SM-23-006

Topic BCC Agenda Date Agenda Item Number Citizen Name Phone Email Address Comments Your Stand on the Issue

Topic	Stormwater system neglect, homes abut to flood
BCC Agenda Date	6-17-2025
Agenda Item Number	
Citizen Name	Eugene Naves
Phone	+17274176596
Email	fp101florida@yahoo.com
Address	7534 35th Ave N Saint Petersburg, FL 33710
Comments	-

Your Stand on the Issue

Topic	102nd Ave road project
BCC Agenda Date	6-17-2025
Agenda Item Number	
Citizen Name	Jorge Perez
Phone	+13055626917
Email	Dbdbusiness33@gmail.com
Address	12101 102nd Ave N. Seminole, FL 33778
Comments	The project on 102nd will have many negative impacts for the people who live directly on 102nd Ave and in the communities on or nearby. The project as a whole must be revised or cancelled altogether. It will make getting in and out of my house very difficult, especially adding curbs And the road drains just fine we don't need to fix a non issue, if you add curbs the water will drain into my driveway. Last year the hurricanes had no flooding in my property. The light on 125th will cause traffic delays as well, that will make it extremely difficult exiting and entering my driveway in 102.
Your Stand on the Issue	Oppose

BCC Agenda Date6-17-2025Agenda Item Number	
-	
Citizen Name Marjorie Bulone	
Phone +17272158141	
Email mbulone@tampabay.rr.com	
Address 12455 102nd Avenue N. Seminole, FL 33778	
Introduction: My name is Cody Miller and I line 102nd and 125th in Seminole. Thank you for a me to speak today representing a group if hom — many decades-long residents — on 102nd A 1. Meetings: We recently met on location with County's Project Team for the 102nd Avenue Roadway Improvements. We had good common on both sides and appreciate the team's willing meet on location with us. This meeting was in a Zoom meeting held the week prior. As a resu meetings, the project team is currently using control input to design numerous project features. 2. C While we have multiple points of agreement on proposed changes, residents still have major control this nearly \$16 million project for a 1.1 m of road, will make irrevocable/irreversible cha are overkill, and, in fact, damaging to the neigl and environment in order to resolve the few se issues that can be addressed with less expensivity adequate solutions. 3. Agreement: Complete coexists on the need to design a right-hand turn I 102nd eastbound onto 113th southbound. Come exists on reconfiguring the Pinellas Trail cross mingle it with the Quail Ridge development er with an in-ground triggered mast arm traffic ling Roundabout: we strongly urge against a round 102nd and Ridge Road, which we foresee back traffic into the nearby intersection at 1102nd and Ridge Road, which with an in-ground triggered mast arm traffic ling Roundabout: we strongly urge against a round 102nd and Ridge Road, which we foresee back traffic into the nearby intersection at this inter Fears are high that a roundabout will add signi through traffic on Ridge Road, which is a resident at this inter or side and have that as minimal to no sidewalks and how through traffic on Ridge Road, which is a resident at thas minimal to no sidewalks and how the constent at the signific on Ridge Road, which is a resident at this inter fears are high that a roundabout will add signi through traffic on Ridge Road, which is a resident at the set for the the rest and the set that the set fore the rest and the set that	allowing neowners Avenue N. n the N. unications gness to addition to ult of both community Overkill: on the oncerns nile stretch anges that ghborhood cattered ve and consensus lane from isensus also sing to co- ntrance/exit ight. 4. labout at king up nd 113th. ient and uply by rsection. ificant dential

Comments

to the road. Unfortunately, the County did not conduct a traffic impact study on Ridge Road to ascertain what higher-volume through traffic would do to this neighborhood. There are no traffic lights on Ridge while there are 5 traffic lights on the same section of 113th, which portends to the inclination of drivers diverting to Ridge Road as a faster through route between Ulmerton Road to the north and Park Blvd. to the south. 5. Drop Lane: Moving the current drop lane at 102nd and Ridge Road to the entrance to the Thurston Groves development is literally kicking the can down the road and will create more close calls and traffic accidents caused by drivers waiting to beat westbound traffic by cutting into to the left lane at the last second in the middle of a development's entrance/exit. 6. Drainage: The proposed curb/gutter along this corridor is totally unnecessary as there are no existing drainage/flooding issues, and adding a few center turn lanes to ease entrance/egress for north and south side side neighborhoods off of Nina Street, Hazel Street and 121st don't warrant putting curb and gutter throughout the entire 1.1 mile stretch of roadway. In fact, curb and gutter will inhibit mail delivery at the 37 driveways with roadside mailboxes that line the north side of 102nd. Garbage, emergency/fire/rescue, service and delivery vehicles that use the current road shoulders to get out of the traffic flow will also be hindered in accessing these properties. Not to mention that curb/gutter will not be as efficient at channeling runoff as the current and longstanding shoulders and ditch set up. 7. Center Turn Lanes: These are needed where developments exist on both sides of 102nd. There is no need for center turn lanes west of Windtree Oaks Blvd. as there are no driveways or developments west of that c point. There are only private driveways on the north side of 102nd with 1-3 cars each, so getting in and out of traffic flow on 102nd doesn't warrant adding a center turn lane. 8. 125th: Adding a mast arm light at 125th and 102nd is also overkill, especially with the addition of the proposed turn lanes on 125th. These turn lanes will give drivers on opposite sides of 125th a clear view of where the facing driver intends to go (straight, left or right). Conclusion: All of the resident-supported changes resolve the issues the County is trying to solve for at a much lower cost so the remaining dollars budgeted can be reallocated to more pressing issues throughout the County. A nearly \$16 million dollar project for a 1.1 mile stretch of roadway calculates to nearly \$9,000 per yard. While it may not be paved in 18 caret gold, this is still an exorbitant amount of taxpayer money to spend on about a

	mile of roadway to try to solve a few issues that can be resolved much more cost effectively with far less impact
	on the neighborhood and environment.
Your Stand on the Issue	Oppose