

#### **PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

Local Sponsor Pinellas Cou	inty						
Federal ID Number (FEID) 59	9-6000800						
Primary Contact Name Zach	nary Westfall			Title	Coastal Scientis	t	
Mailing Address Line 1 2221	11 US 19, Building 10						
Mailing Address Line 2							
City Clearwater		Zip Code 337	'65	Tele	phone Number	727-464-88	841
Email Address zwestfall@co.	pinellas.fl.us						
Additional Contact Informatio	on						
Please cc Dr. John Bishop on	any communications: jb	ishop@co.pine	llas.fl.us				
PART II: CERTIFICATION							
I hereby certify that all inform	nation provided with this	application is t	rue and complete to	o the be	est of my knowle	dge.	
Signature of Local Sponsor							
Electronic or scanned signatures accep	oted.						
Printed Name	Dave Eggers				Date		

#### APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: Brendan Mackesey
Office of the County Attorney



#### PA

1. Project Name: (as listed in the Strategic Beach Management Plan)
Pinellas County Shore Protection Project- Long Key Segment (Upham Beach Portion)
2. Project Description: Include county, location with reference to DEP range monuments, brief project history, and description of proposed activities.
Part of the Federal Pinellas County Shore Protection Project, the project maintains 4.1 miles (21,648 ft) of critically eroded shoreline on Long Key Pinellas County, All 4.1 miles were authorized by Congress in 1966. Initial nourishment occurred in 1980 and 8 Federal re-nourishment occurred in June 1920 and filled 1929.9 feet along Upham Beach (R144 to 84 ft. North of R146. The 2019 Long Key project was combined with the Sand Key and Treasure Island project. The sand source for the Upham Nourishment was Blind Pass.



<b>3. Use of Requested Program Funds:</b> Provide a brief description of tasks to be composing, Construction, Monitoring, or USACE Multiphase). Indicate which tasks are cost	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FY22/23- The requested funds for FY22/23 are for Long Key (\$1.3 million) of the total construction project cost estimate of \$4.8 million. First year monitoring costs (\$25,00 permit required monitoring and tilling costs.	
<b>4. Map:</b> Provide as an attachment. The map(s) of the project area must be formatted compass rose and legend.	at a minimum of 1" = 200' scale and include a
<ol> <li>Map elements must include:         <ol> <li>Project boundary: DEP reference monuments and shoreline designated as critice.</li> <li>Eligibility: Indicate lengths of eligible shoreline based on criteria outlined in Chaa. Beach access locations: indicate primary or secondary access, and included of auto parking spaces, mass transit stops, bike parking spaces, and public restroction of each access</li></ol></li></ol>	pter 62B-36.007, F.A.C. de the shoreline length, number and location of facilities within 1/4 mile walking distance shoreline, and include the length of each access location roperties fronting the project shoreline rehensive list of the values of properties perty value tax roll data and ArcGIS
shapefiles can be obtained from the Department of Revenue website:	



- 6. Eligibility: Required for all beach projects pursuant to Chapter 62B-36.007, F.A.C. Provide as a separate attachment, if needed.
- Location/Access Name: Use the official name of the park or road that is associated with the public access.
- DEP Reference Monument: Use the DEP monument that is closest to the main public access location.
- Type of Access: Indicate Primary or Secondary beach access in accordance with the definitions in Chapter 62B-36, F.A.C.
- Width of Access/Frontage: The length of the legal boundary (in feet) of the public access or lodging along the beach project shoreline. For public lodging establishments that do not front the beach, indicate the street-side length of the legal property boundary (in feet) that is used as the main access to the establishment.
- Number of Eligible Units: A unit is defined as one automobile parking space, one rental unit in a public lodging establishment, one mass transit stop, or four bicycle parking spots.
- Additional Width from Eligible Units: Total distance (feet) added to the Width of Access/Frontage. If there are multiple types of eligibility units used for this calculation, show the calculation separately for each.
- Total Eligible Shoreline: Sum of the Width of Access/Frontage and Additional Width from Eligible Units columns. Eligible shorelines cannot overlap.
- Website Link for DBPR License: Provide the link to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) website for proof of licensure for any public lodging establishments used for eligibility or the Recreational Benefits ranking criteria.

Location/ Access Name	DEP Reference Monument	Type of Access	Width of Access/ Frontage (ft)	Number of Eligible Units	Additional Width from Eligible Units (ft)	Total Eligible Shoreline (ft)	Website Link for DBPR License
Upham Beach	R145	Primary	782.6	173	1147.3	18	1929.9



- **7. Schedule and Budget:** Provide as a separate attachment, if needed. Clearly indicate project phase: Feasibility (F), Design (D), Construction (C), Monitoring (M), or USACE Multiphase (USACE). Include the year of post-construction monitoring in the description.
  - Cost Reimbursement: Specify eligible costs incurred during the three years prior to the current application's fiscal year that are not in a current DEP agreement and have not been reimbursed (this includes fiscal years 19/20, 20/21, and 21/22). Eligible costs will be added to the current funding request.
- **Current and Future Costs:** Specify eligible costs for the current application's fiscal year that are not in a current DEP agreement and have not been reimbursed as well as the estimated costs for the next 4 years. <u>Note: If Construction costs are requested in the current fiscal year, then the Year 1 Post-Construction Monitoring costs will be added to the current funding request.</u>

Fiscal	Project Phase	Description	Federal Cost	State Cost	Local Cost	Total Cost
Year	FiojectFilase	Description	rederal Cost	State Cost	Local Cost	Total Cost
19/20						
19/20						
19/20						
20/21						
20/21						
20/21						
21/22						
21/22						
21/22						
22/23	Construction	Nourishment	3,124,000	1,300,000	1,300,000	4,800,000
22/23	1st Year Monitoring	Turtle/Tilling/Physical		25,000	25,000	50,000
22/23						
23/24	2nd Year Monitoring	Turtle/Tilling/Physical		25,000	25,000	50,000
23/24						
23/24						



Fiscal Year	Project Phase	Description	Federal Cost	State Cost	Local Cost	Total Cost
24/25	3rd Year Monitoring	Turtle/Tilling/Physical		25,000	25,000	50,000
24/25						
24/25						
25/26						
25/26						
25/26						
26/27	5th year Monitoring	Physical		12,500	12,500	25,000
26/27						
26/27						
the De for mo	epartment of Reven ore information. Current funding re	acts: Criteria is calculated by the Department usue website: <a href="https://floridarevenue.com/taxe">https://floridarevenue.com/taxe</a> equest includes construction and year one post	s/pages/colls	from 7 2003.a		
А	dditional comment	es e				
		: The documentation to verify authorization, fe the application materials for the award of poir		percentage, ar	nd status of fund	ding award
ls	the project Federa	lly authorized by the USACE?				
	Authorization Ye	ear: 1966 Expiration Year: 2030				
	oes this project havequested phase?	ve a signed USACE Chief's report or Civil Works	Congressional a	uthorization fo	r the Yes	

64.6

USACE federal cost share percentage for this project (excluding FCCE):



Select all that apply for the requested project phase(s) and include documentation with application materials. Executed USACE project agreement Included in USACE work plan Approved FEMA Project Worksheet Included in Congressional Appropriations Act Other documentation: **10. Storm damage reduction benefits:** Criteria is calculated by the Department. What is the volume (cy) of advanced nourishment lost since the last sand placement event of a beach restoration or nourishment project as measured above the Mean High Water contour? Data should be consistent with the annual post-construction monitoring reports. For restoration projects, what is the historical erosion rate? 11. Cost effectiveness: Criteria is calculated by the Department. A project design analysis and supporting documentation must be provided with the application materials for the award of points. See Guidance Document for more information. What is the proposed sand placement volume (cy)? 150,000 based on previous volumes What is the nourishment interval (years)? 4 years Select all that apply for the current project funding request: C Enhanced longevity: Propose structural or design components that could extend the beach nourishment interval Dune addition: Incorporate new or enhanced dune structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation projects O Innovative technology: Propose innovative technologies designed to reduce project costs Regionalization: Two or more local sponsors manage projects together or projects propose regional sediment management strategies to conserve sand resources or reduce project costs Additional comments for storm damage reduction benefits and cost effectiveness



1	2	Previous	state	commitment	F

12.11cvious state commitment		
Has the Department previo	ously reviewed, approved	d, and cost-shared on a feasibility or design phase for this project? No
Has the current proposed p	project phase(s) received	a partial state appropriation within 3 years of phase completion? No
Additional comments		
13. Accessible beach area: Crit	eria is calculated by the	Department. Provide additional information for consideration.
Describe the landward and accessible beach width	seaward edge of the	
Provide the project bounda	aries (R-monuments)	R144 to 84 ft. North of R146
Provide citations of referen	ce sources	https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/ SBMPSouthwestGulfCoastRegion_ 1.pdf
14. Recreational benefits: Province linear footage within the provise soned recreational or opecuse; or to allow for public loothe equivalent. Provide additional DBPR website link in a seneeded.	oject boundaries which n space; for commercial dging establishment, or itional documentation	50.8% is zoned recreational or open space. The project will increase the longevity of the many previous nourishment projects by maintaining viable recreational beaches along 1929.9 feet of shoreline. Periodic nourishments are the backbone of a strong and stable tourist-driven economy and provide storm protection as well as important wildlife habitat that would otherwise not exist along some Long Key beaches.
15. Mitigation of inlet effects:	: Criteria is calculated by	the Department.
Additional comments   place	ced north of Pass-a-Grille	passing amount is 14,000 Cubic yards per year, however this sand will be Beach on Upham Beach. This project will be placing sand on the updrift et in an attempt to mitigate inlet impacts while practicing regional sediment
16. Successive unfunded requ	ests	
Is the current proposed pro successive request(s) is the		re, unfunded request? If so, how many years of
Does the successive reques	st add the construction n	phase?



	vithin a designated critical habitat area or a loreline armoring?	for threatened or endangered species that is subject	No
	vithin a non-designated area where extendangered species?	ensive shoreline armoring threatens the habitat of	Yes
		pest management practices to incorporate turtle- ct resources or benefit critical habitat preservation?	No
Provide an exp supporting do for the strateg exceed best m practices	cumentation es which		
18. Readiness to c	onstruct		
oes the project hav	e an active state permit? Yes	Does the project have an active federal pern	nit? N/A
ermit number	0221569-013-JN	Permit number	
uthorization date	May 17, 2005	Authorization date	
xpiration date	May 17, 2022	Expiration date	
Has the necess	·	upcoming or proposed construction phase?  Yes  proposed project? If so, provide a copy of the	
	ontrol Line established along the projec		
Additional comments for readiness to construct			



Provide additional information and comments below or attach supporting documents.				