change the zone classification from R-R, Rural Residential to R-3, Single Family Residential, and the land use designation of the Future Land Use Map of the Pinellas County Comprehensive Land Use Plan from Residential Suburban to Residential Low, of the Property described as;

PINELLAS GROVES NW ¼, SEC 30-29-16 PART OF LOT 21 DESC FROM NE COR OF SW ¼ OF NW ¼ TH S 356.72 FT TH N 89 DW 15 FT FOR POB TH S 317 FT(S) TH W 290 FT(S) TO SW COR OF LOT 21 TH N 337 FT(S) TH E 143 FT(S) TH S 20 FT TH S89DE 144.74 FT TO POB

WHEREAS, legal notice of public hearing on such proposed change of zoning and land use classifications was duly published as required by law, as evidenced by publisher’s affidavit filed with the Clerk; and

WHEREAS, said public hearing has been held on the date and at the time specified in said published notice at which time citizens and interested persons have been given opportunity to be heard, and all requirements of law and of rules promulgated by this Board have been complied with; and

WHEREAS, this Board has determined that the zoning and land use classifications of property should not be changed.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Pinellas County in regular session duly assembled this 27th day of April, 2021, that the zoning and land use classifications of the Property not be changed, and that the application Z/LU-20-12 is hereby denied.

Commissioner _____ Seel _____ offered the foregoing resolution and moved its adoption, which was seconded by Commissioner _____ Flowers _____ upon the roll call the vote was:

Ayes: Justice, Flowers, Gerard, Long, and Seel.

Nays: Eggers.

Absent and not voting: Peters.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: David S. Sadowsky
Office of the County Attorney