

From: [Jacqueline Kanner](#)
To: [Young, Bernie C](#)
Subject: Building on the Raytheon Property
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 8:31:21 AM

CAUTION:

This message has originated from Outside of the Organization. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir,

I have great reservation over the previous chemical spill from Raytheon that has yet to be completely addressed. It seems that money, profit and construction over rides the safety of the people who will live on or near the site you are considering to build. If fumes and leakage of the chemicals become an issue to water supply, playgrounds, pool building and other construction, it is with not only danger to the citizens who unwittingly purchase/use the property you are zoning, but can become a legal issue for those permitting it!

Children play in the soil and can possibly ingest or inhale chemicals that have been displaced during construction. There are so many possible concerns regarding the leftover chemicals in the soil, even though some has been eliminated. This leaves the city and developers open to lawsuits and building on this site will become a legal haggle for decades since the city and property owners knowingly are developing, selling and zoning without completely fixing the chemical in the soil. I have the original spill papers and this area is DANGEROUS!! My sister lives in Stones Throw on the pond and my husband and I have lived on Park Street for 67 years. We have a vested interest in your actions, decisions and pathetic need to put money over safety!!

Flint, Michigan comes to mind when the mayor/city council felt that saving money was more important than the sanitation of the water supply where lead was not removed. When profits were the motivator and those who put it before the lives and safety of the citizens and children, the results were catastrophic.

If the city follows this similar decision making and allows the permitting/building on the Raytheon Property, then they will be held accountable for any loss or affect on the environment, wildlife and people.

Another example of disregard to chemicals is Piney Point where the contamination will create algae blooms (Red Tide), fish kills and acrid air pollutants. This is another example of how negligence and lack of fact finding/intervention has created another catastrophic situation!!

It is of great concern that the additional traffic from this project will put the historic bricks on Park Street under more duress. This area of Park Street, where I live, is a neighborhood and NOT a thoroughfare. It is both historic and beautiful, however, creating more traffic will make getting into and out of my driveway dangerous and dislodge the bricks from the speed of cars. Several peacocks have been killed on this beautiful part of Park Street from speeding cars and reckless drivers! Developing Raytheon will only create more traffic on Park Street and put those who live on the street and the local wildlife in danger.

In addition, there is NO need for a NEW recreation center with both Azalea and Walter Fuller Park having recreation centers, ball parks, fields, playground and a POOL! Both community centers are located within 1-2 miles from this property.

It is obvious that the risks for development far outweigh the need!! The city will be held financially responsible for allowing the building without the regard the chemicals left in the soil!

Respectfully,
Jackie Kanner
1601 Park Street North
St. Petersburg, Florida 33710

Sent from my iPad

From: [Jennifer Fernandez, Ed.D.](#)
To: [Seel, Karen](#); [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
Subject: CW 21-06 LandUse Amendment for the Raytheon Property in St. Petersburg
Date: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 10:07:39 PM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioner Seel,

I am writing to thank you for voting against, and to express my opposition to the CW 21-06 Land Use Amendment for the Raytheon Property in St. Petersburg. I am asking you to reject the amendment again at the upcoming hearing on April 13.

I have three main concerns; noise, safety, and traffic.

With the FLUM 60 project, I would have nonstop amplified music and announcements from the 150,000 square foot sports complex, 210,000 square foot water park, and 175 foot long container park planned. (* PLEASE NOTE: THE ENTIRE BASEBALL PLAYING FIELD OF THE RAYS STADIUM IS ONLY 105,000 SQ. FT. IN AREA. THE SPORTS STADIUM AREA IS 1/3 LARGER AND THE WATER PARK/LAGOON AREA planned IS TWICE AS LARGE).

This is a quiet neighborhood area with a peaceful park and lots of families and family activities. I for one am tired of noise and light pollution forced onto me in almost every aspect of life. You can't even pump gas without constant ads in your face. Home should be a sanctuary and I feel this plan will invade my personal space. Families take long walks around the neighborhoods and the park during the day and evening. Children ride their bikes and play on the playground. The noise pollution (and likely light pollution) would ruin the St Petersburg neighborhood and make it less safe for families and young children. This brings me to my next concern, safety.

There is no on-site security planned for the 29-acre site that also plans the sale of alcohol. This property, running along the Pinellas Trail, will provide an opportunity for more criminal activity in the area neighborhoods. The entire 29-acre property (except the waterpark) will be open to 24 hour free public entry from multiple entries and the Pinellas Trail. No security means more rowdy behavior due to alcohol and increased traffic, with a potential for underaged drinking. This area is currently very family friendly and I feel we would lose that quality.

Last, the traffic plan just does not make any sense. 72nd street along the park is a tiny road with minimal traffic. There is parking along the street for park events and the playground. Children ride bikes along the street to and from the park and park activities. Families walk their dogs. In addition to the park that includes a small rec. center, a playground, soccer fields, basketball court, tennis courts, and a frisbee golf course, Azalea Elementary and Gingerbread preschool are part of the neighborhood. Adding an estimated 8,000 daily vehicle trips just for the sports complex alone (not including the added residential traffic to the over 600 apartments also planned) is not something that should even be considered. It is not feasible in any way and is downright dangerous. As far as I know, there has been no traffic study completed at this area. The roads in this neighborhood are already limited at 25 MPH and have 25 traffic calming measures (islands, speed humps, etc.) installed to keep our children safe. I ask you to drive by this property before the hearing if possible, so you can see just how unrealistic it is to add this much traffic to our small and slow neighborhood roads.

Thank you for your consideration,

--

Jennifer Fernandez, Ed.D.

jennfernandez@gmail.com

From: [Tracy, Jan H](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: CW 21-06 pm 4/23 BCC Agenda Raytheon FLUM-60 Site
Date: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 4:32:04 PM

Below is an email received by Commissioner Seel in regards to the subject agenda item. Jan

Jan H. Tracy

Executive Aide to Commissioner Karen Williams Seel

Pinellas County Commission

315 Court Street, 5th Floor

Clearwater, FL 33756

727-464-3278

jhtracy@pinellascounty.org

www.pinellascounty.org

From: hillaryart McAllister <Hillaryart@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Seel, Karen <kseel@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: Thank you for voting against proposed Raytheon FLUM-60 Site

CAUTION:	This message has originated from Outside of the Organization . Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.
-----------------	---

Re: proposed Raytheon FLUM-60 Site

Dear Commissioner Seel :

I'm writing as a concerned taxpayer who resides close by to the proposed Raytheon FLUM-60 Site. The developers are proposing this overly large development with no concern for the surrounding neighborhood homes and businesses.

As the site is very contaminated with dangerous chemicals the developers state that they will enclose the buildings that they plan to build. This does nothing to protect the surrounding residents and properties from contamination released in to the air and /underground water.

The developers seemed unconcerned in reference to the noise this development would cause. When asked about to these noise complaint concerns, we've been told "to contact the police". No mention of sound barriers.

There was no apparent security/safety/crime plan presented. There is an area allocated to have alcohol served with the food trucks. The location of this would be close to a children's playground, a school and the Pinellas Trail.

The enormous increase in traffic is not supported by the roads in the area with an anticipated almost

8,000 additional projected vehicles daily! Who knows if that counts the trips with the 600 + apartments they want to build.

There are many recreational facilities already available in our area which are tax-supported by our taxes:

The Azalea Recreation Center and playing fields, The Walter Fuller Park with swimming pool, tennis courts and recreational center just to name a few of these amenities.
Also, there are two commercial gyms already in the area.

Our present neighborhood businesses & mall need support not some located within this complex.

How could this be a viable project when they plan to charge \$25 dollars to use their "Outdoor Amenity Area? If it goes bust what happens then?

This land amendment does meet the county guidelines for target employment, both in numbers of jobs (81 vs 240 to be target employment) and the wages are suppose to be above the mean Pinellas income at \$50K per year. The development will only bring part time and full-time jobs with salaries under \$28K per year. This is almost half of what it should be to qualify as target employment.

Most of us in the neighborhood total oppose this plan! It could lead to a decrease in our property values and an increase of traffic, noise and crime.

Amazon has also expressed interest in the site. This might offer more high paying jobs and many of the transportation is via electric cars/vans.

Thank you for voting against this proposal.

Sincerely,

Hillary McAllister
6923 Stonestrow Circle N
St. Petersburg, FL 33710

From: [Jacqueline Kanner](#)
To: [Gerard, Pat](#)
Subject: Do Not Build/Zone on the Raytheon Property
Date: Thursday, March 11, 2021 6:30:53 AM

CAUTION:

This message has originated from Outside of the Organization. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom it May Concern,

I have great reservation over the previous chemical spill from Raytheon that has yet to be completely addressed. It seems that money, profit and construction over rides the safety of the people who will live on or near the site you are considering to zone/build.

If fumes and leakage of the chemicals become an issue to water supply, playgrounds, pool building and other construction, it is with not only danger to the citizens who unwittingly purchase/use the property you are zoning, but can become a legal issue for those permitting it!

Children play in the soil and can possibly ingest or inhale chemicals that have been displaced during construction. There are so many possible concerns regarding the leftover chemicals in the soil, even though some have been eliminated. This leaves the city open to lawsuits and building on this site will become a legal haggle for decades since the city and property owners knowingly are developing, selling and zoning without completely fixing the chemical in the soil. I have the original spill papers and this area is DANGEROUS!! My sister lives in Stones Throw on the pond and my husband and I have lived on Park Street for a combined 67 years. We have a vested interest in your actions, decisions and pathetic need to put money over safety!!

Flint, Michigan comes to mind when the mayor/city council felt that money was more important than the sanitation of the water supply. Here again, money is the motivator and those who put it before the lives and safety of the citizens will surely regret the decision to build on the current chemically contaminated property!!

By doing so, the city/YOU are reckless, irresponsible and put those living on/near the plume in DANGER as you further the zoning/building on this property!!

Another great concern is the traffic that will put the historic bricks on Park Street under more duress. This area of Park Street, where I live, is a neighborhood and NOT a thoroughfare. It is both historic and beautiful, however, creating more traffic will make getting into and out of my driveway dangerous and dislodge the bricks from the speed of cars. Several peacocks have been killed on this beautiful part of Park Street from speeding cars and reckless drivers! Developing Raytheon will only create more traffic on Park Street and put those who live on the street and the local wildlife in danger.

There is no need for a NEW recreation center with both Azalea and Walter Fuller Park, recreation centers, playground and Pool located within 1-2 miles from this property. It is obvious that the risks for development far outweigh the need!!

Respectfully,
Jackie Kanner
1601 Park Street North
St. Petersburg, fl. 33710

Sent from my iPad

From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: [BULK]
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 8:01:39 AM
Importance: Low

From: Jennifer Fernandez, Ed.D. <jennfernandez@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 10:14 PM
To: Gerard, Pat <pgerard@co.pinellas.fl.us>; Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: [BULK]
Importance: Low

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to thank you for initially voting against and to express my opposition to the CW 21-06 Land Use Amendment for the Raytheon Property in St. Petersburg. I am asking you to reject the amendment at the upcoming hearing on April 13.

I have three main concerns; noise, safety, and traffic.

With the FLUM 60 project, I would have nonstop amplified music and announcements from the 150,000 square foot sports complex, 210,000 square foot water park, and 175 foot long container park planned. (* PLEASE NOTE: THE ENTIRE BASEBALL PLAYING FIELD OF THE RAYS STADIUM IS ONLY 105,000 SQ. FT. IN AREA. THE SPORTS STADIUM AREA IS 1/3 LARGER AND THE WATER PARK/LAGOON AREA planned IS TWICE AS LARGE).

This is a quiet neighborhood area with a peaceful park and lots of families and family activities. I for one am tired of noise and light pollution forced onto me in almost every aspect of life. You can't even pump gas without constant ads in your face. Home should be a sanctuary and I feel this plan will invade my personal space. Families take long walks around the neighborhoods and the park during the day and evening. Children ride their bikes and play on the playground. The noise pollution (and likely light pollution) would ruin the St Petersburg neighborhood and make it less safe for families and young children. This brings me to my next concern, safety.

There is no on-site security planned for the 29-acre site that also plans the sale of alcohol. This property, running along the Pinellas Trail, will provide an opportunity for more criminal activity in the area neighborhoods. The entire 29-acre property (except the waterpark) will be open to 24 hour free public entry from multiple entries and the Pinellas Trail. No security means more rowdy behavior due to alcohol and increased traffic, with a potential for underaged drinking. This area is currently very family friendly and I feel we would lose that quality.

Last, the traffic plan just does not make any sense. 72nd street along the park is a tiny road with minimal traffic. There is parking along the street for park events and the playground. Children ride bikes along the street to and from the park and park activities. Families walk their dogs. In addition to the park that includes a small rec. center, a playground, soccer fields,

basketball court, tennis courts, and a frisbee golf course, Azalea Elementary and Gingerbread preschool are part of the neighborhood. Adding an estimated 8,000 daily vehicle trips just for the sports complex alone (not including the added residential traffic to the over 600 apartments also planned) is not something that should even be considered. It is not feasible in any way and is downright dangerous. As far as I know, there has been no traffic study completed at this area. The roads in this neighborhood are already limited at 25 MPH and have 25 traffic calming measures (islands, speed humps, etc.) installed to keep our children safe. I ask you to drive by this property before the hearing if possible, so you can see just how unrealistic it is to add this much traffic to our small and slow neighborhood roads.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jennifer Fernandez

--

Jennifer Fernandez, Ed.D.

jennfernandez@gmail.com

From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: Agenda Item CW 21-06 on 4/13/21
Date: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 7:58:14 AM

From: elizko1028@aol.com <elizko1028@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:43 AM
To: Long, Janet C <JanetCLong@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Cc: Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: Agenda Item CW 21-06 on 4/13/21

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioner Janet Long,
Please vote against Agenda Item CW 21-06 at the 4/13/21 Pinellas County Commissioners Hearing, also listed as Legistar File Number 21-335A.

The proposal is so horrific, I don't know where to begin to tell you that this proposed property development will negatively affect the nearby residents, environment, Pinellas Trail, Recreation Center, and economics of the area. You are also probably aware of its history as the former Raytheon Property and what damage it has already done to the surrounding neighborhoods.

If not used for parkland, this area could possibly be put to good use for some sort of reasonable expansion for the nearby Gibbs Campus of St. Petersburg College.

Please do not permit the proposed development. It would be a disaster.

Thank you,
Elizabeth Korosec
6901 - 15th Ave. N.
St. Petersburg

From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: CW 21-06 Land Use Amendment / Legistar File Number 21-335A
Date: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 8:00:43 AM

From: Ron Fisher <rfishertax@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, March 26, 2021 8:51 PM
To: Gerard, Pat <pgerard@co.pinellas.fl.us>; Justice, Charlie <cjustice@co.pinellas.fl.us>; Degger@pinellascounty.org; Seel, Karen <kseel@co.pinellas.fl.us>; Peters, Kathleen <kpeters@pinellascounty.org>; Robinson-Flowers, Rene <rflowers@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Cc: Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: CW 21-06 Land Use Amendment / Legistar File Number 21-335A

CAUTION:

This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Pinellis County Commissioner Board Members:

I write to you all to ask that you reject the subject Land Use Amendment at your 4/13/21 hearing and relay to you my (and hundreds of others) fierce opposition to it.

I own a condo at Stones Throw Condominiums, 6916 Stones Throw Circle N., Unit 9306, St Petersburg, FL 33710. This proposed project will produce constant disruption to the very peaceful surrounding area homes. It will clearly subject thousands of residents in the immediate residential area on a daily and nightly basis to (but not limited to): loud noise, amplified and not, screaming kids and adults, traffic jams, pedestrian mishaps, teenage/adult drinking problems, food smells, vehicle accidents and criminal law violations originating on or near the property, which could lead to other crimes, including drugs and personal injury or property damage involving adjacent neighborhood homes, automobiles and residents. Including anyone using the Pinellis Trail (already known by police in this area as the "Felony Highway") who will literally walk/ride within a few feet of the entire area.

There is also grave concern among all of us residents about the very well known cancer causing chemicals that are in that land (and air) that Raytheon produced and left, that will obviously be disturbed. We have been dealing with those chemicals for many years already, especially the impact to our water. And, a very recent air quality reading in our parking lot was extremely concerning.

Further, this land amendment does not even meet your county guidelines for target employment, both in numbers of jobs (81 vs 240 to be target employment) and the wages are suppose to be above the mean Pinellas income at \$50K per year. The development will only bring part time and full time jobs with salaries under \$28K per year. This is almost half of what

it should be to qualify as target employment.

Lastly, none of us are adverse to property development. Far from it after looking at this large empty lot every time I open my front door and have for many years now wondering why someone does not do something with it. But NOT this! Really, would any of you want this 100 feet from your front door?

Sincerely,

Ron Fisher

From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: CW 26-06 Land Use Amendment
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:39 AM

From: Chris Marian <marianc420@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:37 AM
To: Long, Janet C <JanetCLong@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Cc: Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: CW 26-06 Land Use Amendment

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is Visha Anevich and I am a home owner at 2000 75th St N, St. Petersburg, FL 33710. I am against this **CW 26-06 Land Use Amendment** due to how it will impact our neighborhood.

Thank you,

***Visha Anevich
2000 75th St N, St Petersburg, FL 33710***

From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: Legistar File # 21-335A -Raytheon Property-oppose building
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:12:39 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Jacqueline Kanner <junejackie@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 11:10 AM
To: Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: Legistar File # 21-335A -Raytheon Property-oppose building

CAUTION:

This message has originated from Outside of the Organization. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

>

>

>> To Whom it May Concern,

>> I have great reservation over the previous chemical spill from Raytheon that has yet to be completely addressed. It seems that money, profit and construction over rides the safety of the people who will live on or near the site you are considering to zone/build.

>> If fumes and leakage of the chemicals become an issue to water supply, playgrounds, pool building and other construction, it is with not only danger to the citizens who unwittingly purchase/use the property you are zoning, but can become a legal issue for those permitting it!

>> Children play in the soil and can possibly ingest or inhale chemicals that have been displaced during construction. There are so many possible concerns regarding the leftover chemicals in the soil, even though some have been eliminated. This leaves the city open to lawsuits and building on this site will become a legal haggle for decades since the city and property owners knowingly are developing, selling and zoning without completely fixing the chemical in the soil. I have the original spill papers and this area is DANGEROUS!! My sister lives in Stones Throw on the pond and my husband and I have lived on Park Street for a combined 67 years. We have a vested interest in your actions, decisions and pathetic need to put money over safety!!

>> Flint, Michigan comes to mind when the mayor/city council felt that money was more important than the sanitation of the water supply. Here again, money is the motivator and those who put it before the lives and safety of the citizens will surely regret the decision to build on the current chemically contaminated property!!

>> By doing so, the city/YOU are reckless, irresponsible and put those living on/near the plume in DANGER as you further the zoning/building on this property!!

>> Another great concern is the traffic that will put the historic bricks on Park Street under more duress. This area of Park Street, where I live, is a neighborhood and NOT a thoroughfare. It is both historic and beautiful, however, creating more traffic will make getting into and out of my driveway dangerous and dislodge the bricks from the speed of cars. Several peacocks have been killed on this beautiful part of Park Street from speeding cars and reckless drivers! Developing Raytheon will only create more traffic on Park Street and put those who live on the street and the local wildlife in danger.

>> There is no need for a NEW recreation center with both Azalea and Walter Fuller Park, recreation centers, playground and Pool located within 1-2 miles from this property.

>> It is obvious that the risks for development far outweigh the need!!

>> Respectfully,

>> Jackie Kanner

>> 1601 Park Street North

>> St. Petersburg, fl. 33710

>

> Sent from my iPad

From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: OPPOSITION TO: 1501 72nd Street North: Legistar File No. 21-335A
Date: Monday, March 15, 2021 7:40:38 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

From: ellen_peterson@yahoo.com <ellen_peterson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 12:05 AM
To: Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Cc: Rahman, Nousheen <NRahman@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: Re: OPPOSITION TO: 1501 72nd Street North: Legistar File No. 21-335A

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Ellen Peterson

On Monday, March 15, 2021, 03:52:36 AM GMT, Ellen Peterson <ellen.peterson7459@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ellen Peterson** <ellen.peterson7459@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Mar 15, 2021 at 3:51 AM
Subject: OPPOSITION TO: 1501 72nd Street North: Legistar File No. 21-335A
To: <robert.blackmon@stpete.org>, <Brandi.Gabbard@stpete.org>, <ed.montanari@stpete.org>, <darden.rice@stpete.org>, <deborah.figgs-sanders@stpete.org>, <Gina.Driscoll@stpete.org>, <lisa.wheeler-bowman@stpete.org>, <amy.foster@stpete.org>, <Janetclong@pinellascounty.org>, <Pgerard@pinellascounty.org>, <Cjustice@pinellascounty.org>, <Degger@pinellascounty.org>, <Kseel@pinellascounty.org>, <Kpeters@pinellascounty.org>, <Rflowers@pinellascounty.org>
Cc: <Linnie.randolph@stpete.org>, <kimberly.amos@stpete.org>, <Benjamin.Weil@stpete.org>, <Jayne.Ohlman@stpete.org>, <R2LONG@stpete.org>, <Bryan.Casanas-Scarsella@stpete.org>, <Kayleigh.Sagonowsky@stpete.org>, <Pgerard@pinellascounty.org>

All,

Thank you to Darden Rice and Ed Montanari for chairing the March 10 *Forward Pinellas* advisory meeting and for voting **down** a map amendment and rezoning request for the proposed development at **1501 72nd St No** along with Dave Eggers, Karen Williams Seel and Pat Gerard who spoke up about the need for greater target job numbers and wage levels under the proposed project. It was highly disappointing to hear elected District One official, Janet Long, laud ongoing remediation aspects and cite the several decades required to complete the process -- only to hear her vote "YES" despite the very real public health risks remaining!

As is obvious from below photos of the surrounding roads, mainly one- and two-lanes adjoining the

parcel, our community will be overwhelmed by a 150,000 sq ft regional commercial sports facility with 3.87 acre lagoon, 623 housing units, 17 Food Truck container park, and **1,719 parking spots for 7,886 projected daily vehicles**.

In order for remediation to continue to groundwater and soils, land MUST remain fallow so that 1,4 dioxanes, trichlorethene, dichlorethane, and vinyl chloride still testing at thousands-times levels in 31 surrounding well locations can be abated. June 2020 DEP reports of EPA tests indicate that, of 25 chemicals, ten exceed allowable limits where the lagoon is planned. **Some 5.4 million sq ft of 1,4 dioxane-contaminated soils and 1.8 million sq ft of trichlorethene contaminated soils remain in the immediate area.**

Many area residents are unaware of plans for this neighborhood as the City is only required to inform those within 300 linear feet of the project. **Residents of associations such as Azalea indicate a letter of endorsement was submitted without their knowledge or approval.**

Job creation is a minimal 80.5 over five years and per-capita wages of \$28,220 are far below the County's median income of \$48,901/year: 'target employment' is unfulfilled for this project and similar industrial sites cited by *Forward Pinellas* indicate 214 warehouse/distribution jobs and 463 industrial ones should be targeted for a parcel of this size.

Finally, our 22 taxpayer-supported community recreational facilities, including nine pools, and multiple schools in the immediate vicinity will be drowned out by this regional private/commercial facility to be embedded into our community.

For the above reasons, I will mobilize along with neighbors to fight this plan and all who vote for it. As an owner in St. Petersburg since 2018 and state resident since 2017, I had heard horror stories about Florida's lack of urban planning and attention to community development issues. Now I am witnessing it first hand and strongly urge state and local government to develop a better, longer-term plan for our area. We do not need another disaster on this site. This plan would usher traffic, noise, and an environmental/public health disaster into our midst while creating minimal employment. The only parties who stand to benefit are St. Pete's LLC, Porter Development, and their investors.

Regards,

Ellen Peterson
6908 Stonestrow Circle No. #10102
St. Petersburg, FL. 33710



From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: Raytheon (FLUM--60) development
Date: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 7:54:47 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrea Manzano <andi72668@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 6:29 PM
To: Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Cc: Legistar File No. 21-335A
Subject: Raytheon (FLUM--60) development

CAUTION:

This message has originated from Outside of the Organization. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

I live in the Seventieth Somerset condominiums for 11 years now and want it known that I am 100% against this monstrosity of the Raytheon (FLUM-60) development. My vote is NO NO NO OPPOSED OPPOSED OPPOSED!!!! This will bring so much disaster to our quiet neighborhood.
Thank you Cheryl Manzano

Sent from Andi's iPhone

From: [hillaryart McAllister](#)
To: [Eggers, Dave](#)
Subject: Fw: Thank you for your vote against proposed Raytheon FLUM-60 Site
Date: Monday, March 15, 2021 11:06:57 AM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

From: hillaryart McAllister
Sent: Monday, March 15, 2021 9:53 AM

Subject: Thank you for your vote against proposed Raytheon FLUM-60 Site

Dear Pinellas County Commissioner Chairman Eggers :

I'm writing as a concerned taxpayer who resides close by to the proposed Raytheon FLUM-60 Site. The developers are proposing this overly large development with no concern for the surrounding neighborhood homes and businesses.

As the site is very contaminated with dangerous chemicals the developers state that they will enclose the buildings that they plan to build. This does nothing to protect the surrounding residents and properties from contamination released in to the air and /underground water.

The developers seemed unconcerned in reference to the noise this development would cause. When asked about to these noise complaint concerns, we've been told "to contact the police". No mention of sound barriers.

There was no apparent security/safety/crime plan presented. There is an area allocated to have alcohol served with the food trucks. The location of this would be close to a children's playground, a school and the Pinellas Trail.

The enormous increase in traffic is not supported by the roads in the area with an anticipated almost 8,000 additional projected vehicles daily! Who knows if that counts the trips with the 600 + apartments they want to build.

There are many recreational facilities already available in our area which are tax-supported by our taxes:

The Azalea Recreation Center and playing fields, The Walter Fuller Park with swimming pool, tennis courts and recreational center just to name a few of these amenities.

Also, there are two commercial gyms already in the area.

Our present neighborhood businesses & mall need support not some located within this complex.

How could this be a viable project when they plan to charge \$25 dollars to use their "Outdoor

Amenity Area? If it goes bust what happens then?

This land amendment does meet the county guidelines for target employment, both in numbers of jobs (81 vs 240 to be target employment) and the wages are suppose to be above the mean Pinellas income at \$50K per year. The development will only bring part time and full-time jobs with salaries under \$28K per year. This is almost half of what it should be to qualify as target employment.

Most of us in the neighborhood total oppose this plan! It could lead to a decrease in our property values and an increase of traffic, noise and crime.

Amazon has also expressed interest in the site. This might offer more high paying jobs and many of the transportation is via electric cars/vans.

Thank you for voting against this proposal.

Sincerely,

Hillary McAllister
6923 Stonestrow Circle N
St. Petersburg, FL 33710

From: [Carpenter, Katherine](#)
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Subject: FW: We oppose the CW 21-06 Land Use Amendment to the Countrywide Plan Map
Date: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 12:01:17 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Udo Klumpp <klumppudo@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 11:53 AM
To: robert.blackmon@stpete.org; Brandi.Gabbard@stpete.org; ed.montanari@stpete.org; darden.rice@stpete.org; deborah.figgs-sanders@stpete.org; Gina.Driscoll@stpete.org; lisa.wheeler-bowman@stpete.org; amy.foster@stpete.org; Gerard, Pat <pgerard@co.pinellas.fl.us>; Justice, Charlie <cjustice@co.pinellas.fl.us>; Degger@pinellascounty.org; Seel, Karen <kseel@co.pinellas.fl.us>; Peters, Kathleen <kpeters@pinellascounty.org>; Robinson-Flowers, Rene <rflowers@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Cc: Carpenter, Katherine <kcarpenter@co.pinellas.fl.us>
Subject: We oppose the CW 21-06 Land Use Amendment to the Countrywide Plan Map

CAUTION:

This message has originated from Outside of the Organization. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council Members:

We own our place which is very close to this proposed development and oppose it.

There is so much traffic already with the Tyrone Mall. Also, our neighborhoods offers many parks, sport fields, swimming pools etc. which our tax dollars support.

Adding this over sized development with food/booze trucks, (close to a school and playground), over 600 apartments and outdoor area will add tremendously to the traffic, noise and crime and will do nothing to support our neighborhoods or the businesses already here.

Not to mention that the land contains highly toxic waste that would be released in the air and water!

We urge you to vote "NO" on CW 21-06!

Udo Klumpp
Stonestrow Circle N

From: [j USA](#)
To: [Seel, Karen](#); [j USA](#)
Subject: Fwd: Objection to the Proposed Raytheon Site Development
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 9:35:11 PM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Commissioner Seel,

I understand you voted against the redevelopment of the former Raytheon Site, thank you greatly. I wish to share my perspective on the matter in hopes of continuing to enjoy your support on this item.

Thank you in advance for your time.

Jessica
Begin forwarded message:

From: j USA <turtelady2001@hotmail.com>
Date: March 23, 2021 at 18:22:31 EDT
To: "Derek.kilborn@stpete.org"
<Derek.kilborn@stpete.org>, j USA
<turtelady2001@hotmail.com>
Subject: Objection to the Proposed Raytheon Site Development

Hello Derek,

I am being directed to share my thoughts to halt development towards you. Please let me know who to send to, if you are not the correct person.

My issues of concern are:

1
Chemical plume underground. The chemical readings from the DEP report dated 06/10/2020 are way over the limits for human exposure. The proposed buildings for the site are requiring vapor barriers to mitigate the chemicals coming from the ground.

2
Still no resolution for the surrounding neighborhoods that were built before the vapor barrier requirement and cannot be manipulated to install the vapor barriers. The chemicals in the ground can hang in the air for 2-3 days and may cause neurological issues and cancer in adults,

there are no studies on the effects on children.

3

31 sample locations exceed the DEP limits for 10 different chemicals.

Main chemicals: 1,4-dioxane: acceptable human exposure limit is 3.2ug/L, current level 8,800ug/L and covers 5.4 million sq. ft.

Trichloroethene: acceptable human exposure limit is 3ug/L, current level is 18,000ug/L and covers 1.8 million sq. ft.

4

The traffic is predicted to increase by almost 8,000 cars per day on the side streets of the Tyrone Square area. What are do you live in? Even side roads are congested. Major intersections - I will sit at a turn light for two cycles.

5

Schools not scaleable & able to support the influx. With 3 schools nearby, lots of children walk and bike to school around the proposed development.

6

There is no noise abatement plan proposed in the development. Pools like the lagoon attract groups of people for celebrations which will be noisy. Pools are noisy with kids playing in them and PA systems playing music. Hospitals have focused on how lower sounds assist in the recovery and healing process of patients. Along with the disruption to wildlife and vegetation from the increased sound pollution.

7

There is no change to the roadway infrastructure for the surrounding area, except on 66th Street (as you might know is currently under construction for median remodel).

8

Low tangible economic impact. Projected to created minimal jobs, at minimum wages.

9

Safety. The lagoon, sports complex and container park include alcohol sales, and there is no onsite security in the plans, only lifeguards for the lagoon.

Crime will increase; more people = more crime
As of Feb 5, 2021, the St Pete Police Dept. had not been notified of the project for future projection of community resources. How have we missed the boat and failed to bring all key stakeholders to the table? Was my homeowners association and Resource Officer's feedback sought?

10

No hurricane evacuation route updates to include

additional humans in the area. Increase in shelters or mitigation plans.

11

No documentation on ground water run-off during and after construction or the impact of this for surrounding residents of the proposed development.

Please reply, we are attending next Council meeting.

Jessica

“Be kind, for everyone you meet is fighting a hard battle”

Ian Maclaren

From: [Pinellas County Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller](#) on behalf of noreply@mypinellasclerk.org
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:27:16 PM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Live Form

Topic	Raytheon Redevelopment plan
BCC Agenda Date	4-13-2021
Your Stand on the Issue	Oppose
Comments	I strongly oppose the building of yet another recreational site when two others are nearby. I strongly oppose alcohol and concerts at this proposed site. I strongly oppose the additional traffic and noise pollution to my neighborhood. This lagoon is not environmentally friendly. It is not needed. I am a tax paying registered voter and homeo.
Citizen Name	MICHELE ANGERMEIER
Address	6800 29th Terrace N St Petersburg , FL 33710 United States
Phone	727-543 1055
Email	Mangermeier@charter.net

From: [Pinellas County Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller](#) on behalf of noreply@mypinellasclerk.org
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:30:38 PM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. **Do Not Click** on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Live Form

Topic	Flum 60 former Raytheon site
BCC Agenda Date	4-13-2021
Your Stand on the Issue	Oppose
Comments	I feel the proposed development of the former Raytheon site as proposed would be a huge detriment to the neighboring properties. The area cannot support the increased traffic anticipated & it does not appear to offer decent paying jobs. I'm also concerned with the environmental impacts due to the long history of this land.
Citizen Name	Elaine Stulic
Address	6736 28th Ave N St Petersburg, FL 33710 United States
Phone	727-302-9233
Email	laineykins@tampabay.rr.com

From: [Pinellas County Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller](mailto:noreply@mypinellasclerk.org) on behalf of noreply@mypinellasclerk.org
To: [Comments](#), [BCC Agenda](#)
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 4:39:51 PM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Live Form

Topic	Raytheon property development
BCC Agenda Date	4-13-2021
Your Stand on the Issue	Oppose
Comments	The development as proposed would adversely affect the peaceful enjoyment of the surrounding neighborhoods. The traffic is already very heavy with the shoppes at royals and construction of the new project American House Senior living community. The water treatment plant in the area is already taxed. New housing would only add to that burden. I am absolutely opposed to this development project.
Citizen Name	Mavis Wallace
Address	6697 11 Avenue North St. Petersburg , Fl 33710 United States
Phone	727-347-4448
Email	Mavis_wallace@yahoo.com

From: [Pinellas County Clerk of the Circuit Court and Comptroller](#) on behalf of noreply@mypinellasclerk.org
To: [Comments, BCC Agenda](#)
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:00:15 PM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization. Do Not Click** on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

Live Form

Topic Case No. CW 21-06

BCC Agenda Date 4-13-2021

Your Stand on the Issue Oppose

Comments I am absolutely opposed to this project, as is the majority of people who have chosen to submit their opinions to you. This project would completely change the fabric of a largely residential and park neighborhood into a commercial amusement park of sorts with low paying jobs. The traffic alone would create significant potential harm to this quiet and walkable neighborhood, never mind the noise and possible garbage pollution. There would likely also be an increase in crime as law enforcement would not be able to properly surveille the area on a frequent basis. The site should be utilized by companies with higher paying jobs, such as the recently lost Amazon opportunity. The original decline on this should not be overturned. The people have strongly presented their opposition and they need to be heard.

Citizen Name lynda bablin

Address 1514 70th street n
st petersburg, fl 33710
United States

Phone 518-796-4377

Email lynda.bablin@icloud.com

From: [Marianne Geffon](#)
To: [Gerard, Pat](#)
Subject: Old Raytheon location
Date: Thursday, March 11, 2021 6:39:36 AM

CAUTION: This message has originated from **Outside of the Organization**. Do Not Click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.

I would like to register my request to stop the proposed development at the old Raytheon location. I live 4 blocks away in the Azalea area
Marianne Geffon