Site Location:

Z/LU-1-1-16 RECEIVED 12/22/15

## SOLID ROCK COMMUNITY SCHOOL 0 KEYSTONE ROAD, TARPON SPRINGS, FL PARCEL ID #1 11-27-16-00000-340-0100, 0210, & 0200

# TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS





Mailing Address: PO Box 537, New Port Richey, FL 727-488-1002 - Richard@sgroupfl.com

Сс	ont	en	ts
_			

Contents		. 1
I.	PROJECT INTRODUCTION	. 2
II.	EXISTING BACKGROUND TRAFFIC	. 4
III.	TRIP GENERATION	. 8
IV.	TRIP DISTRIBUTION & ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) ANALYSIS	. 9
VI. CIRCULA	TION AND QUEUE ANALYSIS	17
V.	EB LEFT TURN AND RIGHT TURN WARRANT ANALYSIS	23
VI.	CONCLUSION	<u>2</u> 9
APPENDIX A	A TRIP GENERATION & DISTRIBUTION	30
APPENDIX E	3 TRAFFIC COLLECTED COUNTS & ROADWAY DATA	35
APPENDIX (	C DESIGN REQUIREMENTS	39
APPENDIX [	D REFERENCES	40

### I. PROJECT INTRODUCTION

Solid Rock Community School is a proposed private institution for grades K through 12 with 400 students and 32 staff members at full enrollment. The site is currently located within a vacant five (5) lot subdivision with existing 24 ft. wide access into the development from Keystone Road. A copy of the rezoning conceptual plan and location map is included under (**Figure 1 & 2**).

It is anticipated that the campus hours are from 7 AM to 5 PM daily for staff, before and after care services. Classes start at 8:30 AM with dismissal at 3:00 PM daily. Parents dropping off kids will be directed by on-site signage to parents at designated area on-site. Solid Rock Community School currently has two buses associated with after school recreational activities. Private after school buses that provide services will be treated as traditional vehicles queuing on-site for pick-up and drop-off standard at most public or private institutions.

The purpose of this report is to perform a transportation analysis, which will determine existing/proposed traffic impact conditions, intersection (LOS) analysis, eastbound left-turn lane warrants, on-site storage and queueing circulation analysis.



**FIGURE 1: PROJECT LOCATION** 



FIGURE 2: REZONING AND LAND-USE APPLICATION CONCEPTUAL PLAN

### II. EXISTING BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Keystone Road is a two (2) lane road located in Pinellas County. Traffic patterns were observed and data collected at the property's entrance along Keystone Road on December 17, 2015 during the times of 7-10 AM and 2-6 PM establishing the AM and PM Peak Hour counts.

Table 1 - EX	ISTING AM HOURLY	PEAK TRAFFIC	;	
Existing Roadway	2015		*Opening Yea	ar 2017
	Eastbound (EB)	Westbound (WB)	Eastbound (EB)	Westbound (WB)
	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume
Keystone Rd	610	505	634	525

Traffic Volumes shown are from 8:00-9:00 AM to coincide with school start time at 8:30 AM. \*The school is anticipated to open in Spring of 2017. Future year, non-development background traffic was determined by applying an annual growth rate of 2% to the existing traffic volumes for 2 years (covers any construction delays).

Table 2 -	<b>EXISTING PM HOURL</b>	Y PEAK TRAFF	ĨC	
Existing Roadway	2015		*Opening Yea	r 2017
	Eastbound (EB) Volume	Westbound (WB) Volume	Eastbound (EB) Volume	Westbound (WB) Volume
Keystone Rd	418	551	435	573

Traffic Volumes shown are from 2:30-3:30 PM to coincide with school dismissal time at 3:00 PM. \*The school is anticipated to open in Spring of 2017. Future year, non-development background traffic was determined by applying an annual growth rate of 2% to the existing traffic volumes for 2 years (covers any construction delays).



TABLE 4 - 15	TRAFFIC COUNT COLL MIN INTERVALS W/ December 17, 20	ECTED & PEAK H PEAK HOUR AM 15 - 7:00-10:00 AN	OURLY TRAFFIC Count	
Time	Eastbound (EB) Lane		Westbound (WB) Lane	
7:00 – 7:15 AM	172		95	
7:15 – 7:30 AM	183		96	
7:30 – 7:45 AM	166		145	
7:45 – 8:00 AM	148	669	116	452
8:00- 8:15 AM	143		137	
8:15– 8:30 AM	186		145	
8:30- 8:45 AM	163		116	
8:45– 9:00 AM	118	610	107	505
9:00 – 9:15 AM	117		85	
9:15 - 9:30 AM	135		96	
9:30 – 9:45 AM	123		102	
9:45 – 10:00 AM	105	480	73	356





Series2=WB La

1. 8.45 9:0 AM

\* 0:00 0:15 AM

-Series2

Series1=EB Lane 200 Series1=EB Lane Series2=WB La 180 800 160 700 140 120 600 100 500 80 60 400 40 300 20 200 0 1.15 TRO AM J.15 8.0 AM 2.00 00 00 AM 27:30 THE AM 2 0: 15 mm AM 2°.30' 0.45 AM 100 0 1:00 7:45 - 8:00 8:45 - 9:00 AM 9:45 - 10:00 PM AM Series1 — Series2 Series1 -

FIGURE 5: AM HOURLY TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTED

Date: 12/20/2015

30,45 10:0 PM 





### III. TRIP GENERATION

Traffic volumes generated by the project were estimated using the appropriate equations published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE), Trip Generation Manual (9th Edition). ITE Land Use Code #536 was used for students and staff. Private schools in this land use category primarily serve students attending kindergarten through the 12th grade but may also include those beginning with pre–K classes. These schools may also offer extended care and day care. Students may travel a long distance to get to private schools.

A school typically has three peak periods which may be evaluated. The first is the AM peak period which corresponds to the peak period of adjacent street traffic on Keystone Road 7-9 AM. School starts at 8:30 am and released at 3:00 pm; which adheres with most elementary schools dismissing students between 2 and 4 PM, outside the traditional PM peak period of 4-6 PM. Therefore, there are separate trip generation calculations for the peak hour of the generator, which corresponds with student dismissal, and the peak hour of the adjacent street, which occurs between 4 and 6 PM. The trip generation is lower for the 4-6 PM period as the traffic associated with this timeframe is typically related to staff and extracurricular activities.

The school anticipates only 2 to 3 private buses transporting students to after-school care. There are no traditional public school buses anticipated transporting students to and from school. For the purpose of this analysis, 8 students per private aftercare transport is being assumed with 24 students for private bus and 376 students for parent pickup. Trip Generation is summarized in **Table 6, 7 & 8.** The existing residential subdivision is included crediting the proposed development towards trips generated. Per County staff it is anticipated that the PM Peak period be studied, since the majority of parent's stage right before or after dismissal. With credit given for the existing development, Solid Rock Community school anticipates 197 enter and 123 exit AM Peak Hour trips along with 94 enter and 133 exit trips for PM Peak periods per ITE 9<sup>th</sup> edition.

TABLE 6 - EXISTI	NG LAND-USE TR	IP GENER	ATION RA	TE	
LAND USE		AM PEA TRA	K HOUR Aff	PM PEA TR/	K HOUR Aff
	(UNITS)	ENTER	EXIT	ENTER	EXIT
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	5 (dwelling units)	1	3	3	2
	· · · · · · · · ·			•	

\*Data Source; ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition and OTISS, Traffic Impact Study Software

TABLE 7- PROPOS	ED LAND-USE TH		RATION RA	ATE	
LAND USE	INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	AM PEA TR/	K HOUR AFF	PM PEA TR/	K HOUR AFF
	(UNITS)	ENTER	EXIT	ENTER	EXIT
536 - Private School (K-12)	400 (students)	198	126	97	135
*Data Source; ITE Trip Generatio	n Manual, 9 <sup>th</sup> Edition an	d OTISS, Tra	ffic Impact St	udy Software	

TABLE 8- NET NEW	TRIPS PROPOSE	D VS. EXI	STING CR	EDIT	
		AM PEA TR/	k hour Aff	PM PEA TR/	k hour Aff
LAND USE	(UNITS)	ENTER	EXIT	ENTER	EXIT
536 - Private School (K-12)	400 (students)	197	123	94	133
*Data Source: ITE Trip Generatio	n Manual 9 <sup>th</sup> Edition an	ENT 22ITO b	ffic Impact St	idy Software	

' Edition and OTISS, Traffic Impact Study Software Generation Manual, 9"

### **TRIP DISTRIBUTION & ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS)** IV. **ANALYSIS**

The following two figures show the AM and PM proposed site traffic distribution onto Keystone Road and signalized intersection to the west (East Lake Road and Keystone Road). A traffic distribution percentages have been determined based on owner input and engineering judgement (Figure 7 & 8).



### FIGURE 7 – AM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION



### FIGURE 8 – AM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION

Road Level of Service (LOS) Future Conditions - Keystone Analysis The school is anticipated to open in Spring of 2017. Future year, non-development background traffic was determined by applying an annual growth rate of 2% to the existing traffic volumes. Future traffic conditions were estimated by adding project traffic to background traffic volumes. Project traffic was estimated and distributed to the roadway network using the methods previously discussed. Table 9 lists the LOS for Keystone Road between Hillsborough county line to Woodfield Blvd. This information is from the Pinellas County MPO 2015 Level of Service Report Facility link #802. This report indicates that Keystone Road at the proposed site is currently operating at an acceptable level of service (LOS) C during the PM peak hour direction (WB). **Table 10** illustrates the future LOS with the proposed peak hour site traffic during PM hourly peak period. Based on the analysis Keystone Road is anticipated to operate at or above the adopted Level of Service 'C'. Therefore, no impact mitigation will be required.

	TABLE 9 - EXISTING KEYS	TONE	ROAD LE	EVEL OF	SERVICE (L	OS)	
Roadway Name	Segment (From/To)	LOS	Length	AADT	WB Peak Hour, Peak Direction Volume	Physical Capacity (LOS C)	Volume to Capacity Ratio
# 802 - KEYSTONE RD	(HILLSBOROUGH CL to WOODFIELD BLVD)	с	2.301 Miles	11,722	622	1,440	0.425
*Service volume of	lata received from Pinellas Co	ounty M	PO 2015 l	_evel of S	ervice		
Report Facility #8	02						

	TABLE 10 - SOL	ID ROCK CO	MMUNITY SCHO	OL - PM PEA	K HR. LOS	IMPACTS (T	<b>RIPS TO</b>	SITE)	
Roadway Name	Segment (From/To)	Peak Hour Traffic Direction	Peak Hour Projected Development Trips Increase (Peak Direction)	WB Peak Hour Peak, Peak Dir. Volume	Total WB Peak Hour Peak, Peak Dir. w/ Site Traffic Volume	WB Peak Hour, Peak Dir. Physical Capacity, Service Volume (LOS C)	WB Peak Hour, Peak Dir. LOS w/ Site Traffic	% Peak Hour Peak Dir. Service Volume Impacted	Volume to Capacity Ratio
KEYSTONE	SITE TO HILLSBOROUGH								
ROAD	CL	WB	38	622	660	1440	С	2.61%	0.458
KEYSTONE ROAD	SITE TO EAST LAKE ROAD	WB	80	622	702	1440	С	5.54%	0.487
*Existing traffi	ic volumes and servic	e volume da	ta received from P	inellas County	MPO				

2015 Level of Service Report Facility #802 \*ITE Land-Use #536 - Private School (K-12)

### **KEYSTONE ROAD INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS) ANALYSIS**

An intersection analysis has been performed based on 2017 background traffic and proposed eastbound (EB) and westbound (WB) site traffic during the AM and PM peak hours. The analysis was performed using Synchro software, based on the highway Capacity Manual (HCM) criteria for Unsignalized Intersections (**Figures 9, 10, 11, 12**).



The Sustainability Group

12/21/2015

### FIGURE 10

Keystone Rd at Solid Rock Community School Driveway AM Peak Hr LOS Analysis

	-	7	•	+	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f.		٦	1	Y	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Volume (veh/h)	525	79	118	634	49	74
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	571	86	128	689	53	80
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type					None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			657		1559	614
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			657		1559	614
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			86		50	84
cM capacity (veh/h)			931		107	492
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB2	NB 1		
Volume Total	657	128	689	134		
Volume Left	0	128	0	53		
Volume Right	86	0	0	80		
cSH	1700	931	1700	202		
Volume to Capacity	0.39	0.14	0.41	0.66		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	12	0	100		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	9.5	0.0	52.3		
Lane LOS		А		F		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.5		52.3		
Approach LOS				F		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			5.1			
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		56.2%	10	CU Leve	el of Servic
Analysis Period (min)			15			

The Sustainability Group

Synchro Unsignalized Intersection Report Based on HCM delay criteria

### FIGURE 11

Keystone Rd at Solid Rock Driveway PM Peak Hr Intersection Analysis PM Peak Hr Traffic Volumes



The Sustainability Group

12/21/2015

### FIGURE 12

Keystone Rd at Solid Rock Community School Driveway PM Peak Hr LOS Analysis

	-	7	•	+	1	1		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Lane Configurations	ţ.		٦	1	Y			
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Volume (veh/h)	573	38	56	435	53	80		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	623	41	61	473	58	87		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (ft)								
Walking Speed (ft/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type					None			
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (ft)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume			664		1238	643		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol			664		1238	643		
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %			93		68	82		
cM capacity (veh/h)			925		181	473		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB2	NB 1				
Volume Total	664	61	473	145				
Volume Left	0	61	0	58				
Volume Right	41	0	0	87				
cSH	1700	925	1700	288				
Volume to Capacity	0.39	0.07	0.28	0.50				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	5	0	66				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	9.2	0.0	29.4				
Lane LOS	0.0	A	0.0	D				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.0		29.4				
Approach LOS				D				
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			3.6					
Intersection Capacity Uti	ilization		53.6%	10	CU Leve	el of Servic	ce	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

The Sustainability Group

Synchro Unsignalized Intersection Report Based on HCM delay criteria

TABLE 11 – AM PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION DELAY					
Intersection	Existing Traffic	<b>Overall Intersection</b>	<b>Overall Intersection</b>		
	Control Type	Delay (Sec/Vehicle)	LOS		
Keystone Road at	Unsignalized	5.1	В		
Solid Rock School	Stop Sign				
Entrance	Condition				

TABLE 12 – PM PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION DELAY					
Intersection	Existing Traffic	<b>Overall Intersection</b>	<b>Overall Intersection</b>		
	Control Type	Delay (Sec/Vehicle)	LOS		
Keystone Road at	Unsignalized	3.6	А		
Solid Rock School	Stop Sign				
Entrance	Condition				

Overall the intersection operates no worse than a LOS B during the AM peak hour. This is an acceptable level of service for this intersection. There are no obvious delays for Keystone Road's capacity and traffic (**Table 11 & 12**).

## **VI. CIRCULATION AND QUEUE ANALYSIS**

A queue analysis was performed to determine if on-site storage was adequate or if a staggered dismissal should be considered. The queue analysis was performed for dismissal only, since that is when parents are likely to queue on-site waiting for their child to be released. The Sustainability Group observed Athenian Academy at 2289 North Hercules Avenue, Clearwater, FL as a comparable use. A field study was conducted during a typical school day from start to finish of dismissal time to evaluate the on-site queuing/stacking conditions, bus patterns and other dismissal procedures. Field observations were conducted as well.

At the time of the observations, the observed school's start is at 8:15 AM with a dismissal time of 3:15 PM; the enrollment is approximately 400 students, with bus services provided by two (2) buses for public transportation for approximately 90 students. For the purposes of the analysis, all students not transported by bus were assumed to be "car riders" picked up by a parent or car pool.

The location studied; storage for the vehicles entering the site to pick up children was provided by two (2) queue lines starting at the driveway connection on Hercules Avenue wrapping behind the building and around the northern façade to the parent pick-up and drop-off location. The parent drop-off and pick-up location contains room for (7) stacked vehicles for loading/unlading purposes. Standard procedures included: a child school number tag in each vehicle entering the on-site queue area, which was displayed in the windshield of each vehicle. Staff called out the student number via radio to staff standing

within the drop-off/pick-up location. There was only one pick-up lane being utilized loading seven cars at a time to facilitate a faster dismissal, while keeping the queue stacking area moving. There were two public buses onsite within the front parking area transporting approximately 90 students. Athenian Academy has staff placed through-out the site directing parents to the pick-up car lines and signage stating the "Every Other Car Rule"

The field visit was conducted on Thursday, December 17, 2015, from 7:00 - 8:30 AM for morning drop-off. Afternoon pick-up was observed between 2:00 - 4:00 PM. The peak hourly queue was observed in the afternoon during parent pick-up between 3:00 - 3:15 PM. The field visit observed vehicles started stacking within the queue area around 2:00 pm. The maximum queue was observed was at 3:30 pm, with lines starting to move at 3:15 pm upon release. The line started dissipating quickly with all children picked up by 3:40 PM. The following summarizes the number of vehicles observed in each line at different intervals.

Time	Number of Cars Stacked within Queue Area (2 Lanes)
2:00-2:15 pm	2
2:15-2:30 pm	8
2:30-2:45 pm	16
2:45-3:00 pm	36
3:00-*3:15pm	54
**3:15-3:30 pm	75
3:30-3:45 pm	2
*Student Release **The maximum observed queue was 75 vehicle exhibit indicating the on-site flow pattern and m observations is attached in Appendix.	es (total for both lanes). An aximum queue and the field

### TABLE 13 - On-site Stacking Observations Athenian Academy Charter School — Hercules Avenue, Pinellas County

### TABLE 14 – Observed Site Queue Summary Athenian Academy Charter School — Hercules Avenue, Pinellas County

		Notes
Number of Students Enrolled	400	90 Students by Bus & 310 Car Riders
at Time of Study	Students	

Maximum Queue Observed	75 Vehicles	Total for (2) Queue lanes observed between 3:30 and 3:15 pm
Queue Rate per Pick-up Student	0.2419	Calculation: 75 Vehicles Queued / 310 Car Riders

Considering that approximately 90 students were provided bus service 310 students were accommodated through the pick-up line (car riders). The maximum queue of 75 vehicles (for the two lanes combined) calculates to a queue ratio of 0.2419 vehicles per car riding student. This ratio was applied to the car-riding students anticipated at the proposed school.

### **Proposed Conditions:**

It is anticipated that the campus hours are from 7 AM to 5 PM daily for staff, before and after care services. Classes start at 8:30 AM with dismissal at 3:00 PM daily. Parents dropping off kids will be directed by on-site signage to parents at designated areas on-site. Solid Rock Community School currently has two buses associated with after school recreational activities. Private afterschool buses that provide services will be treated as traditional vehicles queuing on-site for pick-up and drop-off, which is standard at most public or private institutions.

The drop-off/pick up lane is anticipated to accommodate the queuing of vehicles during school dismissal with two circulation lanes throughout the site for queuing (stacking) (**Figure 13**). Upon student registration pamphlets and a campus map will be given to each parent for pick-up and drop-off instructions. School staff will be located throughout the site directing vehicles into pick-up line queueing areas. On-site staff will direct vehicles into designated queueing areas. Every parent will be given a placard to display in the windshield identifying the children they are to picking up. Staff communicates via 2-way radios so that individual children are ready and available when the parent reaches the pick-up point. A number of vehicles are stopped and children are in a vehicle. Once all of the lanes have been dismissed, the queue is advanced to minimize conflict between pedestrians, stacking and moving vehicles. Onsite signage will be placed throughout the site letting parents know the pick-up route and every other car rule (Figure 14).

# TABLE 15 – Proposed Site Queue Summary at Full Build for Solid Rock Community School

		Notes
Proposed Student Enrollment	400 Students	400 Student Car Riders
Maximum Vehicle Queue Anticipated	97 Vehicles	0.2419 vehicles/pick-up student observed at existing site
Estimated Stacking Required Based on Current School Site Data (FT.)	2,425 feet	25 feet per vehicle
Storage Available	2,575 feet 103 Vehicles	Total in all lanes. Double Stacking through the parking lot and single stacking down existing road with signage (2,575 LF/25 ft. per vehicle)
Based upon this analysis, the proposed site callers. It appears that with the proposed one- Figure 13 shows the proposed circulation pr	an accommodate approximately 103 -way circulation, the stacking availabl patterns for the school. Periodic fiel	vehicles stacked on site through the circulation e on-site may be adequate at full capacity. d observations to observe conditions and
make potential adjustments to arrival and and Pick-up/Drop-off Line Signage will be p	dismissal procedures may be recom posted on-site. One-way circulation	mended to ensure efficient operations. Staff will be provided only during pick-up hours in

the afternoon by staff.





## V. EB LEFT TURN AND RIGHT TURN WARRANT ANALYSIS

The proposed school will have access to and from Keystone Road by one (1) 24 ft. wide driveway. A one-way circulation pattern is proposed during the pick-up peak hourly flow, with entry via both the northern and southern driveways and exit via the northern driveway only. Staff will place cones and signage will be installed onsite directing parents to designated queueing areas ensuring the 'every other car' rule and school rules are followed.

A turn lane analysis was performed for the AM and PM Peak Hourly conditions for left turn movement into the site (**Figures 15-19**). The analysis is being provided as required by Pinellas County staff and Transportation Research Board per NCHRP 193 and 745 guidelines.

The result analysis recommends an eastbound left turn lane is provided into the site. This is due to the fact it meets the warrant criteria per NCHRP 193 and 745. This is a result of the high hourly traffic volumes along Keystone Road and high left turn peak hour trips for the proposed private school. We recommend an exclusive left turn lane will be designed per FDOT Index #301 standards and AASHTO Green Book Standards for a 50 MPH design speed, the turn lane length will need to be 290 feet with a maximum queue of 100 feet (NCHRP 745 recommends 50 ft. queue based on site traffic volumes). As a result, a 390 foot turn lane length will be required along with a through-lane taper of 600 feet for a 12 foot offset towards the south per AASHTO Green Book standards. The final left turn lane configuration will be determined during preparation of construction document and staff input during the required Pre-Application meeting.













## VI. CONCLUSION

Keystone Road is anticipated to operate at or above the adopted level of service (LOS). The traffic analysis also shows that an eastbound left turn lane is warranted into the project. In addition, the intersection will operate at an acceptable level of service (LOS). The onsite queueing will not cause any traffic backing onto Keystone Road or the right-of-way. Periodic field observations are recommended to determine if adjustments to school procedures are necessary to maintain traffic conditions. School traffic may likely result in congestion for a 15 to 30-minute period during arrival and dismissal times.

Arrival time will coincide with the AM Peak Hour and dismissal will occur outside of the traditional 4-6 PM Peak Hour, this congestion is consistent with delay experienced at other schools. An exclusive eastbound left turn lane with a deceleration lane of 290 feet plus a 100-foot queue area is recommended on Keystone Road per FDOT Index #301 for design speed at 50 MPH. A westbound exclusive right turn lane does not appear to be warranted at this time based upon NCHRP 193 & 745 guidelines. Copies of the warrant analyses are included (Figures 15-19).

## **APPENDIX A**

## **TRIP GENERATION & DISTRIBUTION**

EXISTING LAND-USE TRIP GENERATION RATE				
LAND USE		A	M PEAK HOUR TRAFF	
	(UNITS)	ENTER	EXIT	
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	5 (dwelling units)	1	3	

\*Data Source; ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition and OTISS, Traffic Impact Study Software

PROPOSED LAND-USE TRIP GENERATION RATE				
LAND USE	INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	A	M PEAK HOUR TRAFF	
	(UNITS)	ENTER	EXIT	
536 - Private School (K-12)	400 (students)	198	126	
*Data Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9 <sup>th</sup> Edition and OTISS, Traffic Impact Study Software				

NET NEW TRIPS PROPOSED VS. EXISTING CREDIT			
	INDEPENDENT	Α	M PEAK HOUR TRAFF
LAND USE	VARIABLE (UNITS)	ENTER	EXIT
536 - Private School (K-12)	400 (students)	197	123
*Data Source; ITE Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition and OTISS, Traffic Impact Study Software			

Private Sch (53)	lool (K-12) <sup>6)</sup>	
Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	Students Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator	
Number of Studies: Average Number of Students: Directional Distribution:	Number of Studies: 4 Number of Students: 506 irectional Distribution: 42% entering, 58% exiting	
Tip Generation per Student	Rates Standard Daviation	



Trip Generation, ITE-TGM9th Edition

https://dtisstraffic.com/query/printGraph?code=538&iviabel=TOTSTUD&timeperiod=TPGEN&x=400&edition=8&custom=&overlayEditionID=null&combine=false 1/2

	F	Private Sch (53)	ool (K-12) <sup>6)</sup>	
	Average Vehi	icle Trip Ends vs: On a:	Students Weekday A.M. Peak Hou	r of Generator
	Average Dir	Number of Studies: Number of Students: rectional Distribution:	5 460 61% entering, 39 <sup>0</sup>	% exiting
rin Gonarati	ion nor Student			
np General	Average Rate	Range of	Rates	Standard Deviation
	Average Rate 0.81	Range of 0.52 - 0	Rates .96	Standard Deviation 0.18
Data Plot and	Average Rate 0.81 d Equation	Range of 0.52 - 0	Rates .96 <i>Caution - Us</i>	Standard Deviation 0.18 See Carefully - Small Sample Size X



Trip Generation, ITE-TGM9th Edition

https://dtisstraffic.com/query/printGraph?code=538&iviabel=TOTSTUD&timeperiod=TAGEN&x=400&edition=8&custom=&overlayEditionID=null&combine=false 1/2

12/21	/2015
-------	-------

Print Preview

# Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	Dwelling Units Weekday P.M. Peak Hour of Generator
Number of Studies:	362
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units:	174
Directional Distribution:	64% entering, 36% exiting

#### **Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit**

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation	
1.02	0.42 - 2.98	0.30	

#### **Data Plot and Equation**



Trip Generation, ITE-TGM9th Edition

https://disstraffic.com/query/printGraph?code=210&ivlabel=UNITS210&timeperiod=TPGEN&x=&edition=6&custom=&overlayEditionID=null&combine=false 1/2

12/21	/2015
-------	-------

Print Preview

# Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Average Vehicle Trip Ends vs: On a:	Dwelling Units Weekday A.M. Peak Hour of Generator
Number of Studies:	343
Avg. Number of Dwelling Units:	180
Directional Distribution:	26% entering, 74% exiting

#### **Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit**

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation	
0.77	0.33 - 2.27	0.26	

#### **Data Plot and Equation**



Trip Generation, ITE-TGM 9th Edition

https://disstraffic.com/query/printGraph?code=210&ivlabel=UNITS210&timeperiod=TAGEN&x=&edition=6&custom=&overlayEditionID=null&combine=false 1/2

## **APPENDIX B TRAFFIC COLLECTED COUNTS & ROADWAY DATA**

TRAFFIC COUNT COLLECT ON KEYSTONE ROAD AT SOLID ROCK								
December 17, 2015 - 7:00-10:00 AM								
Time	Eastbound (El Lane	3)	Westbound (WB) Lane					
7:00 – 7:15 AM	172	95						
7:15 – 7:30 AM	183	96						
7:30 – 7:45 AM	166		145					
7:45 – 8:00 AM	148		116					
8:00- 8:15 AM	143		137					
8:15– 8:30 AM	186		145					
8:30- 8:45 AM	163		116					
8:45– 9:00 AM	118		107					
9:00 – 9:15 AM	117		85					
9:15 - 9:30 AM	135		96					
9:30 – 9:45 AM	123		102					
9:45 – 10:00 AM	105		73					
December 17, 2015 - 7:00-10:00 AM								
December 17,	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound	MA ( We	estbound (WB)					
Time	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane					
Time 2:00 – 2:15 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane 104	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane 104 78	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane 104 78 92	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111 <b>128</b>					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane 104 78 92 96	) AM We	Stepsetbound (WB)       Lane       99       111       128       154					
Time           2:00 - 2:15 PM           2:15 - 2:30 PM           2:30 - 2:45 PM           2:45 - 3:00 PM           3:00 - 3:15 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane 104 78 92 96 90	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111 128 154 132					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM           3:00– 3:15 PM           3:15– 3:30 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane 104 78 92 96 90 140	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111 128 154 132 137					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM           3:00– 3:15 PM           3:15– 3:30 PM           3:30– 3:45 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00 Eastbound (EB) Lane 104 78 92 96 90 140 126	) AM ₩€	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111 128 154 132 137 141					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM           3:00– 3:15 PM           3:15– 3:30 PM           3:30– 3:45 PM           3:45– 3:00 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111 128 154 132 137 141 179					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM           3:00– 3:15 PM           3:15– 3:30 PM           3:30– 3:45 PM           3:45– 3:00 PM           3:45– 3:00 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120 112	) AM We	Pestbound (WB) Lane         99         111         128         154         132         137         141         179         167					
Time           2:00 - 2:15 PM           2:15 - 2:30 PM           2:30 - 2:45 PM           2:45 - 3:00 PM           3:00 - 3:15 PM           3:15 - 3:30 PM           3:30 - 3:45 PM           3:45 - 3:00 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120 112 143	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111 128 154 132 137 141 179 167 199					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM           3:00– 3:15 PM           3:30– 3:45 PM           3:45– 3:00 PM           3:45– 3:00 PM           4:00 – 4:15 PM           4:15 - 4:30 PM           4:30 – 4:45 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120 112 143 161	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane         99         111         128         154         132         137         141         179         167         199         196					
Time           2:00 - 2:15 PM           2:15 - 2:30 PM           2:30 - 2:45 PM           2:45 - 3:00 PM           3:00 - 3:15 PM           3:15 - 3:30 PM           3:30 - 3:45 PM           3:45 - 3:00 PM           4:00 - 4:15 PM           4:15 - 4:30 PM           4:45 - 5:00 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120 112 143 161 151	) AM We	estbound (WB) Lane 99 111 128 154 132 137 141 179 167 199 196 232					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM           3:00– 3:15 PM           3:15– 3:30 PM           3:30– 3:45 PM           3:45– 3:00 PM           4:00 – 4:15 PM           4:15 - 4:30 PM           4:30 – 4:45 PM           4:45 – 5:00 PM           5:00 – 5:15 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120 112 143 161 151 140	) AM We	Setbound (WB) Lane         99         111         128         154         132         137         141         179         167         199         196         232         215					
Time           2:00 – 2:15 PM           2:15 – 2:30 PM           2:30 – 2:45 PM           2:45 – 3:00 PM           3:00– 3:15 PM           3:15– 3:30 PM           3:30– 3:45 PM           3:45– 3:00 PM           4:00 – 4:15 PM           4:15 - 4:30 PM           4:30 – 4:45 PM           5:00 – 5:15 PM           5:15 – 5:30 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120 112 143 161 151 140 174	) AM We	Pstbound (WB) Lane         99         111         128         154         132         137         141         179         167         199         196         232         215         225					
Time           2:00 - 2:15 PM           2:15 - 2:30 PM           2:30 - 2:45 PM           2:45 - 3:00 PM           3:00 - 3:15 PM           3:15 - 3:30 PM           3:30 - 3:45 PM           3:45 - 3:00 PM           4:00 - 4:15 PM           4:15 - 4:30 PM           4:30 - 4:45 PM           4:45 - 5:00 PM           5:00 - 5:15 PM           5:15 - 5:30 PM           5:30 - 5:45 PM	2015 - 7:00-10:00  Eastbound (EB) Lane  104 78 92 96 90 140 126 120 112 143 161 151 140 174 135		Setbound (WB) Lane         99         111         128         154         132         137         141         179         167         199         167         199         1232         215         225         246					



36

Facility	Juris	Plan Area	Fac Type	Road Type	LOS Std	Length (mi)	Signals Per Mile	LOS Meth	AADT	Volume	Physical Capacity	V:Cap Ratio	Def Flag	Fac LOS	
770 - I-275: (54TH AVE N -to- 38TH AVE N)	SR	11	F	8F	D	.948	.00	т	155,500	7,954	8,400	.947	2	E	
771 - I-275: (PINELLAS SHORELINE -to- 4TH ST N)	SR	11	F	8F	D	2.220	.00	Т	152,000	7,775	8,400	.926	2	E	
772 - I-275: (38TH AVE N -to- 22ND AVE N)	SR	11	F	6F	D	1.027	.00	T	153,500	7,852	6,200	1.266	2	F	
773 - I-275: (4TH ST N -to- SR 686   ROOSEVELT BLVD)	SR	11	F	8F	D	2.040	.00	т	107,260	5,486	8,400	.653	0	С	
774 - I-275: (I-375 -tc- I-175)	SR	11	F	6F	D	.441	.00	Т	118,000	6,036	6,200	.974	2	E	
775 - I-275: (22ND AVE N -to- I-375)	SR	11	F	8F	D	1.322	.00	Т	151,500	7,749	8,400	.922	2	E	
778 - I-375: (I-275 -to- 7TH ST N)	SR	11	F	6F	D	2.333	.00	T	30,500	1,560	6,200	.252	0	В	
779 - INDIAN ROCKS RD: (BELLEVIEW BLVD -to- MEHLENBACHER RD)	BL	07	SA	2U	D	1.550	.65	Т	9,303	486	792	.614	0	C	
780 - INDIAN ROCKS RD: (MEHLENBACHER RD -to- SUNSET BLVD)	CR	07	NA	2D	D	.432	.00	T	9,303	486	1,512	.321	0	С	
781 - INDIAN ROCKS RD: (SUNSET BLVD -to- W BAY DR)	CR	07	SA	4D	D	.142	7.04	Т	13,128	686	1,530	.448	0	D	
782 - INDIAN ROCKS RD: (W BAY DR -to- WALSINGHAM RD)	CR	07	SA	2U	D	2.793	1.07	т	16,954	886	792	1.119	2	F	
795 - KEENE RD: (E BAY DR -to- BELLEAIR RD)	CR	07	SA	4D	D	1.526	1.31	т	29,466	1,540	1,764	.873	0	C	N
796 - KEENE RD: (BELLEAIR RD -to- DRUID RD)	CR	06	SA	4D	D	1.255	2.39	т	29,466	1,540	1,683	.915	1	D	
797 - KEENE RD: (DRUID RD -to- GULF-TO-BAY BLVD)	CR	06	SA	6D	D	.252	3.97	т	29,466	1,540	2,547	.605	0	C	E
798 - KEENE RD: (GULF-TO-BAY BLVD -to- DREW ST)	CR	06	SA	6D	D	.393	5.09	Т	28,822	1,506	2,313	.651	0	D	<b>.</b>
799 - KEENE RD: (DREW ST -to- SUNSET POINT RD)	CR	06	SA	4D	D	1.518	.66	т	26,048	1,361	1,764	.772	0	В	<b>_</b>
800 - KEENE RD: (SUNSET POINT RD -to- SR 580)	CR	04	SA	4D	D	2.032	1.97	т	24,440	1,277	1,764	.724	0	В	
801 - KEYSTONE RD: (US 19 -to- EAST LAKE RD)	CR	01	SA	4D	D	2.995	.67	т	25,698	1,343	1,764	.761	0	В	- 2
802 - KEYSTONE RD: (HILLSBOROUGH CL -to- WOODFIELD BLVD)	CR	02	NA	2U	D	2.301	.00	т	11,722	612	1,440	.425	0	C	-
803 - KEYSTONE RD: (WOODFIELD BLVD -to- EAST LAKE RD)	CR	02	SA	2U	D	.543	1.84	т	12,981	678	792	.856	0	C	
806 - KLOSTERMAN RD: (ALT US 19 -to- US 19)	CR	01	SA	4D	D	1.275	1.57	T.	16,961	886	1,764	.502	0	В	
807 - KLOSTERMAN RD: (ALT US 19 40- CARLTON RD)	CR	01	NA	2U	D	.745	.00	т	10,748	562	1,440	.390	0	С	
811 - LAKE AVE: (EAST BAY DR -to- BELLEAIR RD)	CR	07	SC	2U	D	1.534	.65	T	3,379	177	572	.309	0	В	
812 - LAKE AVE: (BELLEAIR RD -to- GULF-TO-BAY BLVD)	CR	06	SC	2U	D	1.508	1.99	т	3,379	177	572	.309	0	В	
817 - LAKE ST GEORGE DR: (HIGHLANDS BLVD -to- TAMPA RD)	CR	03	NMC	2U	D	.381	.00	т	4,974	260	1,440	.181	0	В	
818 - LAKE ST GEORGE DR: (TAMPA RD -to- COUNTRYSIDE BLVD)	CR	03	SMC	2U	D	1.192	.84	τ	4,974	260	572	.455	0	В	Ξ.
823 - LAKEVIEW RD: (MISSOURI AVE -to- KEENE RD)	CR	06	SA	2U	D	1.533	1.96	т	8,489	444	792	.561	0	В	3
837 - LIVE OAK ST: (ALT 19 -to- US19)	CR	01	SC	2U	D	1.061	.94	т	2,560	134	572	.234	0	В	
846 - MAIN ST: (BROADWAY AVE -to- SKINNER BLVD)	DN	04	SC	2U	D	.600	5.00	т	3,661	191	514	.372	0	D	
847 - MAIN ST: (MCMULLEN BOOTH RD -to- BAYSHORE DR)	CR	05	NA	2U	D	1.274	.00	т	8,753	457	1,440	.317	0	C	
859 - MCMULLEN BOOTH RD: (GULF-TO-BAY BLVD -to- SUNSET PT RD   MAIN ST)	CR	06	SA	6D	D	2.267	1.76	т	66,577	3,479	2,646	1.315	2	F	Ξ.
860 - MCMULLEN BOOTH RD: (SUNSET PT RD   MAIN ST -to- SR 580)	CR	05	SA	6D	D	2.233	1.79	т	66,577	3,479	2,646	1.315	2	F	Za
861 - MCMULLEN BOOTH RD: (SR 580 -to- CURLEW RD)	CR	05	SA	6D	D	1.768	1.70	Т	57,035	2,980	2,646	1.126	2	F	
862 - MCMULLEN BOOTH RD: (CURLEW RD -to- SOUTH SPLIT)	CR	03	NA	6D	D	.546	.00	Т	47,984	2,507	5,650	.444	0	В	
867 - MEHLENBACHER   8TH AVE NW: (CLWTR-LARGO RD -to- INDIAN ROCKS RD)	CR	07	SC	2U	D	1.009	.99	т	4,670	244	572	.427	0	В	
868 - MEMORIAL CSWY: (CLEARWATER BEACH ROUNDABOUT -to- ISLAND WAY)	SR	06	SA	4D	D	.447	2.24	т	34,500	1,803	1,870	.964	2	E	
869 - MEMORIAL CSWY: (CHESTNUT ST CONNECTION -to- MEMORIAL CSWY   WB/EB SPLIT)	SR	06	NA	20	D	.165	.00	Н	14,500	758	3,400	.240	0	В	
870 - MEMORIAL CSWY: (ISLAND WAY -to- MEMORIAL CSWY   WB/EB SPLIT)	SR	06	NA	4D	D	1.118	.00	т	34,500	1,803	3,760	.480	0	C	
871 - MEMORIAL CSWY: (MEMORIAL CSWY   WB/EB SPLIT -IC- COURT ST CONNECTION)	SR	06	NA	20	D	.162	.00	Н	16,000	836	3,400	.270	0	В	
873 - MERES BLVD: (ALT 19 -to- FLORIDA AVE)	CR	01	NMC	2U	D	1.606	.00	т	7,687	402	1,440	.279	0	С	
875 - MICHIGAN BLVD: (CR 1 -to- ALT 19)	DN	04	SMC	2U	D	1.537	1.30	Т	4,652	243	572	.425	0	В	
877 - MILWAUKEE AVE: (VIRGINIA ST -to- UNION ST)	DN	04	SMC	2U	D	1.020	1.96	т	4,604	241	572	.421	0	В	
879 - MISSOURI AVE: (COURT ST -to- CLEVELAND ST)	CL	06	SA	4D	D	.328	3.05	т	12,033	629	1,683	.374	0	C	
883 - MLK JR AVE: (BELLEAIR RD -to- DREW ST)	CL	06	SC	2U	D	2.015	2.98	т	5,847	306	559	.547	0	C	

22



**Pinellas County Metropolitan Planning Organization** 

## APPENDIX C DESIGN REQUIREMENTS



## APPENDIX D REFERENCES

- Transportation Institute of the National Academies (2013, February). NCHRP Report 745

   Left-Turn Accommodations at Unsignalized Intersections, http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp\_rpt\_745.pdf
- Transportation Institute of the National Academies (2010, November). NCHRP Report 193 - Development of Left-Turn Lane Warrants for Unsignalized Intersections, http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp\_w193.pdf
- 3. Institute of Transportation Engineers (2015). 9<sup>th</sup> Edition ITE Trip Generation Rate, http://www.ite.org/
- 4. Transoft Solutions, Inc. (2015). Online Traffic Impact Study Software (OTTIS) Transportation Analysis, <u>https://otisstraffic.com/projects</u>
- 5. Florida Department of Transportation (2015). Design Standards, http://www.dot.state.fl.us/rddesign/DS/15/STDs.shtm