THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

This Third Amendment to the Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter "Amendment 3") is made and entered into on the date last executed below ("Effective Date"), by and between PINELLAS COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, (hereinafter "County"), and the SCHOOL BOARD OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA (hereinafter "School Board") by and through the Superintendent of Schools.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties previously entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated February 9, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the parties previously identified revised specifications and provisions appropriate to the definition of work funded pursuant to the MOU; and entered into an Amendment dated April 9, 2024 ("Amendment 1"), and an Amendment dated May 20, 2025 ("Amendment 2"); and

WHEREAS, the parties have identified additional revised specifications and provisions appropriate to the definition of work funded pursuant to the MOU; and

WHEREAS, the amount of funding allowed for by the MOU must be increased to accommodate changes as described herein; and

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual covenants, terms and conditions herein set forth, the parties agree that the MOU is amended as follows:

<u>Section 1.</u> The scope of projects eligible for funding as provided in Amendment 2 is amended to include the specifications and provisions described in Exhibit A2, and deliverables as described in Exhibit B2, both attached hereto, and incorporated by reference.

Section 2. Collectively, the MOU, Amendment 1, and Amendment 2 authorized a not to exceed amount of \$5,500,000. This Amendment 3 increases the not to exceed amount of authorized funding to \$6,000,000.

<u>Section 3.</u> Except as expressly modified herein, terms and conditions of the MOU and any amendments thereto remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Third Amendment to be executed by their undersigned officials, who are duly authorized to bind the Parties to this amendment.

PINELLAS COUNTY, by and through Its Board of County Commissioners

By:
, Chapman

Date: ////8/2025

WITNESS:
By: Culf Lan

Name/Title: <u>Levely no Keu</u>re De puby Cleok, Ken Burke Cleok of the Circuit Courts Comptroller SCHOOL BOARD OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

Date: 12/1/25

WITNESS:

By: Calburt

Name/Title: Cathy Hunt admin. asst to Superintendent

Approved As To Form:

School Board Attorneys Office

Exhibit A2 School Sheltering Projects

The shelter mitigation projects consist of two types of projects: Exeter Screen Replacement and Facility Hardening projects. Ten schools were looked at for screen replacement, eight were selected, and four schools were looked at for mitigation items.

Overall, increases in labor and supply have considerably increased the final cost of completing all the hardening projects.

- Initial estimation of costs: \$1,568,373.00
- 1st amendment estimation of costs: \$4,386,008.00
- 2nd amendment estimation of cost; includes increases to steel: \$ 5,323,041.
- 3rd amendment estimation of cost \$5,923,041

Exeter Screen Replacement Projects Using Stainless Steel Screens with a 20 Year Warranty (\$5,787,216):

1. Joseph L. Carwise Middle School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 20 Doors, 12 Louvers, and 109 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 5 and 6.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$656,438.

2. Clearwater Fundamental Middle School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 28 Doors, 12 Louvers, and 47 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Building 1.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$503,754.

3. Fairmount Elementary School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$567,544.

4. John Hopkins Middle School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 20 Doors, 12 Louvers, and 109 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 3, 4, 5 and 6.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$514,902.

5. McMullen Booth Elementary School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
- b. The quote is approximately \$567,544.

6. Palm Harbor University High School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 5 Doors, 2 Louvers, and 65 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 5 and 11.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$379,350.

7. James B. Sanderlin K-8 School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$567,544.

8. John M. Sexton Elementary School

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$567,544.

9. East Lake High School

 This school was removed from the original project list due to being in a Storm Surge zone

10. Screen Replacement Architectural and Structural Professional Services Fee

a. The quote is for approximately \$282,000

11. Campbell Park Elementary

- a. Harden the window openings for Buildings 4 and 5.
- b. The quote is for approximately \$600,000 including A/E design fees

School Hardening Projects (\$716,219):

1. Palm Harbor University High School Gym

- a. Harden the window openings over the gym floor with Window Glazing.
- b. The project was completed in the summer of 2022 for \$38,200, including design fees.

2. Palm Harbor Middle School

- a. Furnish and install a Double Door sequence with panic devices to maintain egress and provide hurricane protection for the corridor during a sheltering operation.
- b. The materials and labor were \$56,625 and design fees were \$16,549.
- c. Project is pending final inspection and pending invoicing

3. Gibbs High School

a. This project was removed in agreement with the School Board Administration upon completion of feasibility study.

4. John Hopkins Middle School

- a. To furnish and install hurricane protection hardening for buildings 3 and 4, additional structural work is needed including a door replacement, and electrical service for the exterior roll-down screens
- b. The quote is for approximately \$580,394, including design fees. (Price decreased due to the window screens being included in the Exeter Screen quote.)
- 5. **Feasibility study** for Gibbs and John Hopkins Middle School Auditorium for possible hardening. \$41,000.

Exhibit B2 Scope of Work Capital Improvement Project Emergency Sheltering (004180A)

I. SCOPE OF WORK

- A. The identified building(s) below have been designated by the County emergency management agency as a potential public hurricane evacuation shelter wind retrofit project. Eligible costs are limited to costs associated with the retrofit/modification of the existing structures, as specifically mentioned in "Exhibit A2" and this scope-of-work.
- B. Upon completion of this scope-of-work, at a minimum, the Building(s) shall be deemed to meet the prescribed minimum safety standards of the State Division of Emergency Management's Least Risk Decision Making (LRDM) matrix (Table 3) in the preferred or less preferred column.
- C. At a minimum, all products prescribed shall meet the wind load design requirements of ASCE 7, Risk Category IV and Exposure Category "C" (unsheltered exposure). Also, all products prescribed shall have been tested and passed the large missile impact test procedures of ASTM E 1886 and ASTM E 1996, or Florida Building Code (High Velocity Hurricane Zone/Miami-Dade) Testing Protocols TAS 201, TAS 202 and TAS 203.
- D. It is understood and agreed by the County and the School Board that the Building(s) may have vulnerabilities due to age, design and location which may result in damage to the building from high wind events even after the completion of the mitigation measures prescribed under this Agreement. It is further understood and agreed by the County and the School Board that the level of wind protection provided by the mitigation action, although meeting State codes and standards and enhancing the structural integrity of the Building(s), does not ensure the safety or survival of building occupants.

II. DELIVERABLES

- A. The School Board shall prepare and submit a final timeline with key milestone activities/tasks, schedule, including start and estimated end dates for each activity. Table 1, Revised Timeline, may be altered to meet this task product.
- B. The School Board shall provide the County with a copy of accepted vendor bid form(s) or other procurement procedure documentation to show compliance with procurement policies.
- C. The School Board shall provide the County with copies of large missile impact envelope protective system or product test certifications, reports or Notices of Acceptance. Documentation shall demonstrate that the system(s) and product(s) meet the large missile impact performance requirements as defined in Table 3 of this scope-of-work.
- D. If applicable, the School Board shall provide the County with copy of pertinent construction and regulatory permits, detailed construction schedule, observation/inspection reports (if any), certificate of completion (or written acceptance of completed work by building official), and photographs documenting pre-construction conditions and post-construction completed work.
- E. The final closeout report shall provide a breakdown of actual funds used for each building, and include the total amount of funds the School Board received for this project under the Agreement, and the balance of unused funds, if any, that will not be used by the School Board for this project Agreement and may be de-obligated from this project Agreement by the County.

III. PAYMENT SCHEDULE

- A. The Payment schedule for school hardening projects is designed to synchronize with work completion invoicing milestones for the varied hardening projects aligning with vendor invoicing and subsequent payments by the school board to contractors. Recognizing that each project may entail unique requirements, this payment schedule is adaptable to the specific timeline of each project. This flexibility ensures that the school board can receive timely payments for funds expended.
- B. This logical progression ensures a transparent and efficient payment process aligning with project milestones and allowing for adaptability to the unique dynamics of each school hardening initiative.
- C. The payment process follows a structured path:
 - 1. Payments are contingent upon the school board's receipt of an invoice and payment to the contractor.
 - 2. The School Board shall submit vendor invoices, accompanied by payment records to the contractor(s), to the County for payment processing.
 - 3. The County recognizes that all invoices accompanied by School Board proof of payment to the contractor shall be payable on demand.

4. In cases where applicable, the County may conduct a comprehensive inspection of the completed work. Upon successful verification, the County will initiate the payment process through established processes.

	Table 1 Revised Timeline						
PROJECT PHASE/ ACTIVITY	Start Date	End Date	Funding Source				
Original Board Contract Approval	02/09/2021	03/23/2021	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				
Environmental Review	04/01/2021	05/01/2021	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				
Engineering / Electrical & Building Design	05/01/2021	10/01/2021	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				
First Amendment	12/19/2023	04/12/2023	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				
Competitive Contractor Bids & Contracts	04/15/2024	05/31/2024	Capital Improvement Funds- Penny for Pinellas				
Second Amendment	04/01/2025	05/30/2025	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				
Third Amendment	12/01/2025	01/15/2026	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				
Construction Phase	06/01/2025	06/30/2027	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				
Post Construction Auditing & Reporting	7/30/2027	10/30/2027	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas				

Table 2
Pinellas County School Board List of Projects and Estimates

Site Names	Original Description of Work	2023 Description of Work	Initial Estimate (Galvannealed Steel)	2023 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2025 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2026 Estimate	Gen Population Risk Capacity Maintained (20 sq. ft.)	Capacity	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Maintained (60 sq. ft.)	Population Risi Capacity
Joseph L. Carwise Middle School - Second Floor Screens Existing.	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 51 openings to harden 25 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, Including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 5 and 6. 109 Windows, 20 Doors, and 12 Louvers.	\$339,195	\$456,000	\$656,438		1967	NA	NA	NA
East Lake High School Removed - in Burge Zone	≢urnish and install hurritane protection for 31 openings to harden 22 classrooms		\$305,473							
Clearwater Fundamental MS - School added with Screens not Previously Included.	NA	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Building 1. 47 Windows, 28 Doors and 12 Louvers.	NA.	\$422,320	\$503,754		1557	NA	NA	NA
Fairmount Elementary School - Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 6 openings to harden 6 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 4 and 5.46 Windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$78,859	\$415,200	\$567,544		662	600	NA	NA
John Hopkins Middle School - Screens and Building Hardening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 11 openings to harden 3 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Buildings 5 and 6. 2025 Updates are focused on buildings 3 & 4 only. For 109 windows, 20 Doors, and 12 Louvers.	\$59,495	\$456,000	\$514,902		NA	NA.	758	691
	Furnish and install hurricane protection harden buildings 2, 3 and 4. Labor and materials associated with the electrical requirements needed for this location	Furnish and install hurricane protection harden buildings 2, 3 and 4. Labor and materials associated with the electrical requirements needed for this location. Including design fees.	\$140,270	\$725,481	\$580,394					

Table 2
Pinellas County School Board List of Projects and Estimates

Site Names	Original Description of Work	2023 Description of Work	Initial Estimate (Galvannealed Steel)	2023 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2025 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2026 Estimate	Gen Population Risk Capacity Maintained (20 sq. ft.)	Population Risk Capacity	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Maintained (60 sq. ft.)	Capacity
McMullen Booth Elementary School - Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 7 openings to harden 7 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Buildings 4 and 5.46 windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$89,567	\$411,520	\$567,544		605	600	NA	NA
Palm Harbor University High School	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 43 openings to harden 15 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 5 and 11.65 windows, 5 Doors, and 2 Louvers.	\$144,343	\$272,560	\$379,350		1883	NA	NA	NA
	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 16 openings to harden the gymnasium for Pet Sheltering	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 16 openings to harden the gymnasium for Pet Sheltering - Work Completed	\$33,000	\$38,200	\$38,200		NA	Adds space for 800 Pets	NA	NA
James B. Sanderlin K-8 School - Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 19 openings to harden 12 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, Including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 4 and 5.46 windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$145,119	\$411,520	\$567,544		679	600	NA	NA
John M. Sexton Elementary School Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 6 openings to harden 6 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Buildings 4 and 5.46 windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$77,470	\$411,520	\$567,544		667	600	NA	NA

Table 2
Pinellas County School Board List of Projects and Estimates

Site Names	Original Description of Work	2023 Description of Work	Initial Estimate (Galvannealed Steel)	2023 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2025 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2026 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	Gen Population Risk Capacity Maintained (20 sq. ft.)	Capacity	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Maintained (60 sq. ft.)	Population Risk Capacity
Gibbs High School (Removed in agreement with schools)	Furnish and install hurricane protection to the first and second levels of the building 2 corner hubs tably and materials associated with the electrical requirements needed for this location	protection to the first and second levels of the building 2 corner hubs; Labor and	\$118,900						NA .	NA.
		A/E Design Fees	\$32,400							
Palm Harbor Middle School	Furnish and install hurricane protection for the opening in the building 1 hallway.	Furnish and install hurricane protection for the opening in the building 1 hallway.	\$3,882	\$87,000	\$56,625		1457	NA	NA	NA
		A/E Design Fees	Not included at the Time	\$16,549	\$16,549		NA	NA	NA	NA
Projects Analysis (completed work)		Feasibility study for Gibbs and John Hopkins Auditorium hardening	Not included at the time	\$41,000	\$41,000		NA	NA	NA	NA
2023 Exeter Screen A/E Design Fee	NA	A/E Design Fees	Not Included at the Time	\$272,126	\$282,202		NA	NA	NA NA	NA
Campbell Park Elementary	NA	New Project identified in August 2025 Furnish ad install hurricane protection for buildings 4 and 5 both floors, including A/E design fees	Not included at the Time	Not Included at the Time	Not included at the Time	\$600,000	1600	NA	NA	NA
		All Projects Totals	\$1,568,373	\$4,436,996	\$5,323,041	\$5,923,041	11.077	3,600	758	691
	143 openings	725 openings included second floors, doors and louvers			increase in sq ft by 2,801					
			Screen Projects Square Ft Install Rate	\$3,528,766 \$36.25	\$4,606,822 \$98,73		14.47%			
			Square Ft (AStall Rate	\$80.25	\$98.73		14.47%			
			Hardening Projects	\$908,230	\$716,219		-21.14%			

Table 3 - State of Flori	da Least-Risk Decision Making: F	lurricane Evacuation Shelter Prescr	iptive Summary Guidance
The second		Revised Rankings	
Criteria 🥞	Preferred	Less Preferred / Marginal	Further Investigation / Mitigation Required
1. Storm Surge Inundation For building's located	- Building is located outside a maximum hurricane storm surge inundation zone	- Building is located inside a near maximum hurricane storm surge inundation zone, and is subject to inundation by a maximum storm surge event	- Building is located inside a hurricane storm surge inundation zone, and subject to inundation from a maximum storm surge event
evacuation zones, provide the building's finished floor elevation (FFE) as shown on construction documents, site	- Building is not subject to isolation due to a maximum hurricane storm surge inundation event - Shelter floor FFE is not subject to isolation due to a maximum hurricane storm surge inundation event - Shelter floor FFE is not subject to a maximum storm surge inundation; for comparison,	- Shelter floor FFE is potentially subject to a storm surge inundation of up to one (1) foot; for comparison, reference the most	- Shelter floor is potentially subject to a storm surge inundation in excess of one (1) foot; for comparison, reference the most recent SLOSH MOM still-water inundation depth
survey or other reliable source. Provide the FFE of all floors if the building is		recent SLOSH MOM still-water inundation depth	- Avoid basements if there is any chance of flooding
multistory. Confirm reliability of the given FFE value(s) by comparison to the applicable site elevation shown on USGS or other authoritative topographic map products.	Lake Overland Surge from Hurricane (SLOSH) Maximum of Maximum (MOM) still-water inundation depth	- Building is subject to isolation due to a maximum storm surge inundation event	- Avoid buildings located on coastal barrier islands

2. Rainfall Flooding /	- Building is located outside of	Duilding in located within the 500	Duilding is least at within the 400 area floor.
Dam Safety	500-year floodplain	- Building is located within the 500-	- Building is located within the 100-year floodplain
Daili Salety	500-year noodplain	year floodplain	
For building's located in storm surge evacuation zones, provide the building's	- Building is located in Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Zone C or X (unshaded) area	- Shelter building is located in FIRM Zone B or X (shaded) area	- Shelter floor is below the BFE of the most recent FIRM
finished floor elevation (FFE) as shown on construction	- Building is not subject to	- Shelter floor FFE is less than two (2) feet above the Base Flood	- Shelter building is located in FIRM Zones V and AH
documents, site survey or other reliable source. Provide the FFE of all	isolation due to 100-year flood event (1% annual chance of being equaled or exceeded)	Elevation (BFE) of the most recent FIRM or Flood Insurance Study (FIS)	- Avoid basements if there is any chance of flooding
floors of multistory buildings. Confirm reliability of the given FFE value(s) by comparison to the	- Building is not subject to flooding or isolation due to dam or reservoir containment failure	- Building is subject to isolation due to 100-year flood event	- Building is subject to velocity flooding and/or still-water inundation due to dam or reservoir containment failure
applicable site elevation shown on USGS or other authoritative topographic map		- Building is subject to isolation due to dam or reservoir containment failure	
products. Documentation must include FEMA Flood Map # and revision date.			

2 Harmat and	D. Salahan that days and a	1 6 3 5 4 4 4 4	
3. Hazmat and	- Building that does not store	- Building that stores certain	- Building that stores certain reportable types or
Nuclear Power Plant	certain reportable types or	reportable types or quantities of	quantities of hazardous materials, or Building that
Considerations	quantities of hazardous	hazardous materials, or Building is	is located within a precautionary zone for facilities
	materials	located within a precautionary zone	that manufacture, use or store hazardous
		for facilities that manufacture, use	materials, and
* Always coordinate		or store hazardous materials; and	
level of risk from	- Building that is not located		
hazmat facility to	within a precautionary zone for		- The hazardous material facility has not been
shelter with Local	facilities that manufacture, use	-the hazardous materials facility	reviewed by LEPC & EM or such review finds
Emergency Planning	or store hazardous materials	has been reviewed by LEPC & EM	precautions inadequate*
Council (LEPC) and		and precautions deemed	·
local Emergency		adequate*	
Management (EM).	- Building is not located within		Building is located within the ten will ED7 of a
LEPC and Local EM	the ten-mile emergency		- Building is located within the ten-mile EPZ of a
can assist in	planning zone (EPZ) of a	- Building is located within the ten-	nuclear power plant, but no mitigating procedures per LEPC and local EM
determining the	nuclear power plant	mile EPZ of a nuclear power plant	Per LEPC and local Elvi
suitability of a	naoicai powei piant	but mitigating procedures have	
potential hurricane		been implemented per LEPC and	
evacuation shelter or		local EM	
determine		loodi Eivi	
precautionary zones			
(safe distances) for			
facilities near potential			
shelters that			
manufacture, use or			
store hazardous			
materials.			
4. Lay-down Hazard	- Buildings not exposed to very	- Buildings exposed to very	- Buildings exposed to very large/heavy trees or
Exposure	large/heavy trees or structures	large/heavy trees or structures that	structures that could cause destructive collapse or

	La de la companya de		T
	that could cause destructive collapse or lay-down impact	could collapse or lay-down and	lay-down impact damage, sufficient to cause
12 inch diameter or	damage (i.e., envelope breach)	cause minor impact damage, but not considered sufficient to cause	significant envelope breach and/or crushing injuries to shelter occupants, and problem not
larger trees may be sufficient to cause lay-	damage (i.e., envelope breach)	significant envelope breach	mitigated
down damage to		olgrimourit envelope breasin	Imagaccu
buildings.	- Buildings whose access routes		
go.	are not tree-lined	- Buildings whose access routes	- Buildings whose access routes are tree-lined,
	are not tree-lined	are tree-lined, and appropriate	and no mitigating measures available.
		mitigating measures are available	and no magazing measures available.
		(e.g., isolation plan in-place, road	
		debris clearance plan in-place,	
		etc.)	
5. Wind and Debris	- Buildings located in areas that	- Buildings located in areas subject	- Buildings located in areas subject to strong
Exposure	are sheltered/protected from	to strong over-land non-coastal	coastal wind effects
	strong winds	wind effects	
N 1-4-16			
Note: If a source of	I labora and a colorado a casa a	Dalathark flat	- Relatively flat, unobstructed areas exposed to
heavy/massive windborne or falling	- Urban and suburban areas, wooded areas, or other terrain	- Relatively flat open terrain with scattered obstructions having	wind flowing over hurricane coastal shoreline,
debris is present,	with numerous closely spaced	heights generally less than 30 feet	and/or open water for a distance of at least one (1) mile
recommend roof and	obstructions having the size of	above grade for a distance of at	
walls be constructed	single family dwellings or larger	least a quarter mile (1,500 feet)	
of top & bottom			- Building surroundings can be described as
layered reinforced 9-			ASCE 7 Exposure D
inch or thicker cast-in-		- Building surroundings can be	
place concrete		described as ASCE 7 Exposure C	
		<u> </u>	

	- Building surroundings can be described as ASCE 7 Exposures A and B - Buildings located more than one (1) mile from a hurricane coastline - No significant sources of small, large, very large/heavy laydown, roll-over, and/or falling debris sources within 150 feet of shelter building's perimeter	- Buildings located within one (1) mile of hurricane coastline but with mitigating measure (e.g., modern wind design, such as ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7-88 or more recent editions) - Significant sources of small and large debris are present within 150 feet, and/or very large/heavy laydown, roll-over, or falling debris sources within 150 feet of shelter building's perimeter, but with mitigating factor(s)	- Buildings located within one (1) mile of hurricane coastline and with no mitigating measure - Significant sources of small and large debris within 150 feet, and/or very large/heavy lay-down, roll-over, or falling debris sources are present within 150 feet of shelter building's perimeter, and with no mitigating factor(s)
6. Wind Design Additional preference may be given to buildings designed and constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and	- Certification by a licensed structural engineer to be capable of withstanding wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I ≥ 1.10) or Risk Category III or IV	Documentation affirms building designed and constructed to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC and FBC, Occupancy (I=1.00) or Risk Category II - Documentation affirms building designed and constructed to SBC-	- Non-engineered or partially engineered structures - Light or ordinary construction buildings designed to: - Pre-ANSI A58.1-1982 wind design standard; - Pre-SBC 1988 or other similar model code wind design; or
IBC and FBC equivalents,	designed by a licensed	1988 and MBMA (1986) or more recent editions, or similar wind load	- Pre-1986 MBMA wind design

Occupancy Category	structural engineer and specifies	codes or standards, Occupancy	
III or IV (I=1.15) or	wind design as ANSI A58.1-	Category II (I=1.00)	
Risk Category III or IV;	1982, ASCE 7, IBC and FBC		
or higher wind design	equivalents, Occupancy		
standard, code or	Category III or IV (I ≥ 1.10) or	- Documentation affirms building	
guidance (e.g.,	Risk Category III or IV	designed by a licensed structural	
ICC500 or FEMA P-		engineer and specifies wind design	
361)	- Massive structures or other	as SBC-1988, MBMA-1986 or	
	special facilities, such as	more recent editions, or other	
	nuclear fallout shelter bunkers;	similar wind design standards or	
	e.g., roof dead load ≥ 200 psf	model codes (e.g., SFBC); building	
	and exterior walls ≥ 16-inch	must also meet other established	
	reinforced concrete or earthen	hurricane shelter safety criteria	
	bermed.	prescribed in ARC 4496, Rev.	
		January 2002	
			
		- Modern wind design by other than	
		a licensed structural engineer, but	
		approved and building permit	
		issued by local AHJ	
		- Engineered heavy concrete or	
		steel construction facility with	
		reinforced concrete roof (i.e., a	
		self-weight of 35 psf or greater)	
		and designed to ASA/ANSI A58.1-	
		1955 wind standard, or 1961 or	
		and designed to ASA/ANSI A58.1-	

		more recent model codes and revisions; must also meet other established hurricane shelter safety criteria prescribed in ARC 4496, Rev. 2002	
7. Construction Type / Definable Continuous Loadpath	- Heavy steel or reinforced concrete skeletal frame buildings	- Light steel or glulam wood skeletal frame building	- All partially engineered (a.k.a., marginally engineered) or non-engineered structures; example: light steel frame w/ unreinforced masonry infill walls
Note: Unless otherwise indicated, assume masonry wall systems are 8 inch	- 4-inch or thicker precast tilt-up reinforced concrete wall bearing structures	- ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy or Risk Category III or IV certified or documented buildings that do exceed 60 feet in height above grade	- 8 to 12-inch load-bearing unreinforced masonry walls that exceeds reinforcement spacing described as Less Preferred/Marginal
nominal thickness hollow concrete masonry units (CMU) with running bond, type M or S mortar, and continuous horizontal joint reinforcement spaced every 16 inches	- 8-inch or thicker reinforced masonry (typical max. vert. rebar spaced @ 4-feet o.c. or less) or cast-in-place reinforced concrete (typical rebar spacing is 18-inches o.c. or less each way) wall-bearing buildings	- ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) or Risk Category II certified or documented buildings regardless of height above grade	- Partially engineered or non-engineered light wood or metal-stud wall-bearing building - Pre-engineered (steel prefabricated) metal buildings built before the mid-1980s
vertically; structural concrete grout fill required in every vertically reinforced cell; intermediate	- ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I ≥ 1.10) and Risk Category III or IV certified or documented	- Post-1986 Pre-engineered Metal Buildings designed and constructed to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC	

bondbeam(s)
recommended for
walls that are 13.5 feet
in height or greater;
stack bond rebar
spacings are half
those of running bond
(e.g., 2 feet o.c. rebar
instead of 4 feet for
preferred ranking)

Additional preference may be given to buildings designed and constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.15) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or guideline (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA 361)

buildings that do **not** exceed 60 feet in height above grade

- Pre-engineered Metal Building hybrids with certified or documented wind design to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, and Occupancy or Risk Category III or IV
- Massive structures or other special facilities, such as nuclear fallout shelter bunkers; e.g., roof dead load ≥ 200 psf and exterior walls ≥ 16-inch reinforced concrete or earthen bermed.

equivalents, Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) or Risk Category II, or model codes, such as MBMA or SBC; bracing present in both wall and roof planes

- 8-inch partially reinforced masonry (typical maximum vertical rebar spaced up to @ 4.67 to 8-feet o.c.), or 12-inch partially reinforced masonry (typical max. vert. rebar spaced up to 11-feet o.c.) or precast reinforced concrete panel wall-bearing building
- Masonry wall-bearing systems equivalent to partially reinforced masonry (for 8-inch CMU with typical tie-column/pilaster and tie-beam spacing no greater than 13.5-feet o.c, or 12-inch CMU with typical tie-column/pilaster and tie-beam spacing up to 16-feet o.c.)
- Engineered light wood or metalstud wall-bearing buildings

8. Building Condition	1 5	- Building or interior shelter core	- Building or interior shelter core area (if
/ Wind Damage	with no observable or known	area (if applicable) has minor	applicable) has major deterioration of structural
History	structural or cladding deterioration	structural and/or cladding deterioration; deterioration does	and/or cladding components and assemblies; deterioration appears to significantly affect wind-
		not appear to significantly jeopardize wind-resistance	resistance
	- Building or interior shelter core		
	area (if applicable) is		
	approximately as sound as it was when new		
9. Exterior Wall	- 4-inches or thicker reinforced	- 2 to 3.9-inches of reinforced	- 1.9-inches or thinner reinforced concrete wall
Construction	concrete wall panel (rebar	concrete wall panel (rebar spacing	panel, or rebar spacing exceeds 18-inches o.c., or
	spacing is 12-inches o.c. or less each way, or wire-welded mesh	is 18 inches o.c. or less, or wire- welded mesh reinforced)	no wire-welded mesh reinforcement
- Adequate protection	reinforcement)	,	
means building			- 8 to 12-inch unreinforced masonry that exceeds
exterior walls are	1	- 8-inch partially reinforced	reinforcement spacing described as Less
capable of resisting wind loads and	- 8-inch or thicker reinforced masonry (typical maximum	masonry with typical maximum vertical rebar spaced @ 4.67 to 8-	Preferred/Marginal
penetration by large	vertical rebar spaced @ 4-feet	feet o.c., or 12-inch partially	
windborne debris	o.c or less) with or without	reinforced masonry with typical	- 26 gauge ribbed or thinner metal wall panels w
missile impacts.	masonry or stucco veneer	maximum vertical rebar spaced @	no impact resistant veneer no documentation
	(anchored @ 24-inch o.c. maximum each way)	4.67 to 11-feet o.c.; with or without veneer (anchored @ 24-inches o.c.	affirming that assembly passed large missile impact test
- Minimum preferred		maximum each way)	I impact test
large missile impact			
criteria means			

performance consistent with FBC Public Shelter Design Criteria (EHPA) or ASTM E-1996 Level D: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 34 mph or 50 ft/sec; additional preference may be given to wall assemblies that pass or exceed ASTM E-1996 Level E: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 55 mph or 80 ft/sec

- Bondbeams > 8inches high are not recommended for masonry with vertical rebar spacing that exceeds six (6) feet

O.C

Note: Unless otherwise indicated, assume masonry wall systems are eight (8) inch nominal thickness

- 6-inch or thicker reinforced masonry with structural concrete grout fill in every cell; ; see definition of reinforced masonry above
- 20 gauge or thicker ribbed metal wall panels with or without large missile impact test documentation w/ masonry or stucco veneer (anchored @ 24" o.c. max. each way)
- 24 or 22 gauge ribbed metal wall panels with documentation affirming that assembly passed large missile impact test
- recognized by the Florida Dept. of Education, Miami-Dade Building Code Compliance Office or other testing or research authorities as having passed large missile impact tests

- Wall assemblies that are

- 8-inch masonry wall systems equivalent to partially reinforced masonry: e.g., typical tie-column and tie-beam spacing no greater than 13.5-feet o.c., or 12-inch CMU tie-column and tie-beam spacing up to 16-feet o.c; with or without veneer.
- 6-inch or thicker partially reinforced masonry with structural concrete grout fill in every cell; see definition of partially reinforced masonry above
- 24 or 22 gauge ribbed metal wall panels with <u>no</u> documentation affirming that assembly passed large missile impact test
- 5/8 or 19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure 1 grade plywood structural wood panels w/ masonry or stucco veneer (anchored @ 24inches o.c. maximum each way or less)

- EIFS wall system on substrate other than reinforced masonry or concrete, or 5/8 or 19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure 1 grade plywood structural wood panels
- Gypsum wall board sheathing over metal or wood studs, with or without brick or stucco veneer
- Wall construction assemblies that <u>do not</u> meet "deemed to comply" FBC HVHZ-provisions (ref: s. 1626.4, FBC-Building)
- 6 % or greater exterior wall area comprised of softspot, or direct exposure of softspot to shelter area(s)

[- :			
hollow concrete masonry units (CMU) with running bond, type M or S mortar, and continuous horizontal joint reinforcement spaced every 16-inches vertically; structural concrete grout fill required in every vertically reinforced cell; intermediate bondbeam(s) recommended for walls that are 13.5-feet in height or greater; stack bond spacing are is half those that of running bond (e.g., for 8-inch masonry, 2-feet o.c. rebar instead of 4-feet for preferred ranking)	- Less than 1% of any exterior wall area comprised of softspot; no direct exposure to shelter area(s)	- Wall construction assemblies "deemed to comply" with FBC HVHZ-provisions (ref: s. 1626.4, FBC-Building) - 1 to 5% of any exterior wall area comprised of softspot; no direct exposure to shelter area(s)	
- Additional preference may be given to buildings with exterior walls designed and			

constructed to ASCE			
7-98 (or more recent			
editions), and IBC and			
FBC equivalents,			
Occupancy Category			
III or IV (I=1.15) or			
Risk Category III or IV;			
or higher wind design			
standard, code or			
guideline (e.g.,			
ICC500 or FEMA 361)			
10. Fenestrations /	- Building and/or shelter area	- Protected window and door	- Unprotected window and door assemblies, or
Window Protection	fenestrations (e.g., windows,	assemblies that cannot be certified	"protective" assemblies that cannot be certified or
	doors, louvers, etc) must pass	or documented to meet high wind	documented to meet high wind missile testing
	one or more of the following:	missile testing protocols, but	protocols and will not provide an adequate barrier
- Adequate protection	SBCCI SSTD 12; ASTM E 1886	adequate barrier to envelope	to envelope breach effects
means building	& ASTM E 1996; SFBC 201,	breach effects	
windows, doors,	202 & 203, and/or FBC HVHZ		
louvers and other	TAS 201, 202 and 203		- 6 % or greater exterior wall area comprised of
fenestrations are		- 5/8 or 19/32-inch or thicker CD	unprotected glass, or unprotected glass with
capable of resisting		Exposure 1 grade wood structural	, , , , ,
wind loads and	- Less than 1% of any exterior	panel (shutters) with adequate sub-	direct exposure to shelter area(s)
penetration by large	wall area comprised of	framing and anchorage	
windborne debris	unprotected glass; no direct	I naming and anchorage	
missile impacts.			
	exposure to shelter area(s)		
		- 1 to 5% of any exterior wall area	
- Minimum preferred		comprised of unprotected glass; no	
large missile impact		direct exposure to shelter area(s)	
criteria means			
ontona means		<u>L</u>	

performance consistent with FBC Public Shelter Design Criteria (EHPA) or			
ASTM E-1996 Level D: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 34 mph (50 ft/sec).			
Additional preference may be given to assemblies that pass			
or exceed ASTM E- 1996 Level E: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 55 mph			
(80 ft/sec)			
11. Roof Construction / Roof Slope	- Building with a heavy concrete roof system (i.e., a self-weight of 50 psf or greater)	- 3 inches (+/-) of ordinary reinforced concrete (rebar spacing is 18 inches o.c. or less, or wire- welded mesh reinforced on 22	- Roof systems with unverifiable unobservable or inadequate discontinuous loadpath connections
** - Per ASCE 7-98, section 6.2, the area	- 4-inch or thicker reinforced concrete (rebar spacing is 12-	gauge or thicker metal deck)	- Unbraced gable-end roof geometry
of potential roof openings must not exceed 1% of the shortest length wall face's area. This only applies to the story	inches o.c. or less each way or wire-welded mesh reinforced on 26 gauge or thicker ribbed metal deck)	- Building designed to a pre-2000 model code with light or moderate weight roof deck and a flat or moderate roof slope less than 30° (2/12 to 7/12 pitch)	- Non-metal or non-wood deck assemblies***

inches alia ta local and a contract	I Ballian I I		T
immediately below the roof.	- Building designed to a pre-		- Uncertified or documented roof eave or
1001.	2000 model code with light or moderate weight roof deck with	- Building designed to a pre-2000	overhangs that extend more than 2 feet from
	a steep roof slope greater than	model code with braced gable-end	exterior envelope cladding
	30° (7/12 pitch) and hipped	roof or hipped roof geometry	
*** - Fiber-based	geometry if applicable	, ,	
formboard, insulation	geometry ii applicable		- Structural 26 gauge or thinner metal deck w/o
or cementitious		- Roof assemblies "deemed to	structural concrete fill
panels; typically		comply" with FBC (ref: s. 1626.4);	
installed on bulb-tee	- Building designed to a pre-	e.g., 2 to 3.75-inches of reinforced	
sub-framing.	2000 model code with roof	concrete, 5/8-inch CD plywood or	- Heavyweight Unanchored roof appendages
	eaves or overhangs that do not extend more than 2-feet from	19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure	
	exterior envelope cladding	1 structural wood panels	
Note: If a source of	exterior envelope cladding		- Roof construction assemblies that <u>do not</u> meet
heavy/massive			"deemed to comply" FBC HVHZ-provisions (ref: s.
windborne or falling		- 19/32-inch or thicker CD	1626.4, FBC-Building)
debris is present,	- Building roof design is	Exposure 1 wood structural panel	,
FEMA 361 recommends roof and	documented as capable of	sheathing w/impact resistant	
walls be constructed	resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982 and ASCE 7	covering	- Significant breach potential (6+ %**)
of two layers (one	wind design standards,		organicant breast potential (01 78)
each top & bottom) of	Occupancy Category III or IV (I		
bi-directionally	≥ 1.10) or Risk Category III or	- Building roof design is	
reinforced 9-inch or	IV, and IBC and FBC	documented as capable of	
thicker cast-in-place	equivalents with roof eave or	resisting wind loads according to	
concrete	overhangs that extend more	ANSI A58.1-1982 or ASCE 7,	
	than 2-feet from exterior	Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) or	
	envelope cladding	Risk Category II, and IBC and FBC	
Additional preference		equivalents with roof eaves or	
may be given to		overhangs that extend more than	
may be given to		ļ	

40 feet and
able of
SI A58.1-
)

Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.15) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or guideline (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA 361)	documented as capable of resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC or FBC Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.10 or greater) or Risk Category III or IV	resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC or FBC Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) or Risk Category II	
13. Roof Drainage / Ponding Note: 100-year, 1-hour rainfall rate per Figure	- See ASCE 7-98, section 8.2 & FBC, s. 1503.4; 100-year, 1-hour rainfall rate - Building with no roof drainage	- Building with roof drainage confining parapet walls or curbs; flow capacity of overflow scuppers is not less than primary drains, and/or mitigating factor(s) present	- Building with roof drainage confining parapet walls or curbs; unknown flow capacity, or flow capacity of overflow scuppers is less than primary drains
1106.1, FBC Plumbing indicates approx. 4.4 to 5.0-inch per hour for Florida	confining parapet walls or curbs - No evidence of ponding that exceeds 2 inches in accumulation	- No evidence of ponding that exceeds 5 inches in accumulation	- Evidence of ponding that exceeds 5 inches in accumulation
14. Interior Safe Space	- At a minimum, all "preferred" criteria described in 1 – 13 above apply to the interior safe space envelope	- At a minimum, all criteria ranked as "marginal" described in 1 – 13 above apply to the interior safe space envelope	In the case where the surrounding building does not meet ARC 4496, and the interior shelter space (e.g., corridor) does not meet ARC 4496, describe why it does not.
Note: An interior safe space/core	- Example: 8-inch or thicker reinforced masonry or 4-inch or	- Example: 8-inch or thicker partially reinforced masonry (vert.	- Interior spaces that cannot independently meet ARC 4496 guidelines

			T
area is not required if	thicker inch reinforced concrete	rebar @ 8-feet o.c., or tie-column &	
the proposed	perimeter wall panels with cast-	beam @ 13.5-feet o.c.) or 2-inch or	
1	in-place 4-inch or thicker	thicker reinforced concrete panel	- Unreinforced masonry walls
hurricane shelter	reinforced concrete roof/ceiling	perimeter walls with cast-in-place	
building, as a	slab; windows and doors meet	2-inch or thicker reinforced	
bala as in mant	high wind debris impact	concrete roof/ceiling slab or min.	- Gypsum wall board on metal or wood stud walls
whole or in part,	resistance requirements	24 gauge metal deck (or concrete	Sypouni wan board on motal of wood stad wans
meets ARC 4496	Tabletanies requirements	& metal decks combined); no	
guidelines/standards		windows or doors w/ glass with	
guideiiiies/staridards	_	direct exposure to shelter space	- Windows or doors present w/ glass (larger than
	- Structural separation from	direct exposure to sheller space	a small view window) with direct exposure to
	surrounding building(s) is		shelter space(s)
- If applicable, interior	required (i.e., expansion, control		
shelter space must	or slip-joints)	- Roof of shelter framed separately	
independently meet		from surrounding building, but	- Significant very large/heavy or falling debris, lay-
ARC 4496 guidelines		complete structural separation not	down, and/or structural collapse hazards
	- Massive structures or other	required	down, and/or structural collapse hazards
	special facilities, such as		
Additional preference	nuclear fallout shelter bunkers		
	Indolear failout shelter burnkers	-In the case where the surrounding	- Roof/ceiling of interior space is not framed
may be given to		_	separately from surrounding building (i.e., roof
buildings designed		building meets ARC 4496 this	support members are continuous through or over
and constructed to	-In the case where the	criteria is not applicable.	interior space partitions)
ASCE 7-98 (or more	surrounding building meets ARC		
recent editions), and	4496 this criteria is not		
IBC and FBC	applicable.		
equivalents,			
Occupancy Category			
III or IV (I=1.15) or			
Risk Category III or IV;			
or higher wind design			
standard, code or			
		22	

guidolino (o g		I	
guideline (e.g.,			
CC500 or FEMA 361)			
15. Life Safety / Emergency Power	- Building must be in compliance with all local building and fire codes	- Building must be in compliance with all local building and fire codes	- Building that is not in compliance with loca building and fire codes; a local authority having jurisdiction must make this determination.
	- Building and/or hurricane shelter space(s) must be supported by a standby back-up	- No provision for standby or emergency back-up electrical system; or	
	generator capable of supporting critical fire and life-safety systems, ventilation systems, adequate shelter lighting and if applicable, special needs requirements	- Standby or emergency back-up electrical system or generator present but dependent upon one or more off-site utilities/ infrastructure (e.g., water, fuel, etc.), and/or less than a 24-hour on-site fuel supply; or	
	- Generator must be independent of off-site utilities/infrastructure (e.g., water, fuel, etc.) with a minimum of 24-hour on-site fuel supply (72 hours or greater recommended)	- generator and ancillary equipment are not adequately protected from major hurricane effects	
	- Generator and ancillary equipment must be adequately		

	protected from major hurricane effects		
Notes:		 	