

RFP TITLE: Professional Design Build Services - Courts Consolidation Project

RFP # 167-0160-NC (SS)

Date: April 17, 2017 and May 19, 2017

BUDGET ESTIMATE / \$55,680,000.00

				Tom Borawski		Bill Burges		Bill Hagans		Anthony Rondolino		David Wallace			
Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE		
1	Ajax Building Corporation			No 1	No 2	No 3	No 4	No 5							
450	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel, Willingness and Ability to Meet Schedule and Budget Based on Current and Projected Workload	70-90	1	80%	360.00	80%	360.00	80%	360.00	80%	360.00	80%	360.00	1800.00	360.00
375	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	70-90	2	80%	300.00	85%	318.75	75%	281.25	85%	318.75	80%	300.00	1518.75	303.75
50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded by the County		3	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	250.00	50.00
50	Minority Business Status		4	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
75	Location		5	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	375.00	75.00
1000	WRITTEN SUB-TOTAL				835.00		853.75		816.25		853.75		835.00	4193.75	838.75
	ORAL PRESENTATION														
500	Understanding of Project	70-90	6	80%	400.00	85%	425.00	80%	400.00	85%	425.00	80%	400.00	2050.00	410.00
300	Ability to Provide Required Services within the Schedule and Budget	70-90	7	80%	240.00	85%	255.00	80%	240.00	85%	255.00	80%	240.00	1230.00	246.00
200	Managerial Methods used to Plan/Design/ Administer the Project	70-90	8	75%	150.00	80%	160.00	75%	150.00	85%	170.00	75%	150.00	780.00	156.00
1000	ORAL SUB-TOTAL				790.00		840.00		790.00		850.00		790.00	4060.00	812.00
2000	Total				1625.00		1693.75		1606.25		1703.75		1625.00	8253.75	1650.75

RFP TITLE: Professional Design Build Services - Courts Consolidation Project

RFP # 167-0160-NC (SS)

Date: April 17, 2017 and May 19, 2017

BUDGET ESTIMATE / \$55,680,000.00

	Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Tom Borawski		Bill Burges		Bill Hagans		Anthony Rondolino		David Wallace		TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE
					Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score			
2		Creative Contractors, Inc.			No 1	No 2	No 3	No 4	No 5							
	450	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel, Willingness and Ability to Meet Schedule and Budget Based on Current and Projected Workload	70-90	1	85%	382.50	85%	382.50	85%	382.50	80%	360.00	85%	382.50	1890.00	378.00
	375	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	70-90	2	80%	300.00	80%	300.00	80%	300.00	80%	300.00	80%	300.00	1500.00	300.00
	50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded by the County		3	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	250.00	50.00
	50	Minority Business Status		4	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
	75	Location		5	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	375.00	75.00
	1000	WRITTEN SUB-TOTAL				857.50		857.50		857.50		835.00		857.50	4265.00	853.00
		ORAL PRESENTATION														
	500	Understanding of Project	100	6	100%	500.00	100%	500.00	100%	500.00	100%	500.00	100%	500.00	2500.00	500.00
	300	Ability to Provide Required Services within the Schedule and Budget	70-90	7	90%	270.00	85%	255.00	85%	255.00	90%	270.00	85%	255.00	1305.00	261.00
	200	Managerial Methods used to Plan/Design/ Administer the Project	70-90	8	90%	180.00	85%	170.00	90%	180.00	90%	180.00	90%	180.00	890.00	178.00
	1000	ORAL SUB-TOTAL				950.00		925.00		935.00		950.00		935.00	4695.00	939.00
	2000	Total				1807.50		1782.50		1792.50		1785.00		1792.50	8960.00	1792.00

RFP TITLE: Professional Design Build Services - Courts Consolidation Project

RFP # 167-0160-NC (SS)

Date: April 17, 2017 and May 19, 2017

BUDGET ESTIMATE / \$55,680,000.00

					Tom Borawski		Bill Burges		Bill Hagans		Anthony Rondolino		David Wallace			
	Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range			Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score	TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE
3	Manhattan Construction (Florida), Inc.					No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5		
	450	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel, Willingness and Ability to Meet Schedule and Budget Based on Current and Projected Workload	70-90	1	80%	360.00	80%	360.00	80%	360.00	80%	360.00	85%	382.50	1822.50	364.50
	375	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	70-90	2	85%	318.75	85%	318.75	85%	318.75	85%	318.75	90%	337.50	1612.50	322.50
	50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded by the County		3	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	5%	50.00	250.00	50.00
	50	Minority Business Status		4	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
	75	Location		5	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	375.00	75.00
	1000	WRITTEN SUB-TOTAL				803.75		803.75		803.75		803.75		845.00	4060.00	812.00
		ORAL PRESENTATION														
	500	Understanding of Project	40-60	6	60%	300.00	60%	300.00	60%	300.00	60%	300.00	60%	300.00	1500.00	300.00
	300	Ability to Provide Required Services within the Schedule and Budget	40-60	7	60%	180.00	60%	180.00	60%	180.00	60%	180.00	50%	150.00	870.00	174.00
	200	Managerial Methods used to Plan/Design/ Administer the Project	40-60	8	60%	120.00	60%	120.00	60%	120.00	60%	120.00	60%	120.00	600.00	120.00
	1000	ORAL SUB-TOTAL				600.00		600.00		600.00		600.00		570.00	2970.00	594.00
	2000	Total				1403.75		1403.75		1403.75		1403.75		1415.00	7030.00	1406.00

RFP TITLE: Professional Design Build Services - Courts Consolidation Project

RFP # 167-0160-NC (SS)

Date: April 17, 2017 and May 19, 2017

BUDGET ESTIMATE / \$55,680,000.00

					Tom Borawski	Bill Burges	Bill Hagans	Anthony Rondolino	David Wallace							
	Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score	TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE	
4	Moss & Associates				No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5			
	450	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel, Willingness and Ability to Meet Schedule and Budget Based on Current and Projected Workload	70-90	1	90%	405.00	90%	405.00	90%	405.00	85%	382.50	90%	405.00	2002.50	400.50
	375	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	70-90	2	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	1687.50	337.50
	50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded by the County		3	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
	50	Minority Business Status		4	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
	75	Location		5	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	100%	75.00	375.00	75.00
	1000	WRITTEN SUB-TOTAL				867.50		867.50		867.50		845.00		867.50	4315.00	863.00
		ORAL PRESENTATION														
	500	Understanding of Project	70-90	6	90%	450.00	85%	425.00	90%	450.00	90%	450.00	90%	450.00	2225.00	445.00
	300	Ability to Provide Required Services within the Schedule and Budget	70-90	7	85%	255.00	85%	255.00	85%	255.00	90%	270.00	85%	255.00	1290.00	258.00
	200	Managerial Methods used to Plan/Design/ Administer the Project	70-90	3	90%	180.00	85%	170.00	90%	180.00	90%	180.00	90%	180.00	890.00	178.00
	1000	ORAL SUB-TOTAL				885.00		850.00		885.00		900.00		885.00	4405.00	881.00
	2000	Total				1752.50		1717.50		1752.50		1745.00		1752.50	8720.00	1744.00