

Johnson, Krista

From: Zoning, Planning
Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2022 8:58 AM
To: BCC Agenda Comments
Cc: Bailey, Glenn; Cord, Molly; McLaughlin, Karen
Subject: BCC Agenda Item 57 - Fed Case No. 8:22-cv-02012-VMC-CPT - BOAA Case TY2-22-11
Attachments: Capture 3002.PNG; Capture 3003.PNG; Capture 3004.PNG; Comment MKuzbyt BCC Fed Case Rcvd 12-6-22.pdf

Categories: SENT TO BOARD REPORTERS

Good Afternoon,

Attached please find Comments/Correspondence received for BCC Agenda Item 57 - Fed Case No. 8:22-cv-02012-VMC-CPT - BOAA Case TY2-22-11.

Thank you,
Renee Ruggiero
Planning & Zoning
Pinellas County Housing & Community Development
(727) 464-5086
rruggiero@pinellas.gov
zoning@pinellas.gov



Please visit Pinellas County Access Portal for applying for planning/zoning applications, online property and permit information, records search and many more by visiting: [Pinellas County Access Portal - Pinellas County](#)

To schedule a Pre-Application Meeting "Pre-App" please complete the form on the link: [Request a Site Plan Pre-Application Meeting - Pinellas County](#)

From: Michael Kuzbyt <mkuzbytj@tampabay.rr.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 6, 2022 1:24 PM
To: Zoning, Planning <zoning@pinellas.gov>
Subject: RE: Case No. TY2-22-11, Federal Lawsuite Case No. 8:22-cv-02012-VMC-CPT- Proposed Settlement Vertex Development LLC

CAUTION: *This message has originated from outside of the organization. **Do not** click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.*

I am totally opposed of the building of the cell site. The church wants to build a 100 foot tower 120 feet from my property line that borders the church property. I worked for GTE/Verizon for 30 years and an additional 15 years as a contractor. I have never seen any company want to place a cell site location so CLOSE to a large residential area.

Cell sites emits electro magnetic field radiation, that's why they are placed in heavily wooded areas because tree canopy's and vegetation help knock down the radiation and keep it away from residents. They are also placed on large farms for the same reason. As you get closer to towns and need more signal strength you place them around commercial areas or on top of large buildings where people only have to put up with EMF for eight hours.

The safest distance to live from a cell site per the internet is 400 meters, 1312 feet. They want to place a 100 foot tower 36 meters from my house. I have attached pictures of how close this tower would be to my home.

I have lived in this neighborhood for 24 years, it has been very quite and peaceful. What kind of noise level will we be dealing with if this tower gets approved. If we loose power for a week or more, will generators be brought in to run the tower causing more noise for the residence?

There are two types of people EMF causes problems for the most, they are elderly and young children. It effects cognitive ability in the elderly and young children have under developed brains and thinner skulls that EMF radiation can penetrate their skulls causing brain damage.

So to sum it up, the church wants to build a 100 foot tower directly behind the back of my house that will not only DEPRECIATE my property value by 20 to 30%, it causes health problems, and also has the potential to give the children in my neighborhood brain damage or leukemia. On top of all this, the church is running a day care and kindergarten which the children would be playing 50 feet from this tower. Does the church care about the children of their own congregation?

Most people are bombarded with EMF where they work but get to go home for 16 hours and have a chance to get away from it. If you approve this tower, people within 400 meters of the tower will never get a break from the EMF. We will be bombarded with it 24/7/365, especially affecting us during our eight hours of sleep. We will get no relief. The church is only building this tower to collect revenues from cell companies that will hang devices on this tower. The church does not care about anything else.

Please DO NOT APPROVE this permit, it is only a big win for the church and a big loss for everyone else within 400 meters of this site. The church has no right to DEPRECIATE the value of our property. If better cell strength is needed, the tower could be built in Walsingham Park where it would be surrounded by trees and let Pinellas County collect the revenues.

Per the internet, after a cell site is built, an additional 20 feet can be added without having to get approval or having any new hearings. Is this true?

Respectively yours,

Michael Kuzbyt Jr.

Mkuzbytj@tampabay.rr.com

14141 111th Terrace

Largo, FL 33774

772-631-5518





From: Michael Kuzbyt <mkuzbytj@tampabay.rr.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 6, 2022 1:24 PM
To: Zoning, Planning
Subject: RE: Case No. TY2-22-11, Federal Lawsuite Case No. 8:22-cv-02012-VMC-CPT- Proposed Settlement Vertex Development LLC
Attachments: Capture 3002.PNG; Capture 3003.PNG; Capture 3004.PNG

CAUTION: *This message has originated from outside of the organization. **Do not** click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.*

I am totally opposed of the building of the cell site. The church wants to build a 100 foot tower 120 feet from my property line that borders the church property. I worked for GTE/Verizon for 30 years and an additional 15 years as a contractor. I have never seen any company want to place a cell site location so CLOSE to a large residential area.

Cell sites emits electro magnetic field radiation, that's why they are placed in heavily wooded areas because tree canopy's and vegetation help knock down the radiation and keep it away from residents. They are also placed on large farms for the same reason. As you get closer to towns and need more signal strength you place them around commercial areas or on top of large buildings where people only have to put up with EMF for eight hours.

The safest distance to live from a cell site per the internet is 400 meters, 1312 feet. They want to place a 100 foot tower 36 meters from my house. I have attached pictures of how close this tower would be to my home.

I have lived in this neighborhood for 24 years, it has been very quite and peaceful. What kind of noise level will we be dealing with if this tower gets approved. If we loose power for a week or more, will generators be brought in to run the tower causing more noise for the residence?

There are two types of people EMF causes problems for the most, they are elderly and young children. It effects cognitive ability in the elderly and young children have under developed brains and thinner skulls that EMF radiation can penetrate their skulls causing brain damage.

So to sum it up, the church wants to build a 100 foot tower directly behind the back of my house that will not only DEPRECIATE my property value by 20 to 30%, it causes health problems, and also has the potential to give the children in my neighborhood brain damage or leukemia. On top of all this, the church is running a day care and kindergarten which the children would be playing 50 feet from this tower. Does the church care about the children of their own congregation?

Most people are bombarded with EMF where they work but get to go home for 16 hours and have a chance to get away from it. If you approve this tower, people within 400 meters of the tower will never get a break from the EMF. We will be bombarded with it 24/7/365, especially affecting us during our eight hours of

sleep. We will get no relief. The church is only building this tower to collect revenues from cell companies that will hang devices on this tower. The church does not care about anything else.

Please DO NOT APPROVE this permit, it is only a big win for the church and a big loss for everyone else within 400 meters of this site. The church has no right to DEPRECIATE the value of our property. If better cell strength is needed, the tower could be built in Walsingham Park where it would be surrounded by trees and let Pinellas County collect the revenues.

Per the internet, after a cell site is built, an additional 20 feet can be added without having to get approval or having any new hearings. Is this true?

Respectively yours,

Michael Kuzbyt Jr.
Mkuzbytj@tampabay.rr.com
14141 111th Terrace
Largo, FL 33774
772-631-5518







Johnson, Krista

From: Ruggiero, Renee M
Sent: Monday, December 5, 2022 3:37 PM
To: BCC Agenda Comments
Subject: BCC Agenda Item 57 - Fed Case No. 8:22-cv-02012-VMC-CPT - BOAA Case TY2-22-11
Attachments: Comment JTurney BCC Fed Case Rcvd 12-5-22.pdf

Categories: SENT TO BOARD REPORTERS

Good Afternoon,

Attached please find Comments/Correspondence received for BCC Agenda Item 57 - Fed Case No. 8:22-cv-02012-VMC-CPT - BOAA Case TY2-22-11.

Thank you,
Renee Ruggiero
Planning & Zoning
Pinellas County Housing & Community Development
(727) 464-5086
rruggiero@pinellas.gov
zoning@pinellas.gov



Please visit Pinellas County Access Portal for applying for planning/zoning applications, online property and permit information, records search and many more by visiting: [Pinellas County Access Portal - Pinellas County](#)

To schedule a Pre-Application Meeting "Pre-App" please complete the form on the link: [Request a Site Plan Pre-Application Meeting - Pinellas County](#)

Ruggiero, Renee M

From: Jennifer Turney <superjtt@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 4, 2022 1:35 PM
To: Zoning, Planning
Subject: Fwd: Reference # TY2-22-00011

CAUTION: *This message has originated from outside of the organization. **Do not** click on links or open attachments unless you are expecting the correspondence from the sender and know the content is safe.*

I am responding to notification received Nov. 30, 2022 informing of a hearing on Dec 13, 2022 to revisit the request of a now 100 foot tall camouflaged communication tower on the property at 11220 Oakhurst Rd in Largo. I continue to ADAMANTLY OPPOSE this construction. In addition to the reasons I listed in previous communication (see below) I think this would adversely affect the property values in our neighborhood.

Please convey this opposition at the meeting. Due to employment it is doubtful I will be able to attend.

Jennifer Turney

Ph: 727-265-0930

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Turney <jenniferturney@empathhealth.org>
Date: July 19, 2022 at 10:43:56 AM EDT
To: zoning@pinellascounty.org
Cc: Jennifer J Turney <superjtt@yahoo.com>
Subject: Reference # TY2-22-00011

July 19, 2022

From:

Jennifer Turney

14280 Apache Ave

Largo, FL 33774

superjtt@yahoo.com Phone: 727-265-0930

To:

Pinellas County Housing and Community Development/Zoning Section

Re: Case No. TY2-22-11

Christ the King Lutheran Church Inc. Largo, FL Applicant

Alan Ruiz, Vertex Development LLC, Representative

With this communication I wish to express my strong opposition to the proposal that would allow for the construction of a 120-foot-tall camouflaged (cross-shaped) communications (cellular) tower on the property of Christ the King Lutheran Church. I reside and own my home within ¼ mile of this location. I am unable to attend a hearing regarding this matter on August 3, 2022 due to my employment so am sharing my view via email and fax. My thoughts are that:

Such a tower is visually offensive in a neighborhood setting. It would be an “eyesore.”

Any religious iconography of this size could be/is disrespectful and potentially intimidating to people of other cultures or religions.

The unknown health risks of EMF radiation emissions are concerning.

I very much hope that this construction will not be permitted.

Jennifer Turney

Personal email is superjtt@yahoo.com