

THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

This Third Amendment to the Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter “Amendment 3”) is made and entered into on the date last executed below (“Effective Date”), by and between PINELLAS COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, (hereinafter “County”), and the SCHOOL BOARD OF PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA (hereinafter “School Board”) by and through the Superintendent of Schools.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties previously entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated February 9, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the parties previously identified revised specifications and provisions appropriate to the definition of work funded pursuant to the MOU; and entered into an Amendment dated April 9, 2024 (“Amendment 1”), and an Amendment dated May 20, 2025 (“Amendment 2”); and

WHEREAS, the parties have identified additional revised specifications and provisions appropriate to the definition of work funded pursuant to the MOU; and

WHEREAS, the amount of funding allowed for by the MOU must be increased to accommodate changes as described herein; and

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual covenants, terms and conditions herein set forth, the parties agree that the MOU is amended as follows:

Section 1. The scope of projects eligible for funding as provided in Amendment 2 is amended to include the specifications and provisions described in Exhibit A2, and deliverables as described in Exhibit B2, both attached hereto, and incorporated by reference.

Section 2. Collectively, the MOU, Amendment 1, and Amendment 2 authorized a not to exceed amount of \$5,500,000. This Amendment 3 increases the not to exceed amount of authorized funding to \$6,000,000.

Section 3. Except as expressly modified herein, terms and conditions of the MOU and any amendments thereto remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties have caused this Third Amendment to be executed by their undersigned officials, who are duly authorized to bind the Parties to this amendment.

PINELLAS COUNTY, by and through
Its Board of County Commissioners

SCHOOL BOARD OF PINELLAS
COUNTY, FLORIDA

By: _____
, Chairman

By: _____
, Superintendent

Date: _____

WITNESS:

WITNESS:

By: _____

By: _____

Name/Title: _____

Name/Title: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: Keiah Townsend
Office of the County Attorney

Exhibit A2

School Sheltering Projects

The shelter mitigation projects consist of two types of projects: Exeter Screen Replacement and Facility Hardening projects. Ten schools were looked at for screen replacement, eight were selected, and four schools were looked at for mitigation items.

Overall, increases in labor and supply have considerably increased the final cost of completing all the hardening projects.

- Initial estimation of costs: \$1,568,373.00
- 1st amendment estimation of costs: \$4,386,008.00
- 2nd amendment estimation of cost; includes increases to steel: \$ 5,323,041.
- 3rd amendment estimation of cost \$5,923,041

Exeter Screen Replacement Projects Using Stainless Steel Screens with a 20 Year Warranty (\$5,787,216):

1. **Joseph L. Carwise Middle School**
 - a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 20 Doors, 12 Louvers, and 109 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 5 and 6.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$656,438.
2. **Clearwater Fundamental Middle School**
 - a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 28 Doors, 12 Louvers, and 47 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Building 1.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$503,754.
3. **Fairmount Elementary School**
 - a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$567,544.
4. **John Hopkins Middle School**
 - a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 20 Doors, 12 Louvers, and 109 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 3, 4, 5 and 6.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$514,902.
5. **McMullen Booth Elementary School**
 - a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
 - b. The quote is approximately \$567,544.
6. **Palm Harbor University High School**
 - a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 5 Doors, 2 Louvers, and 65 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 5 and 11.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$379,350.
7. **James B. Sanderlin K-8 School**
 - a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$567,544.
8. **John M. Sexton Elementary School**

- a. The project is the replacement of all screens covering 21 Doors, 4 Louvers, and 46 Windows, including Egress Operable Windows (fire exit) for Buildings 4 and 5.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$567,544.
- 9. **East Lake High School**
 - a. This school was removed from the original project list due to being in a Storm Surge zone.
- 10. **Screen Replacement Architectural and Structural Professional Services Fee**
 - a. The quote is for approximately \$282,000
- 11. **Campbell Park Elementary**
 - a. Harden the window openings for Buildings 4 and 5.
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$600,000 including A/E design fees

School Hardening Projects (\$716,219):

- 1. **Palm Harbor University High School Gym**
 - a. Harden the window openings over the gym floor with Window Glazing.
 - b. The project was completed in the summer of 2022 for \$38,200, including design fees.
- 2. **Palm Harbor Middle School**
 - a. Furnish and install a Double Door sequence with panic devices to maintain egress and provide hurricane protection for the corridor during a sheltering operation.
 - b. The materials and labor were \$56,625 and design fees were \$16,549.
 - c. Project is pending final inspection and pending invoicing
- 3. **Gibbs High School**
 - a. This project was removed in agreement with the School Board Administration upon completion of feasibility study.
- 4. **John Hopkins Middle School**
 - a. To furnish and install hurricane protection hardening for buildings 3 and 4, additional structural work is needed including a door replacement, and electrical service for the exterior roll-down screens
 - b. The quote is for approximately \$580,394, including design fees. (Price decreased due to the window screens being included in the Exeter Screen quote.)
- 5. **Feasibility study** for Gibbs and John Hopkins Middle School Auditorium for possible hardening. \$41,000.

Exhibit B2
Scope of Work
Capital Improvement Project
Emergency Sheltering (004180A)

I. SCOPE OF WORK

- A. The identified building(s) below have been designated by the County emergency management agency as a potential public hurricane evacuation shelter wind retrofit project. Eligible costs are limited to costs associated with the retrofit/modification of the existing structures, as specifically mentioned in "Exhibit A2" and this scope-of-work.
- B. Upon completion of this scope-of-work, at a minimum, the Building(s) shall be deemed to meet the prescribed minimum safety standards of the State Division of Emergency Management's Least Risk Decision Making (LRDM) matrix (Table 3) in the preferred or less preferred column.
- C. At a minimum, all products prescribed shall meet the wind load design requirements of ASCE 7, Risk Category IV and Exposure Category "C" (unsheltered exposure). Also, all products prescribed shall have been tested and passed the large missile impact test procedures of ASTM E 1886 and ASTM E 1996, or Florida Building Code (High Velocity Hurricane Zone/Miami-Dade) Testing Protocols TAS 201, TAS 202 and TAS 203.
- D. It is understood and agreed by the County and the School Board that the Building(s) may have vulnerabilities due to age, design and location which may result in damage to the building from high wind events even after the completion of the mitigation measures prescribed under this Agreement. It is further understood and agreed by the County and the School Board that the level of wind protection provided by the mitigation action, although meeting State codes and standards and enhancing the structural integrity of the Building(s), does not ensure the safety or survival of building occupants.

II. DELIVERABLES

- A. The School Board shall prepare and submit a final timeline with key milestone activities/tasks, schedule, including start and estimated end dates for each activity. Table 1, Revised Timeline, may be altered to meet this task product.
- B. The School Board shall provide the County with a copy of accepted vendor bid form(s) or other procurement procedure documentation to show compliance with procurement policies.
- C. The School Board shall provide the County with copies of large missile impact envelope protective system or product test certifications, reports or Notices of Acceptance. Documentation shall demonstrate that the system(s) and product(s) meet the large missile impact performance requirements as defined in Table 3 of this scope-of-work.
- D. If applicable, the School Board shall provide the County with copy of pertinent construction and regulatory permits, detailed construction schedule, observation/inspection reports (if any), certificate of completion (or written acceptance of completed work by building official), and photographs documenting pre-construction conditions and post-construction completed work.
- E. The final closeout report shall provide a breakdown of actual funds used for each building, and include the total amount of funds the School Board received for this project under the Agreement, and the balance of unused funds, if any, that will not be used by the School Board for this project Agreement and may be de-obligated from this project Agreement by the County.

III. PAYMENT SCHEDULE

- A. The Payment schedule for school hardening projects is designed to synchronize with work completion invoicing milestones for the varied hardening projects aligning with vendor invoicing and subsequent payments by the school board to contractors. Recognizing that each project may entail unique requirements, this payment schedule is adaptable to the specific timeline of each project. This flexibility ensures that the school board can receive timely payments for funds expended.
- B. This logical progression ensures a transparent and efficient payment process aligning with project milestones and allowing for adaptability to the unique dynamics of each school hardening initiative.
- C. The payment process follows a structured path:
 - 1. Payments are contingent upon the school board's receipt of an invoice and payment to the contractor.
 - 2. The School Board shall submit vendor invoices, accompanied by payment records to the contractor(s), to the County for payment processing.
 - 3. The County recognizes that all invoices accompanied by School Board proof of payment to the contractor shall be payable on demand.

4. In cases where applicable, the County may conduct a comprehensive inspection of the completed work. Upon successful verification, the County will initiate the payment process through established processes.

Table 1 Revised Timeline			
PROJECT PHASE/ ACTIVITY	Start Date	End Date	Funding Source
Original Board Contract Approval	02/09/2021	03/23/2021	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas
Environmental Review	04/01/2021	05/01/2021	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas
Engineering / Electrical & Building Design	05/01/2021	10/01/2021	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas
First Amendment	12/19/2023	04/12/2023	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas
Competitive Contractor Bids & Contracts	04/15/2024	05/31/2024	Capital Improvement Funds- Penny for Pinellas
Second Amendment	04/01/2025	05/30/2025	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas
Third Amendment	12/01/2025	01/15/2026	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas
Construction Phase	06/01/2025	06/30/2027	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas
Post Construction Auditing & Reporting	7/30/2027	10/30/2027	Capital Improvement Funds – Penny for Pinellas

Table 2
Pinellas County School Board List of Projects and Estimates

Site Names	Original Description of Work	2023 Description of Work	Initial Estimate (Galvanneal Steel)	2023 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2025 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2026 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	Gen Population Risk Capacity Maintained (20 sq. ft.)	Genl Population Risk Capacity Gained (20 sq. ft.)	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Maintained (60 sq. ft.)	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Gained (60 sq. ft.)
Joseph L. Carwise Middle School - Second Floor Screens Existing	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 51 openings to harden 25 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Buildings 5 and 6. 109 Windows, 20 Doors, and 12 Louvers.	\$339,195	\$496,000	\$656,438		1967	NA	NA	NA
East Lake High School - Removed - In Surge Zone	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 31 openings to harden 22 classrooms.		\$306,478							
Clearwater Fundamental MS - School added with Screens not Previously Included	NA	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Building 1. 47 Windows, 28 Doors and 12 Louvers.	NA	\$422,320	\$508,754		1557	NA	NA	NA
Fairmount Elementary School - Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 6 openings to harden 6 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Buildings 4 and 5. 46 Windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$78,359	\$415,200	\$587,544		662	600	NA	NA
John Hopkins Middle School - Screens and Building Hardening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 11 openings to harden 3 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, including Egress Operable Windows. Buildings 5 and 6. 2025 Updates are focused on buildings 3 & 4 only. For 109 windows, 20 Doors, and 12 Louvers.	\$59,499	\$495,000	\$514,902		NA	NA	758	691
	Furnish and install hurricane protection harden buildings 2, 3 and 4. Labor and materials associated with the electrical requirements needed for this location	Furnish and install hurricane protection harden buildings 2, 3 and 4. Labor and materials associated with the electrical requirements needed for this location. Including design fees.	\$140,370	\$725,481	\$580,384					

Table 2
Pinellas County School Board List of Projects and Estimates

Site Names	Original Description of Work	2023 Description of Work	Initial Estimate (Galvanized Steel)	2023 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2025 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2026 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	Gen Population Risk Capacity Maintained (20 sq. ft.)	Genl Population Risk Capacity Gained (20 sq. ft.)	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Maintained (60 sq. ft.)	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Gained (60 sq. ft.)
McMullen Booth Elementary School - Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 7 openings to harden 7 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, Including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 4 and 5. 46 windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$89,587	\$411,520	\$567,544		805	800	NA	NA
Palm Harbor University High School	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 43 openings to harden 15 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, Including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 5 and 11. 65 windows, 5 Doors, and 2 Louvers.	\$144,343	\$272,360	\$379,350		1883	NA	NA	NA
	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 16 openings to harden the gymnasium for Pet Sheltering - <i>Work Completed</i>	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 16 openings to harden the gymnasium for Pet Sheltering - <i>Work Completed</i>	\$33,000	\$38,100	\$38,100		NA	Adds space for 800 Pets	NA	NA
James B. Sanderlin K-8 School - Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 19 openings to harden 12 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, Including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 4 and 5. 46 windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$146,119	\$411,520	\$567,544		679	600	NA	NA
John M. Sexton Elementary School - Adding Second Floor Screening	Furnish and install hurricane protection for 6 openings to harden 6 classrooms.	Direct Replacement of all Screens covering Doors, Louvers & Windows, Including Egress Operable Windows, Buildings 4 and 5. 46 windows, 21 Doors, and 4 Louvers.	\$77,470	\$411,520	\$567,544		667	600	NA	NA

Table 2
Pinellas County School Board List of Projects and Estimates

Site Names	Original Description of Work	2023 Description of Work	Initial Estimate (Galvanized Steel)	2023 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2025 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	2026 Estimate (Stainless Steel)	Gen Population Risk Capacity Maintained (20 sq. ft.)	Gen Population Risk Capacity Gained (20 sq. ft.)	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Maintained (60 sq. ft.)	Special Needs Population Risk Capacity Gained (60 sq. ft.)
Gibbs High School (Removed in Agreement with JCHS/HS)	Furnish and install hurricane protection to the first and second levels of the building 2 corner hubs. Labor and materials associated with the electrical requirements needed for this location	Furnish and install hurricane protection to the first and second levels of the building 2 corner hubs. Labor and materials associated with the electrical requirements needed for this location	\$118,800						NA	NA
		A/E Design Fees	\$31,400							
Palm Harbor Middle School	Furnish and install hurricane protection for the opening in the building 1 hallway.	Furnish and install hurricane protection for the opening in the building 1 hallway.	\$3,882	\$87,000	\$96,625		1457	NA	NA	NA
		A/E Design Fees	Not included at the Time	\$16,549	\$16,349		NA	NA	NA	NA
Projects Analysis (completed work)		Feasibility study for Gibbs and John Hopkins Auditorium hardening	Not included at the Time	\$41,000	\$41,000		NA	NA	NA	NA
2023 Exeter Screen A/E Design Fee	NA	A/E Design Fees	Not Included at the Time	\$272,126	\$282,202		NA	NA	NA	NA
Campbell Park Elementary	NA	New Project Identified in August 2025: Furnish and install hurricane protection for buildings 4 and 5 both floors, including A/E design fees	Not Included at the Time	Not Included at the Time	Not Included at the Time	\$600,000	1600	NA	NA	NA
		All Projects Totals	\$1,568,379	\$4,436,996	\$5,823,041	\$5,923,041	11,077	3,600	738	691
	143 openings	725 openings included second floors, doors and louvers			Increase in sq ft by 2,801					
		Screen Projects		\$3,523,766	\$4,686,822					
		Square Ft Install Rate		\$86.25	\$98.73			14.47%		
		Hardening Projects		\$808,230	\$716,219			-21.14%		

Table 3 - State of Florida Least-Risk Decision Making: Hurricane Evacuation Shelter Prescriptive Summary Guidance

Criteria	Revised Rankings		
	Preferred	Less Preferred / Marginal	Further Investigation / Mitigation Required
1. Storm Surge Inundation For building's located in storm surge hazard evacuation zones, provide the building's finished floor elevation (FFE) as shown on construction documents, site survey or other reliable source. Provide the FFE of all floors if the building is multistory. Confirm reliability of the given FFE value(s) by comparison to the applicable site elevation shown on USGS or other authoritative topographic map products.	- Building is located outside a maximum hurricane storm surge inundation zone - Building is not subject to isolation due to a maximum hurricane storm surge inundation event - Shelter floor FFE is not subject to a maximum storm surge inundation; for comparison, reference the most recent Sea Lake Overland Surge from Hurricane (SLOSH) Maximum of Maximum (MOM) still-water inundation depth	- Building is located inside a near maximum hurricane storm surge inundation zone, and is subject to inundation by a maximum storm surge event - Shelter floor FFE is potentially subject to a storm surge inundation of up to one (1) foot; for comparison, reference the most recent SLOSH MOM still-water inundation depth - Building is subject to isolation due to a maximum storm surge inundation event	- Building is located inside a hurricane storm surge inundation zone, and subject to inundation from a maximum storm surge event - Shelter floor is potentially subject to a storm surge inundation in excess of one (1) foot; for comparison, reference the most recent SLOSH MOM still-water inundation depth - Avoid basements if there is any chance of flooding - Avoid buildings located on coastal barrier islands

2. Rainfall Flooding / Dam Safety For building's located in storm surge evacuation zones, provide the building's finished floor elevation (FFE) as shown on construction documents, site survey or other reliable source. Provide the FFE of all floors of multistory buildings. Confirm reliability of the given FFE value(s) by comparison to the applicable site elevation shown on USGS or other authoritative topographic map products. Documentation must include FEMA Flood Map # and revision date.	- Building is located outside of 500-year floodplain - Building is located in Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Zone C or X (unshaded) area - Building is not subject to isolation due to 100-year flood event (1% annual chance of being equaled or exceeded) - Building is not subject to flooding or isolation due to dam or reservoir containment failure	- Building is located within the 500-year floodplain - Shelter building is located in FIRM Zone B or X (shaded) area - Shelter floor FFE is less than two (2) feet above the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) of the most recent FIRM or Flood Insurance Study (FIS) - Building is subject to isolation due to 100-year flood event - Building is subject to isolation due to dam or reservoir containment failure	- Building is located within the 100-year floodplain - Shelter floor is below the BFE of the most recent FIRM - Shelter building is located in FIRM Zones V and AH - Avoid basements if there is any chance of flooding - Building is subject to velocity flooding and/or still-water inundation due to dam or reservoir containment failure
--	--	--	--

3. Hazmat and Nuclear Power Plant Considerations * Always coordinate level of risk from hazmat facility to shelter with Local Emergency Planning Council (LEPC) and local Emergency Management (EM). LEPC and Local EM can assist in determining the suitability of a potential hurricane evacuation shelter or determine precautionary zones (safe distances) for facilities near potential shelters that manufacture, use or store hazardous materials.	- Building that does not store certain reportable types or quantities of hazardous materials - Building that is not located within a precautionary zone for facilities that manufacture, use or store hazardous materials - Building is not located within the ten-mile emergency planning zone (EPZ) of a nuclear power plant	- Building that stores certain reportable types or quantities of hazardous materials, or Building is located within a precautionary zone for facilities that manufacture, use or store hazardous materials; and -the hazardous materials facility has been reviewed by LEPC & EM and precautions deemed adequate* - Building is located within the ten-mile EPZ of a nuclear power plant but mitigating procedures have been implemented per LEPC and local EM	- Building that stores certain reportable types or quantities of hazardous materials, or Building that is located within a precautionary zone for facilities that manufacture, use or store hazardous materials, and - The hazardous material facility has not been reviewed by LEPC & EM or such review finds precautions inadequate* - Building is located within the ten-mile EPZ of a nuclear power plant, but no mitigating procedures per LEPC and local EM
4. Lay-down Hazard Exposure	- Buildings not exposed to very large/heavy trees or structures	- Buildings exposed to very large/heavy trees or structures that	- Buildings exposed to very large/heavy trees or structures that could cause destructive collapse or

12 inch diameter or larger trees may be sufficient to cause lay-down damage to buildings.	that could cause destructive collapse or lay-down impact damage (i.e., envelope breach) - Buildings whose access routes are not tree-lined	could collapse or lay-down and cause minor impact damage, but not considered sufficient to cause significant envelope breach - Buildings whose access routes are tree-lined, and appropriate mitigating measures are available (e.g., isolation plan in-place, road debris clearance plan in-place, etc.)	lay-down impact damage, sufficient to cause significant envelope breach and/or crushing injuries to shelter occupants, and problem not mitigated - Buildings whose access routes are tree-lined, and no mitigating measures available.
5. Wind and Debris Exposure Note: If a source of heavy/massive windborne or falling debris is present, recommend roof and walls be constructed of top & bottom layered reinforced 9-inch or thicker cast-in-place concrete	- Buildings located in areas that are sheltered/protected from strong winds - Urban and suburban areas, wooded areas, or other terrain with numerous closely spaced obstructions having the size of single family dwellings or larger	- Buildings located in areas subject to strong over-land non-coastal wind effects - Relatively flat open terrain with scattered obstructions having heights generally less than 30 feet above grade for a distance of at least a quarter mile (1,500 feet) - Building surroundings can be described as ASCE 7 Exposure C	- Buildings located in areas subject to strong coastal wind effects - Relatively flat, unobstructed areas exposed to wind flowing over hurricane coastal shoreline, and/or open water for a distance of at least one (1) mile - Building surroundings can be described as ASCE 7 Exposure D

	- Building surroundings can be described as ASCE 7 Exposures A and B - Buildings located more than one (1) mile from a hurricane coastline - No significant sources of small, large, very large/heavy lay-down, roll-over, and/or falling debris sources within 150 feet of shelter building's perimeter	- Buildings located within one (1) mile of hurricane coastline but with mitigating measure (e.g., modern wind design, such as ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7-88 or more recent editions) - Significant sources of small and large debris are present within 150 feet, and/or very large/heavy lay-down, roll-over, or falling debris sources within 150 feet of shelter building's perimeter, but with mitigating factor(s)	- Buildings located within one (1) mile of hurricane coastline and with no mitigating measure - Significant sources of small and large debris within 150 feet, and/or very large/heavy lay-down, roll-over, or falling debris sources are present within 150 feet of shelter building's perimeter, and with no mitigating factor(s)
6. Wind Design Additional preference may be given to buildings designed and constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and IBC and FBC equivalents,	- Certification by a licensed structural engineer to be capable of withstanding wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV ($I \geq 1.10$) or Risk Category III or IV - Documentation affirms building designed by a licensed	Documentation affirms building designed and constructed to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC and FBC, Occupancy ($I=1.00$) or Risk Category II - Documentation affirms building designed and constructed to SBC-1988 and MBMA (1986) or more recent editions, or similar wind load	- Non-engineered or partially engineered structures - Light or ordinary construction buildings designed to: - Pre-ANSI A58.1-1982 wind design standard; - Pre-SBC 1988 or other similar model code wind design; or - Pre-1986 MBMA wind design

Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.15) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or guidance (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA P-361)	structural engineer and specifies wind design as ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I ≥ 1.10) or Risk Category III or IV - Massive structures or other special facilities, such as nuclear fallout shelter bunkers; e.g., roof dead load ≥ 200 psf and exterior walls ≥ 16-inch reinforced concrete or earthen bermed.	codes or standards, Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) - Documentation affirms building designed by a licensed structural engineer and specifies wind design as SBC-1988, MBMA-1986 or more recent editions, or other similar wind design standards or model codes (e.g., SFBC); building must also meet other established hurricane shelter safety criteria prescribed in ARC 4496, Rev. January 2002 - Modern wind design by other than a licensed structural engineer, but approved and building permit issued by local AHJ - Engineered heavy concrete or steel construction facility with reinforced concrete roof (i.e., a self-weight of 35 psf or greater) <u>and</u> designed to ASA/ANSI A58.1-1955 wind standard, or 1961 or	
--	---	---	--

		more recent model codes and revisions; must also meet other established hurricane shelter safety criteria prescribed in ARC 4496, Rev. 2002	
7. Construction Type / Definable Continuous Loadpath Note: Unless otherwise indicated, assume masonry wall systems are 8 inch nominal thickness hollow concrete masonry units (CMU) with running bond, type M or S mortar, and continuous horizontal joint reinforcement spaced every 16 inches vertically; structural concrete grout fill required in every vertically reinforced cell; intermediate	- Heavy steel or reinforced concrete skeletal frame buildings - 4-inch or thicker precast tilt-up reinforced concrete wall bearing structures - 8-inch or thicker reinforced masonry (typical max. vert. rebar spaced @ 4-feet o.c. or less) or cast-in-place reinforced concrete (typical rebar spacing is 18-inches o.c. or less each way) wall-bearing buildings - ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I $\geq$ 1.10) and Risk Category III or IV certified or documented	- Light steel or glulam wood skeletal frame building - ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy or Risk Category III or IV certified or documented buildings that do exceed 60 feet in height above grade - ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) or Risk Category II certified or documented buildings regardless of height above grade - Post-1986 Pre-engineered Metal Buildings designed and constructed to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC	- All partially engineered (a.k.a., marginally engineered) or non-engineered structures; example: light steel frame w/ unreinforced masonry infill walls - 8 to 12-inch load-bearing unreinforced masonry walls that exceeds reinforcement spacing described as Less Preferred/Marginal - Partially engineered or non-engineered light wood or metal-stud wall-bearing building - Pre-engineered (steel prefabricated) metal buildings built before the mid-1980s

bondbeam(s) recommended for walls that are 13.5 feet in height or greater; stack bond rebar spacings are half those of running bond (e.g., 2 feet o.c. rebar instead of 4 feet for preferred ranking) Additional preference may be given to buildings designed and constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.15) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or guideline (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA 361)	buildings that do not exceed 60 feet in height above grade - Pre-engineered Metal Building hybrids with certified or documented wind design to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7 and IBC and FBC equivalents, and Occupancy or Risk Category III or IV - Massive structures or other special facilities, such as nuclear fallout shelter bunkers; e.g., roof dead load ≥ 200 psf and exterior walls ≥ 16-inch reinforced concrete or earthen bermed.	equivalents, Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) or Risk Category II, or model codes, such as MBMA or SBC; bracing present in both wall and roof planes - 8-inch partially reinforced masonry (typical maximum vertical rebar spaced up to @ 4.67 to 8-feet o.c.), or 12-inch partially reinforced masonry (typical max. vert. rebar spaced up to 11-feet o.c.) or precast reinforced concrete panel wall-bearing building - Masonry wall-bearing systems equivalent to partially reinforced masonry (for 8-inch CMU with typical tie-column/pilaster and tie-beam spacing no greater than 13.5-feet o.c. or 12-inch CMU with typical tie-column/pilaster and tie-beam spacing up to 16-feet o.c.) - Engineered light wood or metal-stud wall-bearing buildings	
--	---	---	--

8. Building Condition / Wind Damage History	- Building is in good condition with no observable or known structural or cladding deterioration - Building or interior shelter core area (if applicable) is approximately as sound as it was when new	- Building or interior shelter core area (if applicable) has minor structural and/or cladding deterioration; deterioration does not appear to significantly jeopardize wind-resistance	- Building or interior shelter core area (if applicable) has major deterioration of structural and/or cladding components and assemblies; deterioration appears to significantly affect wind-resistance
9. Exterior Wall Construction - Adequate protection means building exterior walls are capable of resisting wind loads and penetration by large windborne debris missile impacts. - Minimum preferred large missile impact criteria means	- 4-inches or thicker reinforced concrete wall panel (rebar spacing is 12-inches o.c. or less each way, or wire-welded mesh reinforcement) - 8-inch or thicker reinforced masonry (typical maximum vertical rebar spaced @ 4-feet o.c or less) with or without masonry or stucco veneer (anchored @ 24-inch o.c. maximum each way)	- 2 to 3.9-inches of reinforced concrete wall panel (rebar spacing is 18 inches o.c. or less, or wire-welded mesh reinforced) - 8-inch partially reinforced masonry with typical maximum vertical rebar spaced @ 4.67 to 8-feet o.c., or 12-inch partially reinforced masonry with typical maximum vertical rebar spaced @ 4.67 to 11-feet o.c.; with or without veneer (anchored @ 24-inches o.c. maximum each way)	- 1.9-inches or thinner reinforced concrete wall panel, or rebar spacing exceeds 18-inches o.c., or no wire-welded mesh reinforcement - 8 to 12-inch unreinforced masonry that exceeds reinforcement spacing described as Less Preferred/Marginal - 26 gauge ribbed or thinner metal wall panels w/ no impact resistant veneer <u>no</u> documentation affirming that assembly passed large missile impact test

performance consistent with FBC <i>Public Shelter Design Criteria</i> (EHPA) or ASTM E-1996 Level D: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 34 mph or 50 ft/sec; additional preference may be given to wall assemblies that pass or exceed ASTM E-1996 Level E: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 55 mph or 80 ft/sec - Bondbeams > 8-inches high are not recommended for masonry with vertical rebar spacing that exceeds six (6) feet o.c. Note: Unless otherwise indicated, assume masonry wall systems are eight (8) inch nominal thickness	- 6-inch or thicker reinforced masonry with structural concrete grout fill in every cell; ; see definition of reinforced masonry above - 20 gauge or thicker ribbed metal wall panels with or without large missile impact test documentation w/ masonry or stucco veneer (anchored @ 24" o.c. max. each way) - 24 or 22 gauge ribbed metal wall panels with documentation affirming that assembly passed large missile impact test - Wall assemblies that are recognized by the Florida Dept. of Education, Miami-Dade Building Code Compliance Office or other testing or research authorities as having passed large missile impact tests	- 8-inch masonry wall systems equivalent to partially reinforced masonry: e.g., typical tie-column and tie-beam spacing no greater than 13.5-feet o.c., or 12-inch CMU tie-column and tie-beam spacing up to 16-feet o.c; with or without veneer. - 6-inch or thicker partially reinforced masonry with structural concrete grout fill in every cell; see definition of partially reinforced masonry above - 24 or 22 gauge ribbed metal wall panels with <u>no</u> documentation affirming that assembly passed large missile impact test - 5/8 or 19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure 1 grade plywood structural wood panels w/ masonry or stucco veneer (anchored @ 24-inches o.c. maximum each way or less)	- EIFS wall system on substrate other than reinforced masonry or concrete, or 5/8 or 19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure 1 grade plywood structural wood panels - Gypsum wall board sheathing over metal or wood studs, with or without brick or stucco veneer - Wall construction assemblies that <u>do not</u> meet "deemed to comply" FBC HVHZ-provisions (ref. s. 1626.4, FBC-Building) - 6 % or greater exterior wall area comprised of softspot, or direct exposure of softspot to shelter area(s)
--	---	--	---

hollow concrete masonry units (CMU) with running bond, type M or S mortar, and continuous horizontal joint reinforcement spaced every 16-inches vertically; structural concrete grout fill required in every vertically reinforced cell; intermediate bondbeam(s) recommended for walls that are 13.5-feet in height or greater; stack bond spacing are is half these that of running bond (e.g., for 8-inch masonry, 2-feet o.c. rebar instead of 4-feet for preferred ranking) - Additional preference may be given to buildings with exterior walls designed and	- Less than 1% of any exterior wall area comprised of softspot; no direct exposure to shelter area(s)	- Wall construction assemblies "deemed to comply" with FBC HVHZ-provisions (ref: s. 1626.4, FBC-Building) - 1 to 5% of any exterior wall area comprised of softspot; no direct exposure to shelter area(s)	
---	--	--	--

constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.15) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or guideline (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA 361)			
10. Fenestrations / Window Protection - Adequate protection means building windows, doors, louvers and other fenestrations are capable of resisting wind loads and penetration by large windborne debris missile impacts. - Minimum preferred large missile impact criteria means	- Building and/or shelter area fenestrations (e.g., windows, doors, louvers, etc) must pass one or more of the following: SBCCI SSTD 12; ASTM E 1886 & ASTM E 1996; SFBC 201, 202 & 203, and/or FBC HVHZ TAS 201, 202 and 203 - Less than 1% of any exterior wall area comprised of unprotected glass; no direct exposure to shelter area(s)	- Protected window and door assemblies that cannot be certified or documented to meet high wind missile testing protocols, but adequate barrier to envelope breach effects - 5/8 or 19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure 1 grade wood structural panel (shutters) with adequate sub-framing and anchorage - 1 to 5% of any exterior wall area comprised of unprotected glass; no direct exposure to shelter area(s)	- Unprotected window and door assemblies, or "protective" assemblies that cannot be certified or documented to meet high wind missile testing protocols and will not provide an adequate barrier to envelope breach effects - 6 % or greater exterior wall area comprised of unprotected glass, or unprotected glass with direct exposure to shelter area(s)

performance consistent with FBC <i>Public Shelter Design Criteria</i> (EHPA) or ASTM E-1996 Level D: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 34 mph (50 ft/sec). Additional preference may be given to assemblies that pass or exceed ASTM E-1996 Level E: 9 lb 2x4 propelled at 55 mph (80 ft/sec)			
11. Roof Construction / Roof Slope ** - Per ASCE 7-98, section 6.2, the area of potential roof openings must not exceed 1% of the shortest length wall face's area. This only applies to the story	- Building with a heavy concrete roof system (i.e., a self-weight of 50 psf or greater) - 4-inch or thicker reinforced concrete (rebar spacing is 12-inches o.c. or less each way or wire-welded mesh reinforced on 26 gauge or thicker ribbed metal deck)	- 3 inches (+/-) of ordinary reinforced concrete (rebar spacing is 18 inches o.c. or less, or wire-welded mesh reinforced on 22 gauge or thicker metal deck) - Building designed to a pre-2000 model code with light or moderate weight roof deck and a flat or moderate roof slope less than 30° (2/12 to 7/12 pitch)	- Roof systems with unverifiable unobservable or inadequate discontinuous loadpath connections - Unbraced gable-end roof geometry - Non-metal or non-wood deck assemblies***

immediately below the roof. *** - Fiber-based formboard, insulation or cementitious panels; typically installed on bulb-tee sub-framing. Note: If a source of heavy/massive windborne or falling debris is present, FEMA 361 recommends roof and walls be constructed of two layers (one each top & bottom) of bi-directionally reinforced 9-inch or thicker cast-in-place concrete Additional preference may be given to	- Building designed to a pre-2000 model code with light or moderate weight roof deck with a steep roof slope greater than 30° (7/12 pitch) and hipped geometry if applicable - Building designed to a pre-2000 model code with roof eaves or overhangs that do not extend more than 2-feet from exterior envelope cladding - Building roof design is documented as capable of resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982 and ASCE 7 wind design standards, Occupancy Category III or IV ($I \geq 1.10$) or Risk Category III or IV, and IBC and FBC equivalents with roof eave or overhangs that extend more than 2-feet from exterior envelope cladding	- Building designed to a pre-2000 model code with braced gable-end roof or hipped roof geometry - Roof assemblies "deemed to comply" with FBC (ref: s. 1626.4); e.g., 2 to 3.75-inches of reinforced concrete, 5/8-inch CD plywood or 19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure 1 structural wood panels - 19/32-inch or thicker CD Exposure 1 wood structural panel sheathing w/impact resistant covering - Building roof design is documented as capable of resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982 or ASCE 7, Occupancy Category II ($I=1.00$) or Risk Category II, and IBC and FBC equivalents with roof eaves or overhangs that extend more than	- Uncertified or documented roof eave or overhangs that extend more than 2 feet from exterior envelope cladding - Structural 26 gauge or thinner metal deck w/o structural concrete fill - Heavyweight Unanchored roof appendages - Roof construction assemblies that <u>do not</u> meet "deemed to comply" FBC HVHZ-provisions (ref: s. 1626.4, FBC-Building) - Significant breach potential (6+ %**)
---	--	---	--

buildings designed and constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.15) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or guideline (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA 361)	- Structural 24 gauge or thicker ribbed metal roof deck with documentation affirming that assembly passed large missile impact test - No unanchored roof appendages - Negligible breach potential, less than 1%**	2-feet from exterior envelope cladding - Structural 22-24 gauge or thicker metal deck, or structural 26 gauge or thicker metal deck w/ concrete structural fill - Lightweight unanchored roof appendages present - Moderate breach potential, 1-5%**	
12. Roof Open Span Additional preference may be given to buildings designed and constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and IBC and FBC equivalents,	- Building with long open roof span(s) < 40-feet and design is documented as capable of resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC or FBC Occupancy Category II (I=1.00) or Risk Category II - Building with long open roof span(s) ≥ 40-feet and design is	- Building with long open roof span(s) < 40-feet and design <u>cannot</u> be documented as capable of resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC nor FBC - Building with long open roof span(s) ≥ 40-feet and design is documented as capable of	- Building with long open roof span ≥ 40 feet and design <u>cannot</u> be documented as capable of resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC nor FBC

Occupancy Category III or IV ($I=1.15$) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or guideline (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA 361)	documented as capable of resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC or FBC Occupancy Category III or IV ($I=1.10$ or greater) or Risk Category III or IV	resisting wind loads according to ANSI A58.1-1982, ASCE 7, IBC or FBC Occupancy Category II ($I=1.00$) or Risk Category II	
13. Roof Drainage / Ponding Note: 100-year, 1-hour rainfall rate per Figure 1106.1, FBC Plumbing indicates approx. 4.4 to 5.0-inch per hour for Florida	- See ASCE 7-98, section 8.2 & FBC, s. 1503.4; 100-year, 1-hour rainfall rate - Building with no roof drainage confining parapet walls or curbs - No evidence of ponding that exceeds 2 inches in accumulation	- Building with roof drainage confining parapet walls or curbs; flow capacity of overflow scuppers is not less than primary drains, and/or mitigating factor(s) present - No evidence of ponding that exceeds 5 inches in accumulation	- Building with roof drainage confining parapet walls or curbs; unknown flow capacity, or flow capacity of overflow scuppers is less than primary drains - Evidence of ponding that exceeds 5 inches in accumulation
14. Interior Safe Space Note: An interior safe space/core	- At a minimum, all "preferred" criteria described in 1 – 13 above apply to the interior safe space envelope - Example: 8-inch or thicker reinforced masonry or 4-inch or	- At a minimum, all criteria ranked as "marginal" described in 1 – 13 above apply to the interior safe space envelope - Example: 8-inch or thicker partially reinforced masonry (vert.	In the case where the surrounding building does not meet ARC 4496, and the interior shelter space (e.g., corridor) does not meet ARC 4496, describe why it does not. - Interior spaces that cannot independently meet ARC 4496 guidelines

area is not required if the proposed hurricane shelter building, as a whole or in part, meets ARC 4496 guidelines/standards - If applicable, interior shelter space must independently meet ARC 4496 guidelines Additional preference may be given to buildings designed and constructed to ASCE 7-98 (or more recent editions), and IBC and FBC equivalents, Occupancy Category III or IV (I=1.15) or Risk Category III or IV; or higher wind design standard, code or	thicker inch reinforced concrete perimeter wall panels with cast-in-place 4-inch or thicker reinforced concrete roof/ceiling slab; windows and doors meet high wind debris impact resistance requirements - Structural separation from surrounding building(s) is required (i.e., expansion, control or slip-joints) - Massive structures or other special facilities, such as nuclear fallout shelter bunkers -In the case where the surrounding building meets ARC 4496 this criteria is not applicable.	rebar @ 8-feet o.c., or tie-column & beam @ 13.5-feet o.c.) or 2-inch or thicker reinforced concrete panel perimeter walls with cast-in-place 2-inch or thicker reinforced concrete roof/ceiling slab or min. 24 gauge metal deck (or concrete & metal decks combined); no windows or doors w/ glass with direct exposure to shelter space - Roof of shelter framed separately from surrounding building, but complete structural separation not required -In the case where the surrounding building meets ARC 4496 this criteria is not applicable.	- Unreinforced masonry walls - Gypsum wall board on metal or wood stud walls - Windows or doors present w/ glass (larger than a small view window) with direct exposure to shelter space(s) - Significant very large/heavy or falling debris, lay-down, and/or structural collapse hazards - Roof/ceiling of interior space is not framed separately from surrounding building (i.e., roof support members are continuous through or over interior space partitions)
--	---	---	---

guideline (e.g., ICC500 or FEMA 361)			
15. Life Safety / Emergency Power	- Building must be in compliance with all local building and fire codes - Building and/or hurricane shelter space(s) must be supported by a standby back-up generator capable of supporting critical fire and life-safety systems, ventilation systems, adequate shelter lighting and if applicable, special needs requirements - Generator must be independent of off-site utilities/infrastructure (e.g., water, fuel, etc.) with a minimum of 24-hour on-site fuel supply (72 hours or greater recommended) - Generator and ancillary equipment must be adequately	- Building must be in compliance with all local building and fire codes - No provision for standby or emergency back-up electrical system; or - Standby or emergency back-up electrical system or generator present but dependent upon one or more off-site utilities/ infrastructure (e.g., water, fuel, etc.), and/or less than a 24-hour on-site fuel supply; or - generator and ancillary equipment are not adequately protected from major hurricane effects	- Building that is not in compliance with local building and fire codes; a local authority having jurisdiction must make this determination.

	protected from major hurricane effects		
Notes:			