

From: [Levy, Kelli H](#)
To: [Benwell, Josie](#)
Subject: Fwd: Pinellas Nutrient Removal code / Regulatory Relief
Date: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 1:27:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.jpg](#)

Please draft short responses to these, nothing elaborate. This has mostly been asked and answered.

Kelli Hammer Levy
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: George Arimes <g.arimes@intky.com>
Date: June 16, 2021 at 11:41:24 AM EDT
To: "Levy, Kelli H" <klevy@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Cc: "Almonte, Tom" <talmonte@co.pinellas.fl.us>, "Silverboard, Jill" <jsilverboard@co.pinellas.fl.us>, "Lyon, Blake G" <blyon@co.pinellas.fl.us>, Pergo <pergo@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com>, SCashen <scashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com>
Subject: FW: Pinellas Nutrient Removal code / Regulatory Relief

CAUTION: *This message has originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.*

Hi Kelli,

Below are some additional comments on the Stormwater Manual from Sean Cashen.

George

From: Sean Cashen <SCashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 11:35 AM
To: George Arimes <g.arimes@intky.com>
Cc: Robert Pergolizzi <pergo@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com>
Subject: RE: Pinellas Nutrient Removal code / Regulatory Relief

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Comments for DCAG regarding Stormwater

I echo Chris's sentiments below and have a few more comments

Hillsborough County allows percolation to occur in the stormwater model for Dry Retention Areas. Pinellas County not only has the most restrictive Water Quality criteria but on top of that, they do not allow any percolation into the storm model which is akin to poring salt on a wound.

I suggest allowing percolation as a means of discharge within a Dry Retention Area with a "sliding Scale" similar to Hills County based on depth to Seasonal High Water (SHW) Elevation such as:

| <u>Depth from Pond Bottom To SHW EL</u> | <u>Percolation Kh Factor of Safety</u> |
|---|--|
| 1. to 2 feet | 4.0 (eg—if Kh is 20 feet/day) |
| 2 to 4 feet | 3.0 |
| 4 to 8 feet | 2.0 |
| • 8feet | 1.5 |

I think it would also be a good idea to have some flexibility with Small Sites or institute Small Site Criteria—maybe those sites 1.5 acres or less because these are the sites that we and our clients struggle with from both a site layout and economic perspective.

Typical Case: We have a 1 acre site that is an old dilapidated run down office and we have a client that wants to expand the building and add some parking for a Veterinarian Office. There is an existing dry retention area that can be expanded somewhat to provide ½" of WQ Treatment. We do not meet county exemption criteria and per the Stormwater Code we have to meet the Water Quality standards which requires an underground vault to store the additional treatment volume needed to meet County criteria. The cost for this underground vault is cost prohibitive and site does not get redeveloped as a result. So you end up with a still-rundown eyesore of a site that will not get redeveloped due to the onerous

County criteria and a local resident (the Veterinarian) will not look for sites OUTSIDE unincorporated Pinellas County for his Vet Office. This is just one example of the Unintended Consequences of codes put in place---however well-intentioned—that discourage or altogether prevent redevelopment of sometimes blighted sites that scream to be redeveloped.

If there was an additional charge or surcharge or additional tax---this cannot also be cost prohibitive---that this client could pay to offset not meeting the County SW Criteria for this Small Site this money could go to possibly improving other county facilities or to purchasing a site for a regional stormwater pond. This would be a stormwater fee in lieu of as mentioned by Chris below.

Thank you and please send to Kelli Levi or whoever else you deem appropriate

Thank you George

Sean P. Cashen, P.E., LEED AP
Gulf Coast Consulting, Inc.



Engineering Transportation Planning Permitting

13825 Icot Boulevard, Suite 605

Clearwater, Florida 33760

Phone: (727) 524-1818

Fax: (727) 524-6090

Mobile: (727) 432-0365

Email: scashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com

www.gulfcoastconsultinginc.com

At Gulf Coast Consulting, Inc., our principal belief is that "Quality is never an accident; it is always the result of high intention, sincere effort, intelligent direction and skillful execution; it represents the wise choice of many

alternatives" --Author Unknown

A pessimist sees the difficulty in every opportunity; an optimist sees the opportunity in every difficulty. -- Winston Churchill

For as tempting as ease may be, our life shrinks in direct proportion to our inability or unwillingness to handle problems. – Bryan Ward

Then you will know the truth, and the truth will set you free –
John 8:32

"Truth sounds like hate to those who hate the truth."

NOTE: The information contained in this email is privileged and confidential. This email is only intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and for the legitimate business purpose of Gulf Coast Consulting, Inc. (GCC). GCC shall not be responsible for any unauthorized use of this email and / or attachments or any errors contained herein. Any attachments are for informational purposes only. No warranty either expressed or implied is made regarding the accuracy or reliability of this data. GCC reserves the right to revise, update and improve its electronically stored data without notice and assumes no responsibility to notify the user of this data upon such times. The user of this data agrees to verify the data to ascertain its accuracy for the intended use. By reading this email and accessing its attachments you agree to these terms. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of the communication or information contained herein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately. Thank you

From: George Arimes <g.arimes@intky.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 1:29 PM

To: Chris Weddle <chris@auroracivil.com>; John C. Landon <landon@lmaengr.com>

Cc: Sean Cashen <SCashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com>; Jeffrey Leadbetter <Leadbetter@lmaengr.com>; Erik Madsen <Madsen@lmaengr.com>

Subject: RE: Pinellas Nutrient Removal code / Regulatory Relief

Chris/John,

Did you want me to send the below to Kelli? I will add it as a DCAG agenda package addition.

From: Chris Weddle <chris@auroracivil.com>

Sent: Saturday, June 5, 2021 9:54 AM

To: John C. Landon <landon@lmaengr.com>; g.arimes@intelligentconsulting.org

Cc: Sean Cashen (scashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com) <scashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com>; Jeffrey Leadbetter <Leadbetter@lmaengr.com>; Erik Madsen <Madsen@lmaengr.com>

Subject: RE: Pinellas Nutrient Removal code / Regulatory Relief

George

My thoughts....

1. SWFWMD started implementing net improvement for nutrients back in 2008. Why not just go with that! Having two sets of stormwater rules (one State and one Local) is cumbersome and wasteful.
2. I have been consistent in my view that removing nutrients from stormwater is VERY difficult. There is precious little testing of the actual systems in the real world.
3. The “cook book” approach that is prescribed by the County Stormwater Manual makes redevelopment of all sites, and especially small sites, expensive and sometimes impractical.
4. Is that what the County wants? To discourage redevelopment of old sites into new sites? That is illogical!
5. If conditions are ideal, several feet of depth to Seasonal High Groundwater and high “perc” rate, then designing a system to meet the manual requirements is possible. Still at a HIGH cost to construct (and back to my opinion that the systems don’t work).
6. If conditions are not ideal, then adding fill to a site is inevitable. Small sites with 2 or 3 feet of added fill makes the conditions at the project edges TOUGH. Steep driveways, ADA issues. Walls at the property limits. More cost.
7. I REALLY think that the County should consider a fee for stormwater. Charge developers a fee per acre of impervious and use the money for large scale stormwater systems (meandering wetland systems) that might actually WORK!

Thanks!

Chris Weddle, P.E.

Aurora Civil Engineering, Inc.

610 E. Morgan St.

Brandon, FL 33510

Phone: (813) 643-9907

Cell: (813) 690-8424

email: chris@auroracivil.com

CELEBRATING 20 YEARS OF SERVICE TO OUR VALUED CLIENTS IN 2021!

From: John C. Landon <landon@lmaengr.com>

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 6:15 PM

To: g.arimes@intelligentconsulting.org

Cc: Sean Cashen (scashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com)

<scashen@gulfcoastconsultinginc.com>; Chris Weddle <chris@auroracivil.com>;

Jeffrey Leadbetter <Leadbetter@lmaengr.com>; Erik Madsen

<Madsen@lmaengr.com>

Subject: Pinellas Nutrient Removal code / Regulatory Relief

George

These are some preliminary thoughts I have discussed with the DCAG group, however nothing is official

Suggest we forward to Kelli etc. to get some feedback and start a discussion

Would also recommend holding off on changes to flood ordinance especially since doing nothing will not affect our CRS rating

Need more time to study effects of various options. So Option 1, no action for now.

Have a good weekend

John C. Landon, P.E.



Civil & Environmental Engineers - Planners - Surveyors

31622 US Highway 19 North

Palm Harbor, Florida 34684

Phone: (727) 789-5010, ext. 203, Fax: (727) 787-4394, Cell: (727) 560-0100

Email: LANDON@LMAENGR.COM

Web: WWW.LMAENGR.COM