

Johnson, Krista

From: Pinellas County Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller <noreply@mypinellasclerk.org> on behalf of noreply@mypinellasclerk.org
Sent: Sunday, June 20, 2021 2:39 PM
To: Comments, BCC Agenda
Categories: SENT TO BOARD REPORTERS

CAUTION: *This message has originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.*

Live Form

Topic ZoniCase No. Q Z/LU-21-02 (Canada 2014, LLC) A request for a zoning change from R-4, One, Two, and Three Family Residential to E-2, Employment-2 and a land use change from Residential Urban to Commercial General on approximately 0.69 acre located at 8119 46th Avenue North in west Lealman.

BCC Agenda Date 6-22-2021

Your Stand on the Issue Oppose

Comments

Thank-you for considering this request to deny the rezoning of this property. I am unable to be present virtually tonight, because it is my 48th wedding anniversary and I will be with my bride. I sent two emails to Mr. Bailey Glenn about this rezoning and requested that both be shared with you. I did not find the contents of the second email in your agenda package, so please allow me to summarize it and explain the reasons why I believe you should reject this request. 1. The application is inconsistent with our Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan and the FLUM. The staff simply recommends changing the FLUM and Comprehensive Plan to be consistent with the request, effectively rendering these long term plans meaningless. 2. How can you plan for a vibrant community if you do not consider ALL of the policies that you have adopted when changing the law? The staff neglected to evaluate ALL the relevant elements of the Comprehensive Plan in its report on this request. Specifically, their report does not mention the fact that the Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan recognizes "that successful neighborhoods are central to the quality of life in Pinellas County, redevelopment and urban infill development should be compatible with and support the integrity and viability of existing residential neighborhoods. (1. 2.4. Policy). Finally, the staff did not tell you that "infill or remodeled structures (should be) compatible with the neighborhood and adjacent structures. (2.4.6. Policy). 3. Finally, the staff neglected to tell you that once the property is rezoned it can be used for ANY purpose permitted in the E-2 district and is not restricted to the use stated by the applicants.

For example, it could be used for alcohol sales, a drive thru restaurant, a contractors storage yard, a parking lot or a repair garage. I ask that you consider whether all of these uses are compatible with the adjacent residences and neighborhood. I believe that they are not compatible with the surrounding neighborhood and that the rezoning request would not even be considered in a more affluent area. Thank-you for your thoughtful consideration.

Citizen Name	Tom Carr
Address	4530 County Road 16 unincorporated, Florida 24014 United States
Phone	828-4676045
Email	oldcityplanner@gmail.com